

**A NOTE ON CONNECTIVITY PRESERVING SPLITTING
OPERATION FOR MATROIDS REPRESENTABLE OVER $GF(p)$**

Sachin Gunjal, Prashant Malavadkar and Uday Jagdale

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Dr. Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University,
Pune - 411038, Maharashtra, INDIA

E-mail : sachin.gunjal@mitwpu.edu.in, prashant.malavadkar@mitwpu.edu.in,
uday.jagdale@mitwpu.edu.in

(Received: Sep. 08, 2023 Accepted: Apr. 21, 2024 Published: Apr. 30, 2024)

Abstract: The splitting operation on a p -matroid does not necessarily preserve connectivity. It is observed that there exists a single element extension of the splitting matroid which is connected. In this paper, we define the element splitting operation on p -matroids which consist of a splitting operation followed by a single element extension. It is proved that the element splitting operation on a connected p -matroid yields a connected p -matroid. We give a sufficient condition to yield Eulerian p -matroid from Eulerian p -matroid under the element splitting operation. A sufficient condition to obtain Hamiltonian p -matroid by applying the element splitting operation on p -matroid is also provided. The characterization of the paving p -matroid which are closed under the element splitting operation, is also obtained.

Keywords and Phrases: p -matroid, element splitting operation, Eulerian matroid, connected matroid, hamiltonian matroid, elementary lift, paving matroid.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B35, 05C50, 05C83.

1. Introduction

We discuss loopless and coloopless p -matroids, by a p -matroid we mean a vector matroid $M \cong M[A]$ for some matrix A of size $m \times n$ over the field $F = GF(p)$, for prime p . We denote the set of column labels of M (viz. the ground set of M) by E ,

the set of circuits of M by $\mathcal{C}(M)$, and the set of independent sets of M by $\mathcal{I}(M)$. For undefined, standard terminology in graphs and matroids, see Oxley [13].

Malavadkar et al. [9] defined the splitting operation for p -matroids in the following way:

Definition 1.1. Let $M \cong M[A]$ be a p -matroid on the ground set E , let $\{a, b\} \subset E$, and $\alpha \neq 0$ in $GF(p)$. The matrix $A_{a,b}$ is constructed from A by appending an extra row to A , which has coordinates equal to α in the columns corresponding to the elements a, b , and zero elsewhere. Define the splitting matroid $M_{a,b}$ to be the vector matroid $M[A_{a,b}]$. The transition of M to $M_{a,b}$ is called the splitting operation.

A circuit $C \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ containing $\{a, b\}$ is said to be a p -circuit of M , if $C \in \mathcal{C}(M_{a,b})$. And if C is a circuit of M containing either a or b , but it is not a circuit of $M_{a,b}$, then we say that C is an np -circuit of M . For $a, b \in E$, if the matroid M contains no np -circuit, then splitting operation on M with respect to a, b is called trivial splitting.

Note that the class of connected p -matroids is not closed under the splitting operation. This fact is illustrated with the following example.

Example 1.2. The vector matroid $M \cong M[A]$ represented by the matrix A over the field $GF(3)$ is connected, whereas the splitting matroid $M_{1,4} \cong M[A_{1,4}]$ is not connected.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_{1,4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is interesting to see that the vector matroid $M'_{1,4} \cong M[A'_{1,4}]$, which is a single element extension of $M_{1,4}$, is connected.

$$A'_{1,4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This example motivates us to investigate the question: If M is a connected p -matroid and $M_{a,b}$ is the splitting matroid of M , then does there exist a single element extension of the splitting matroid that is connected? In the next section, we answer this question by defining the element splitting operation on a p -matroid M which is a splitting operation on M followed by a single element extension.

2. Element Splitting Operation

In this section, we define the element splitting operation on a p -matroid M and characterize its circuits.

Definition 2.1. Let $M \cong M[A]$ be a p -matroid on the ground set $E, \{a, b\} \subset E$, and $M_{a,b}$ be the corresponding splitting matroid. Let the matrix $A_{a,b}$ represent $M_{a,b}$ on $GF(p)$. Construct the matrix $A'_{a,b}$ from $A_{a,b}$ by adding an extra column to $A_{a,b}$, labeled as z , which has the last coordinate equal to $\alpha \neq 0$ and the rest are equal to zero. Define the element splitting matroid $M'_{a,b}$ to be the vector matroid $M[A'_{a,b}]$. The transformation of M to $M'_{a,b}$ is called the element splitting operation.

