

**COINCIDENCE AND COMMON FIXED-POINT THEOREM  
USING COMPATIBLE MAPPING OF TYPE  $(P)$  ON  
INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY  $b$ -METRIC SPACES**

**Swati Agrawal, P. L. Sanodia\*, Neeraj Malviya\*\* and Jyoti Nema\*\*\***

Oriental Institute of Science and Technology, Bhopal (M.P.), INDIA

E-mail : swatiagrawal1307@gmail.com

\*Govt. Girls College, Seoni (M.P.), INDIA

\*\*Govt. Degree College Timarni, Dist. Harda (M.P.), INDIA

E-mail : maths.neeraj@gmail.com

\*\*\*Regional Institute of Education NCERT, Bhopal (M.P.), INDIA

(Received: Apr. 22, 2023 Accepted: Apr. 15, 2024 Published: Apr. 30, 2024)

**Abstract:** In this paper we have defined compatible type  $(P)$  mapping in the structure of intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space and have proved a coincidence point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Fuzzy  $b$ -metric space, Intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space, Compatible mapping, Compatible type  $(P)$  mapping.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

## 1. Introduction

The thought of  $b$ -metric space was introduced by Bakhtin [2] in 1989. The class of  $b$ -metric spaces is larger than that of metric spaces. In 2016, Nadaban [7] introduced the concept of fuzzy  $b$ -metric space and approved that the study in fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces will obtain a lot of applications of as well as in mathematical engineering than in computer science. With the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Park [8] in 2004 defined the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous  $t$ -norm and continuous  $t$ -conorm as a generalization of fuzzy

metric space. In 2020, Konwar [5] extended fixed point results and studied the existence of uniqueness of self-mapping on the intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space. In 2022, Azam and Kanwal [1] have established some conventional fixed-point theorem in the setting of complete intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces. On the other hand, in 2014, Tripathi et al. [9] defined compatible type  $(P)$  mapping in fuzzy metric space. In this paper we have extend Azam and Kanwal [1] fixed-point theorems in the setting of compatible mapping of type  $(P)$  in intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces with other contraction.

## 2. Preliminaries

For the reader convenience some definitions and results are recalled. The perception of  $b$ -metric space was announced by Bakhtin [2] and extensively used by Czerwik [3].

**Definition 2.1.** [8] A binary operation  $*$  :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called continuous triangular norm ( $t$ -norm) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $*$  is associative and commutative;
- (2)  $*$  is continuous;
- (3)  $a * 1 = a, \forall a \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (4) if  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  with  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ , then  $a * b \leq c * d$ .

**Example 2.1.1.** [6] Three basic  $t$ -norms are defined as follows:

- (1) The minimum  $t$ -norm,  $a *_1 b = \min(a, b)$ ,
- (2) The product  $t$ -norm,  $a *_2 b = a.b$ ,
- (3) The Lukasiewicz  $t$ -norm  $a *_3 b = \max(a + b - 1, 0)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [8] A binary operation  $\diamond$  :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a continuous triangular conorm ( $t$ -conorm) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\diamond$  is associative and commutative;
- (2)  $\diamond$  is continuous;
- (3)  $a \diamond 0 = a, \forall a \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (4)  $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ , whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d \forall a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ .

**Example 2.2.1.** [6] Three basic  $t$ -conorms are given below:

- (1)  $a \diamond_1 b = \min(a + b, 1)$ ,
- (2)  $a \diamond_2 b = (a + b - ab)$ ,
- (3)  $a \diamond_3 b = \max(a, b)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [1] A 6-tuple  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond, s)$  is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space (IFb-MS), if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $s \geq 1$  is a given real number,  $*$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm,  $\diamond$  is a continuous  $t$ -conorm.  $M$  and  $N$  are fuzzy sets on  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions: for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (a)  $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$ ;
- (b)  $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ ;
- (c)  $M(x, y, t) = 1, \forall t > 0$  iff  $x=y$ ;
- (d)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t) \forall t > 0$ ;
- (e)  $M(x, z, s(t+u)) \geq M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, u), \forall t, u > 0$ ;
- (f)  $M(x, y) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ ,
- (g)  $N(x, y, 0) = 1$ ;
- (h)  $N(x, y, t) = 0 \forall t > 0$  iff  $x = y$ ;
- (i)  $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t) \forall t > 0$ ;
- (j)  $N(x, z, s(t+u)) \leq N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, u), \forall t, u > 0$ ;
- (k)  $N(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is right continuous and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$ .

Here,  $M(x, y, t)$  and  $N(x, y, t)$  represent the nearness degree and the non-nearness degree with respect to  $t$  between  $x$  and  $y$  respectively.

**Definition 2.4.** [1] Let  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. A function  $f : R \rightarrow R$  will be called  $s$ -nondecreasing if  $t < u$  implies that  $f(t) \leq f(su)$  and  $f$  is called  $s$ -nonincreasing if  $t < u$  implies that  $f(t) \geq f(su)$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** [1] Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond, s)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space, then for all  $x, y \in X$ , the fuzzy set  $M$  and  $N$  are defined with respect to product such that  $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is  $s$ -nondecreasing and  $N(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is  $s$ -nonincreasing.

**Definition 2.6.** [1] Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond, s)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space.

- (a) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be convergent if there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0 \forall t > 0$ . In this case  $x$  is called the limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and we write  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ , or  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .
- (b) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, M, *, \diamond, s)$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$  and  $N(x_n, x_m, t) < \epsilon, \forall m, n \geq n_0$  and  $t > 0$ .
- (c) The space  $X$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent and it is called compact if every sequence has a convergent subsequence.

The following result of Shazia Kanwal [4] gives common fixed point of  $\Pi$  and  $\sigma$  with the assumption of weakly compatibility:

**Theorem 2.7.** [4] Let  $(\zeta, \Phi, \varphi, \odot, *, s)$  be a compete IFb-MS and  $\Pi, \sigma : \zeta \rightarrow \zeta$  be mappings satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $\sigma(\zeta) \subseteq \Pi(\zeta)$ ,

(2)  $\Pi$  and  $\sigma$  are weakly compatible.

(3) There is  $k, 0 \leq k < 1$ , such that, for all  $\omega, \nu \in \zeta$ ,

$\Phi(\sigma(\omega), \sigma(\nu), kt) \geq \Phi(\Pi(\omega), \Pi(\nu), t)$  and  $\varphi(\sigma(\omega), \sigma(\nu), kt) \geq \varphi(\Pi(\omega), \Pi(\nu), t)$ .

Then,  $\Pi$  and  $\sigma$  have a unique common fixed point in  $\zeta$ .

### 3. Main Result

We define compatible and compatible type- $P$  mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** Two self-mappings  $A$  and  $S$  of an intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  are called compatible if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = x$  for some  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Two self-mappings  $A$  and  $S$  of an intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  are called compatible of type  $(P)$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(AAx_n, SSx_n, t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(AAx_n, SSx_n, t) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = x$  for some  $x \in X$ .

**Example 3.2.1.** Let  $X = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$  with  $*$  continuous  $t$ -norm and  $\diamond$  continuous  $t$ -conorm defined by  $a * b = ab$  and  $a \diamond b = \min\{1, a + b\}$  respectively, for  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ . For each  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $x, y \in X$ , define  $(M, N)$  by

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t+|x-y|^2}, & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad N(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{|x-y|^2}{t+|x-y|^2}, & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Define  $Sx = \frac{x}{6}$  and  $Tx = \frac{x}{2}$  on  $X$  and  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$ .

Clearly, it can be easily observed that  $S$  and  $T$  are compatible type  $(P)$  mapping. Our main result is to extend Theorem 2.7 of Kanwal et.al, using other contractive mapping in intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space with compatible type- $(P)$  mapping.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond, s)$  be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space with  $*$   $t$ -norm and  $\diamond$   $t$ -conorm defined as:

$$(I) \quad a * b = \min\{a, b\}, \quad a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\},$$

(II)  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  and  $N(x, y, \cdot)$  are strictly increasing and strictly decreasing functions respectively.

Let  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  be two self-mapping on  $X$  satisfy following conditions:

(i)  $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$ ,

- (ii) One of  $S$  or  $T$  is continuous,  
 (iii)  $(S, T)$  is compatible of type  $(P)$   
 (iv) If for all  $x, y \in X, k \in (0, \frac{1}{2s}), t > 0$ ,

$$M(Tx, Ty, kt) \geq \min\{M(Tx, Sy, t), M(Ty, Sy, t), M(Ty, Sx, t)\},$$

$$N(Tx, Ty, kt) \leq \max\{N(Tx, Sy, t), N(Ty, Sy, t), N(Ty, Sx, t)\}.$$

Then  $x$  is common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Since  $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$  there exist  $x_{2n+1}$  and  $x_{2n}$  in  $X$  such that

$$Tx_{2n} = Sx_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1} \quad \text{for, } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (3.3.1)$$

**Case I.** Putting  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in (iv) we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &= M(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+2}, kt) = M(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\geq \min\{M(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, t), M(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}, t)\}, \\ &= \min\{M(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, t), M(Sx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+1}, t), M(Sx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n}, t)\}, \\ &= \min\{M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, t)\}, \quad (\text{By 3.3.1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &\geq \min\{(1, M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, t))\}, \\ &\geq \min\{(M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, t))\}, \end{aligned}$$

Since  $kt < \frac{t}{2s}$  and by (II) of theorem (3.3),  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  is a strictly increasing function.