Various splitting operations on binary matroids are closely studied in [8, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. A matroid L is a lift of the matroid M , if there exists a matroid N , and $X \subset E(N)$ such that $N/X = M$, and $N \setminus X = L$. If X is a singleton set, then L is called an elementary lift of M . In the following result, Mundhe et al. [12] showed the equivalence of splitting matroids with elementary lift for binary matroids:

Lemma 2.2. Let M and L be binary matroids. Then L is an elementary lift of M if and only if L is isomorphic to M_T for some $T \subset E(M)$.

Lemma 2.2 can be extended to p -matroids by using the similar arguments used to prove it in [12]. Thus a splitting matroid $M_{a,b}$ of p -matroid M is an elementary lift of M . In-depth study on lifted graphic matroid is done in [3, 4, 6].

Remark 2.3. $\text{rank}(A) < \text{rank}(A'_{a,b}) = \text{rank}(A) + 1$. If the rank functions of M and $M'_{a,b}$ are denoted by r and r' , respectively, then $r(M) < r'(M'_{a,b}) = r(M) + 1$.

Let $C = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$, where $v_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are column vectors of the matrix A , be an np -circuit of M containing only a . Assume $v_1 = a$, without loss of generality. Then there exist non-zero scalars $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in GF(p)$ such that $\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Let $\alpha_z \in GF(p)$ be such that $\alpha_z + \alpha_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Note that $\alpha_z \neq 0$. Then in the matrix $A'_{a,b}$, we have $\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k + \alpha_z z \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Therefore the set $C \cup z = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, z\}$ is a dependent set of $M'_{a,b}$. If both $a, b \in C$, then by the similar arguments, we can show that $C \cup z$ is a dependent set of $M'_{a,b}$.

In the next Lemma, we characterize the circuits of $M'_{a,b}$ containing the element z .

Lemma 2.4. *Let C be a circuit of p -matroid M . Then $C \cup z$ is a circuit of $M'_{a,b}$ if and only if C is an np -circuit of M .*

Proof. First assume that $C \cup z$ is a circuit of $M'_{a,b}$. If C is not an np -circuit of M , then it is a p -circuit of M , and hence it is also a circuit of $M_{a,b}$ and $M'_{a,b}$, as well. Thus we get a circuit C contained in $C \cup z$, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose C is an np -circuit of M . Then C is an independent set of $M'_{a,b}$. As noted earlier, $C \cup z$ is a dependent set of $M'_{a,b}$. On the contrary, assume that $C \cup z$ is not a circuit of $M'_{a,b}$, and $C_1 \subset C \cup z$ be a circuit of $M'_{a,b}$. One of the following two cases occurs.

Case 1: $z \notin C_1$. Then C_1 is a circuit contained in C , which is contradictory to the fact that C is independent in $M'_{a,b}$.

Case 2: $z \in C_1$. Then $C_1 \setminus z$ is a dependent set of M contained in the circuit C which is not possible. Thus $C \cup z$ is a circuit of $M'_{a,b}$.

We denote the collection of circuits described in Lemma 2.4 by \mathcal{C}_z .

Theorem 2.5. *Let M be a p -matroid on the ground set E and $\{a, b\} \subset E$. Then $\mathcal{C}(M'_{a,b}) = \mathcal{C}(M_{a,b}) \cup \mathcal{C}_z$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{C}(M_{a,b}) \cup \mathcal{C}_z \subset \mathcal{C}(M'_{a,b})$ follows from the Definition 2.1 and Lemma 2.4. For the other inclusion, let $C \in \mathcal{C}(M'_{a,b})$. If $z \notin C$, then $C \in \mathcal{C}(M_{a,b})$. Otherwise, $C \in \mathcal{C}_z$.

Example 2.6. Consider the matroid R_8 , the vector matroid of the following matrix A over field $GF(3)$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad A'_{3,5} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For $a = 3$, $b = 5$ and $\alpha = 1$ the representation of element splitting matroid $M'_{3,5}$ over $GF(3)$ is given by the matrix $A'_{3,5}$. The collections of circuits of M , $M_{3,5}$ and $M'_{3,5}$ are given in the following table.