If  $\min\{(M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, t))\} = M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t)$

Then we will reach to a contradiction  $M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t)$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &\geq M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, t) \\ &\geq M\left(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right) * M\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right) \quad (\text{By using (e) of definition 2.3}) \\ &= \min\left\{M\left(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right), M\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} \quad (\text{By (I) of theorem 3.3}) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $kt < \frac{t}{2s}$  and by (II) of theorem 3.3.  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  is a strictly increasing function.

If  $\min\left\{M\left(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right), M\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} = M\left(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)$ ,

then we will again reach to contradiction,  $M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)$ .

which is not possible. Therefore,  $M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s})$

In the similar manner,  $M(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2s})$ .

In general,  $M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2s})$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

And,  $M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, kt) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{2s})$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Also, it follows that,  $M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}) \geq M(y_{n-1}, y_n, \frac{t}{(2s)^2k})$ .

Continuing this, we get,  $M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{(2s)^{n+1}k^n}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus, in general, when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , Clearly,

$$1 \geq M(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{(2s)^{n+1}k^n}) \rightarrow 1$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) = 1$ .

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &= N(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+2}, kt) = N(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, kt) \\ &\leq \max\{(N(Tx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}, t), N(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, t), N(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}, t))\}, \\ &= \max\{(N(Sx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}, t), N(Sx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n+1}, t), N(Sx_{2n+2}, Sx_{2n}, t))\}, \\ &= \max\{(N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t), N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, t))\}, \\ &\Rightarrow N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \leq \max\{N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, t)\}, \\ &[\text{Since } N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t) = 0] \end{aligned}$$

Since  $kt < \frac{t}{2s}$  and by (II) of theorem (3.3)  $N(x, y, \cdot)$  is a strictly decreasing function.

If  $\max\{(N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t), N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, t))\} = N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t)$

Then we reach to a contradiction,  $N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \leq N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t)$  is not possible.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) &\leq N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, t) \\ &\leq N\left(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right) \diamond N\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right) \quad (\text{By using (j) of definition 2.3}) \\ &= \max\left\{N\left(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right), N\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} \quad (\text{By (I) of theorem 3.3}) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $kt < \frac{t}{2s}$  and by (II) of theorem (3.3)  $N(x, y, \cdot)$  is a strictly decreasing function

If,  $\max\left\{N\left(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right), N\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} = N\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)$

Then we reach to a contradiction,  $N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \leq N\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)$  which is not possible.

Therefore,  $N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \leq N\left(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)$

By similar pattern  $N(y_{2n+3}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \leq N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2s})$

Thus, we have  $N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \leq N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2s})$

And  $N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \leq N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, \frac{t}{2s})$

In general,  $N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \leq N(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2s})$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

And  $N(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, kt) \leq N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{2s})$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Also, it follows that,  $N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \leq N(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}) \leq N(y_{n-1}, y_n, \frac{t}{(2s)^2k})$

Continuing this, we have,  $N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \leq N(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{(2s)^{n+1}k^n}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

Thus, in general, when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $0 \leq N(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) \leq N(y_0, y_1, \frac{t}{(2s)^n k^{n-1}}) \rightarrow 0$

Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) = 0$

Hence,  $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) \rightarrow 1$  and  $N(y_n, y_{n+1}, kt) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $t > 0$ ,

Next, we show that the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t > 0$ , we may be chosen  $n_0 \in N$  such that

$M(y_n, y_{n+1}t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $n > n_0$  and  $N(y_n, y_{n+1}t) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n > n_0$