Circuits of M	Circuits of $M_{3,5}$	Circuits of $M'_{3,5}$
$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\},$ $\{1, 2, 7, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 7\}$	$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\},$ $\{1, 2, 7, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 7\}$	$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 7, 8\},$ $\{1, 4, 6, 7\}$
$\{2, 4, 6, 8\}, \{3, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$	$\{2, 4, 6, 8\}, \{3, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$	$\{2, 4, 6, 8\}, \{3, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$
-	$\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7\},$ $\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8\}$	$\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7\},$ $\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8\}$
-	$\{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\},$ $\{1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$	$\{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\},$ $\{1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$
-	$\{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\},$ $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$	$\{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\},$ $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$
$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\},$ $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 7\}$	-	$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9\},$ $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9\}$
$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 8\},$ $\{1, 2, 5, 6\}$	-	$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6, 9\}$
$\{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{1, 3, 6, 8\}$	-	$\{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}, \{1, 3, 6, 8, 9\}$
$\{1, 4, 5, 8\},$ $\{1, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$	-	$\{1, 4, 5, 8, 9\}, \{1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$
$\{2, 3, 5, 8\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7\}$	-	$\{2, 3, 5, 8, 9\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7, 9\}$
$\{2, 4, 5, 7\},$ $\{2, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$	-	$\{2, 4, 5, 7, 9\}, \{2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$
$\{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{3, 4, 7, 8\}$	-	$\{3, 4, 5, 6, 9\}, \{3, 4, 7, 8, 9\}$
$\{4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$	-	$\{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$

3. Independent sets, Bases and Rank function of $M'_{a,b}$

In this section, we describe independent sets, bases and rank function of $M'_{a,b}$. Let $\mathcal{I}_z = \{I \cup z : I \in \mathcal{I}(M)\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $M \cong M[A]$ be a p -matroid with the ground set E and $M'_{a,b}$ be its element splitting matroid. Then $\mathcal{I}(M'_{a,b}) = \mathcal{I}(M_{a,b}) \cup \mathcal{I}_z$*

Proof. Notice that $\mathcal{I}(M_{a,b}) \cup \mathcal{I}_z \subseteq \mathcal{I}(M'_{a,b})$. For other inclusion, assume $T \in \mathcal{I}(M'_{a,b})$. If $z \notin T$, then $T \in \mathcal{I}(M_{a,b})$. And if $z \in T$, then $T \setminus \{z\} \in \mathcal{I}(M_{a,b})$. That is $T = I \cup z$ for some $I \in \mathcal{I}(M_{a,b})$.

Case 1 : $I \in \mathcal{I}(M)$. Then $T \in \mathcal{I}_z$.

Case 2 : $I = C \cup I'$ where C is an np -circuit of M and $I' \in \mathcal{I}(M)$. Then by Lemma 2.4, $C \cup z$ is a circuit of $M'_{a,b}$ contained in T , a contradiction.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a p -matroid and $\{a, b\} \subset E$. Then $\mathcal{B}(M'_{a,b}) = \mathcal{B}(M_{a,b}) \cup \mathcal{B}_z$, where $\mathcal{B}_z = \{B \cup z : B \in \mathcal{B}(M)\}$.*

Proof. It is easy to observe that $\mathcal{B}(M_{a,b}) \cup \mathcal{B}_z \subseteq \mathcal{B}(M'_{a,b})$. Next assume that $B \in \mathcal{B}(M'_{a,b})$. Then $\text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(M) + 1$. If B contains z , then $B \setminus z$ is an independent set of $M_{a,b}$ of size $\text{rank}(M)$. Then by similar arguments given in the proof of Lemma 3.1, $B = I \cup z$, for some $I \in \mathcal{I}(M)$. Therefore $B \setminus z$ is a basis of M and $B \in \mathcal{B}_z$. If $z \notin B$, then B is an independent set of size $\text{rank}(M) + 1$. Therefore $B \in \mathcal{B}(M_{a,b})$.

In the following lemma, we provide the rank function of $M'_{a,b}$ in terms of the rank function of M .

Lemma 3.3. *Let r and r' be the rank functions of the matroids M and $M'_{a,b}$, respectively. Suppose $S \subseteq E(M)$. Then $r'(S \cup z) = r(S) + 1$, and*

$$\begin{aligned} r'(S) &= r(S), & \text{if } S \text{ contains no } np\text{-circuit of } M; \text{ and} \\ &= r(S) + 1, & \text{if } S \text{ contains an } np\text{-circuit of } M. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. The equality $r'(S \cup z) = r(S) + 1$ follows from the definition. The proof of the Equation (1) is discussed in Corollary 2.13 of [9].