For  $m, n \in N$ , we suppose  $m \geq n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(y_n, y_m, t) &\geq M\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right) * M\left(y_{n+1}, y_m, \frac{t}{2s}\right) \\ &\geq M\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right) * M\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{(2s)^2}\right) * M\left(y_{n+2}, y_m, \frac{t}{(2s)^3}\right) \\ &\geq M\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right) * M\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{(2s)^2}\right) * M\left(y_{n+2}, y_m, \frac{t}{(2s)^3}\right) \dots \\ &\Rightarrow M(y_n, y_m, t) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) \dots (1 - \varepsilon) \\ &= \min\{(1 - \varepsilon), (1 - \varepsilon), (1 - \varepsilon), \dots (1 - \varepsilon)\} = (1 - \varepsilon) \quad (\text{by (I) of Theorem 3.3}) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} N(y_n, y_m, t) &\leq N\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right) \diamond N\left(y_{n+1}, y_m, \frac{t}{2s}\right) \\ &\leq N\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right) \diamond N\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{(2s)^2}\right) \diamond N\left(y_{n+2}, y_m, \frac{t}{(2s)^3}\right) \\ &\leq N\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{2s}\right) \diamond N\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{(2s)^2}\right) \diamond N\left(y_{n+2}, y_m, \frac{t}{(2s)^3}\right) \dots \\ &\leq \varepsilon \diamond \varepsilon \diamond \varepsilon \dots \diamond \varepsilon = \max\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon\} = \varepsilon \quad (\text{by (I) of Theorem 3.3}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Since  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is complete. In view of completeness of the space, sequence

$\{y_n\}$  converges to some point  $u \in X$ . Also its subsequence converges to the same point i.e.,  $Sx_{2n} = Tx_{2n} \rightarrow u$ . Now, we shall prove  $Su = u$  then

$M(u, Su, kt) \geq M\left(u, Tx_{2n}, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) * M\left(Tx_{2n}, Su, \frac{kt}{2s}\right)$ ,  $S$  is continuous and  $S, T$  are compatible type  $P$  such that  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $TTx_{2n} \rightarrow Su, SSx_{2n} \rightarrow Su$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M(u, Su, kt) &\geq M\left(u, Tx_{2n}, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) * M\left(Tx_{2n}, TTx_{2n}, \frac{kt}{2s}\right), \\ &\geq M\left(u, Tx_{2n}, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) * \min\left\{M\left(Tx_{2n}, STx_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right), M\left(TTx_{2n}, STx_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right),\right. \\ &\quad \left.M\left(TTx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} \quad (\text{by (iv) of Theorem 3.3}) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Sx_{2n} = Tx_{2n} \rightarrow u$  and  $S$  and  $T$  are compatible type (P) Mapping.

Therefore, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get,  $TTx_{2n} \rightarrow Su, SSx_{2n} \rightarrow Su$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq M\left(u, u, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) * \min\left\{M\left(u, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right), M\left(Su, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right), M\left(Su, u, \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} \\ &\leq M\left(u, u, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) * \min\left\{M\left(u, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right), M\left(Su, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right), M\left(u, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} \\ &\Rightarrow M(u, Su, kt) \geq M\left(u, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right) \\ &(\text{Since, } M\left(u, u, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) = 1 \text{ and } M\left(Su, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $Su = u$ . Now we will show that  $Tu = u$ .

For that let  $x = u$  and  $y = Tx_{2n}$  then, (iv) of Theorem (3.3) becomes

$$M(Tu, TTx_{2n}, kt) \geq \min\{M(Tu, STx_{2n}, t), M(TTx_{2n}, STx_{2n}, t), M(TTx_{2n}, Su, t)\}$$

Since  $Sx_{2n} = Tx_{2n} \rightarrow u$ ,  $S$  is continuous and  $S, T$  are compatible of type  $P$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} TTx_{2n} &= SSx_{2n} = Su = u \\ M(Tu, u, kt) &\geq \min\{M(Tu, Su, t), M(u, Su, t), M(u, Su, t)\} \\ M(Tu, u, kt) &\geq \min\{M(Tu, u, t), M(u, u, t), M(u, u, t)\}, \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $M(u, u, t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ . Therefore,  $M(Tu, u, kt) \geq M(Tu, u, t)$

Thus,  $Tu = u$ . Hence,  $u$  is a fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

Now, we prove  $Su = u$  for  $N$ ,  $N(u, Su, kt) \leq N\left(u, Tx_{2n}, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) \diamond N\left(Tx_{2n}, Su, \frac{kt}{2s}\right)$ ,



$S$  is continuous and  $S, T$  are compatible type  $P$  such that  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} TTx_{2n} &\rightarrow Su, SSx_{2n} \rightarrow Su, \\ N(u, Su, kt) &\leq N\left(u, Tx_{2n}, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) \diamond N\left(Tx_{2n}, TTx_{2n}, \frac{kt}{2s}\right), \\ &\leq N\left(u, Tx_{2n}, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) \diamond \max\left\{N\left(Tx_{2n}, STx_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right), N\left(TTx_{2n}, STx_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right),\right. \\ &\quad \left.N\left(TTx_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Sx_{2n} = Tx_{2n} \rightarrow u$  and  $S$  and  $T$  are compatible type (P) mapping.