4. Connectivity of element splitting p -matroids

Let M be a matroid having the ground set E , and k be a positive integer. The k -separation of matroid M is a partition $\{S, T\}$ of E such that $|S|, |T| \geq k$ and $r(S) + r(T) - r(M) < k$. For an integer $n \geq 2$, we say M is an n -connected if M has no k -separation, where $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

In the following theorem, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition to preserve the connectedness of a p -matroid under element splitting operation. For $a, b \in E$, if the matroid M contains at least one np -circuit, then splitting operation on M with respect to a, b is called a non-trivial splitting operation.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a connected p -matroid on the ground set E . Then $M'_{a,b}$ is a connected p -matroid on the ground set $E \cup \{z\}$ if and only if $M_{a,b}$ is the splitting matroid obtained by applying non-trivial splitting operation on M .*

Proof. First assume that $M'_{a,b}$ is a connected p -matroid on the ground set $E \cup \{z\}$. On the contrary, suppose $M_{a,b}$ is obtained by applying trivial splitting operation. Then M contains no np circuits with respect to the splitting by elements a, b . Now, let $S = \{z\}$ and $T = E$. Then $r'(S) + r'(T) - r'(M'_{a,b}) = 1 + r(E) - (r(M) + 1) = 0 < 1$ gives a 1-separation of $M'_{a,b}$, which is a contradiction.

For converse part, assume that $M_{a,b}$ is the splitting matroid obtained by applying non-trivial splitting operation on M . Suppose that, $M'_{a,b}$ is not connected. It means

$M'_{a,b}$ has 1-separation, say $\{S, T\}$. Then $|S|, |T| \geq 1$ and

$$r'(S) + r'(T) - r'(M'_{a,b}) < 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Case 1 : Assume $S = \{z\}$. Then T contains an np circuit. Then Equation (4.1) gives, $1 + (1 + r(T)) - r(M) - 1 < 1 \implies r(T) < r(M)$, which is not possible.

Case 2 : Assume $|S| \geq 2, z \in S$. If T contains no np -circuit then Equation (4.1) yields, $(r(S \setminus z) + 1) + r(T) - r(M) - 1 < 1$, that is $r(S \setminus z) + r(T) - r(M) < 1$. Therefore $\{S \setminus z, T\}$ gives 1-separation of M , a contradiction. Further, if T contains an np -circuit, then $r'(S) = r(S \setminus z) + 1, r'(T) = r(T) + 1$. By Equation (4.1), we get $(r(S \setminus z) + 1) + (r(T) + 1) - r(M) - 1 < 1$, which gives $r(S \setminus z) + r(T) - r(M) < 0$, which is not possible. So in either case such separation does not exist. Therefore $M'_{a,b}$ is connected.

For $p = 2$, the following sufficient condition for the element splitting operation to preserve the connectedness of the binary matroid by Shikare [17] follows immediately.

Corollary 4.2. *Let M be a connected binary matroid on a set E and $\{a, b\} \subseteq E$ such that there is a circuit of M containing exactly one member of $\{a, b\}$. Then the matroid $M'_{a,b}$ is connected.*

In Example 2.6, the p -matroid $R_8 \cong M[A]$ and its element splitting p -matroid $M'_{3,5} \cong M[A'_{3,5}]$ both are connected. In the next result we give a necessary and sufficient condition to preserve 3-connectedness of a p -matroid under the element splitting operation.

Theorem 4.3. *Let M be a 3-connected p -matroid. Then $M'_{a,b}$ is 3-connected p -matroid if and only if for every $t \in E(M)$ there is an np -circuit of M not containing t .*

Proof. Let $M'_{a,b}$ be 3-connected p -matroid. On contrary, if there is an element $t \in E(M)$ contained in every np -circuit of M . Take $S = \{z, t\}$ and $T = E \setminus S$. Then $r'(S) + r'(T) - r'(M'_{a,b}) = r(\{t\}) + 1 + r(T) - r(M) - 1 = r(\{t\}) + r(T) - r(M) = 1 < 2$. Because, in this case, $t \in cl(T)$ hence $r(T) = r(M)$. That is $\{S, T\}$ forms a 2-separation of $M'_{a,b}$, a contradiction.

For converse part suppose, for every $t \in E(M)$ there is an np -circuit of M not containing t . On the contrary assume that $M'_{a,b}$ is not a 3-connected matroid. Then there exists a k separation, for $k \leq 2$, of $M'_{a,b}$. By Theorem 4.1, k can not be equal to 1. For $k = 2$, let $\{S, T\}$ be a 2-separation of $M'_{a,b}$. Then $\{S, T\}$ is a partition of $E \cup \{z\}$ such that $|S|, |T| \geq 2$ and

$$r'(S) + r'(T) - r'(M'_{a,b}) < 2. \tag{4.2}$$

Case 1 : Suppose $S = \{z, t\}$, $t \in E(M)$. By hypothesis, T contains an np -circuit not containing t . Then Equation (4.2) gives, $(r(\{t\}) + 1) + (1 + r(T)) - r(M) - 1 < 2 \implies r(t) + r(T) - r(M) < 1$. Thus $\{\{t\}, T\}$ forms a 1-separation of M , which is a contradiction.