Therefore, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get,  $TTx_{2n} \rightarrow Su, SSx_{2n} \rightarrow Su$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq N\left(u, u, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) \diamond \max\left\{N\left(u, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right), N\left(Su, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right), N\left(Su, u, \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} \\ &\leq N\left(u, u, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) \diamond \max\left\{N\left(u, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right), N\left(Su, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right), N\left(u, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right)\right\} \\ &\Rightarrow N(u, Su, kt) \leq N\left(u, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right) \\ &\text{(Since, } N\left(u, u, \frac{kt}{2s}\right) = 0 \text{ and } N\left(Su, Su, \frac{t}{2s}\right) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $Su = u$ . Now we will show that  $Tu = u$ .

For that let  $x = u$  and  $y = Tx_{2n}$  then, (iv) of Theorem (3.3) becomes

$$N(Tu, TTx_{2n}, kt) \leq \max\{N(Tu, STx_{2n}, t), N(TTx_{2n}, STx_{2n}, t), N(TTx_{2n}, Su, t)\}$$

Since  $Sx_{2n} = Tx_{2n} \rightarrow u$ ,  $S$  is continuous and  $S, T$  are compatible of type  $P$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} TTx_{2n} &= SSx_{2n} = Su = u \\ N(Tu, u, kt) &\leq \max\{N(Tu, Su, t), N(u, Su, t), N(u, Su, t)\} \\ &\leq \max\{N(Tu, u, t), N(u, u, t), N(u, u, t)\}, \end{aligned}$$

(Since,  $N(u, u, t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ ).

$$\Rightarrow N(Tu, u, kt) \geq N(Tu, u, t)$$

Thus,  $Tu = u$ . Hence,  $u$  is a fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

**Uniqueness.** Let  $u'$  be another common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

Then  $Su' = Tu' = u'$ . we get

$$M(Tu, Tu', kt) \geq \min\{M(Tu, Su', t), M(Tu', Su', t), M(Tu', Su, t)\},$$

$$M(u, u', kt) \geq \min\{M(u, u', t), M(u', u', t), M(u', u, t)\},$$

(Since  $M(u', u', t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ )

Therefore,

$$M(u, u', kt) \geq M(u, u', t) \geq M\left(u, u', \frac{t}{k}\right) \geq M\left(u, u', \frac{t}{k^2}\right) \dots \geq M\left(u, u', \frac{t}{k^{n-1}}\right) \rightarrow 1,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\text{And, } N(Tu, Tu', kt) \leq \max\{N(Tu, Su', t), N(Tu', Su', t), N(Tu', Su, t)\},$$

$$N(u, u', kt) \leq \max\{N(u, u', t), N(u', u', t), N(u', u, t)\},$$

(Since  $N(u', u', t) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ )

Therefore,

$$N(u, u', kt) \leq N(u, u', t) \leq N\left(u, u', \frac{t}{k}\right) \leq N\left(u, u', \frac{t}{k^2}\right) \dots \leq N\left(u, u', \frac{t}{k^{n-1}}\right) \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By (c) and (h) of definition 2.3, we get  $u = u'$ . Therefore,  $u$  is the common fixed point of self-mappings  $S$  and  $T$ .

### Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the editor and anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

### References

- [1] Azam, A., Kanwal, S., Introduction to Intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces and fixed-point results, Thai Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 20, No. 1 (2022), 141-163.
- [2] Bakhtin, I. A., The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces, Funct. Anal. Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst., Vol. 20 (1989), 26–37.
- [3] Czerwik, S., Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric space, Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostraviensis, 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [4] Kanwal, Shazia, Azam, Akbar and Shami, Faria Ahmed, On Coincidence Theorem in Intuitionistic Fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces with application, Journal of Function Spaces, Vol. 2022, Article ID 5616824, 10 pages, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5616824>.
- [5] Konwar, N., Extension of fixed-point results in intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space, Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, Vol. 39, No. 5 (2020), 7831-7841.
- [6] Kramosil O. and Michalek, J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika, Vol. 11 (1975), 326–334.

- [7] Nadaban, S., Fuzzy  $b$ -metric spaces, *International Journal Computers Communications & Control*, Vol. 11 No. (2), (2016), 273–281.
- [8] Park, J. H., Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, Vol. 22 (2004), 1039–1046.
- [9] Tripathi, B. P., Saluja, G. S., Sahu, D. P., Namdeo, N., Common fixed point theorems of compatible mapping of type (P) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *LE Matematiche*, Vol. LXIX, (2014), Fasc. II, 77-92.

This page intentionally left blank.