Case 2 : Suppose $z \in S$ and $|S| \geq 3$. If T contains no np -circuit then Equation (4.2) yields $(r(S \setminus z) + 1) + r(T) - r(M) - 1 < 2 \implies r(S \setminus z) + r(T) - r(M) < 2$. Therefore $\{S \setminus z, T\}$ gives a 2-separation of M , a contradiction.

Further, if T contains an np -circuit, then $r'(S) = r(S \setminus z) + 1$, $r'(T) = r(T) + 1$. By Equation (4.2), we get $(r(S \setminus z) + 1) + (r(T) + 1) - r(M) - 1 < 2 \implies r(S \setminus z) + r(T) - r(M) < 1$. Thus, $\{S \setminus z, T\}$ gives a 1-separation of M , a contradiction. So in either case such partition does not exist. Therefore $M'_{a,b}$ is 3-connected.

5. Applications

For Eulerian matroid M on the ground set E there exists disjoint circuits C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k of M such that $E = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_k$. We call the collection $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ a circuit decomposition of M .

Let $\{a, b\} \subset E$. We say a circuit decomposition $\tilde{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ of M an *ep-decomposition* of M if it contains exactly one np -circuit with respect to the a, b splitting of M . In the next proposition, we give a sufficient condition to yield Eulerian p -matroids from Eulerian p -matroids after the element splitting operation.

Proposition 5.1. *Let M be Eulerian p -matroid and $a, b \in E$. If M has an *ep-decomposition*, then $M'_{a,b}$ is Eulerian p -matroid.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ be an *ep-decomposition* of M and C_1 be an np -circuit in it. Then $C_1 \cup z$ is a circuit of $M'_{a,b}$. Thus $\{C_1 \cup z, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ is the desired circuit decomposition of $M'_{a,b}$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $M'_{a,b}$ is Eulerian p -matroid and $\tilde{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ be a circuit decomposition of $M'_{a,b}$. If \tilde{C} contains no member which be a union of an np -circuit and an independent set of M , then M is Eulerian and has an *ep-decomposition*.*

Proof. Assume, without loss of generality, $z \in C_1$. Then $C_1 \in \mathcal{C}_z$ and $C_1 \setminus z$ is an np -circuit of M . We will show $C_1 \setminus z$ contains both a and b . On the contrary assume that $C_1 \setminus z$ contains only a . Then $b \in C_i$ for some $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$. Since C_i is also a circuit of $M_{a,b}$ containing only b , by Theorem 2.10 of [9] it must be a union of an np -circuit and an independent set of M , which is a contradiction to the hypothesis. Therefore $C_1 \setminus z$ contains both a and b and the collection $\{C_1 \setminus z, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ forms an *ep-decomposition* of M .

In Example 2.6, the matroid R_8 is Eulerian with *ep-decomposition* $E = C_1 \cup C_2$,

where $C_1 = \{2, 4, 6, 8\}$ is a p -circuit and $C_2 = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ is an np -circuit. An element splitting matroid $M'_{3,5}$ is also Eulerian with circuit decomposition $E \cup z = C_1 \cup (C_2 \cup z)$.

M. Borowiecki [2] defined Hamiltonian matroid as a matroid containing a circuit of size $r(M) + 1$. This circuit is called the Hamiltonian circuit of the matroid M . In the next corollary, we give a sufficient condition to yield Hamiltonian matroid from Hamiltonian matroid after the element splitting operation.

Corollary 5.3. *If M is Hamiltonian matroid with an np -circuit of size $r(M) + 1$, then $M'_{a,b}$ is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. Let C be an np -circuit of M of size $r(M) + 1$. Then by Proposition 2.4, $C \cup z$ is a circuit in $M'_{a,b}$ of size $r(M) + 2$.

In Example 2.6, the matroid $R_8 \cong M[A]$ is Hamiltonian and its element splitting matroid $M'_{3,5} \cong M[A'_{3,5}]$ is also Hamiltonian.

Let M be a matroid of rank r . M is called a paving matroid, if every circuit of M is of the size r or $r + 1$. All binary paving matroids are characterized by Acketa [1]. Oxley [14] gave a characterization of ternary paving matroids. A paving matroid M does not always yield a paving matroid after splitting. In the next proposition, we characterize the element splitting p -matroids $M'_{a,b}$ that are paving.

Proposition 5.4. *Let M be a paving p -matroid of rank $r, \{a, b\} \subset E(M)$. Then the element splitting matroid $M'_{a,b}$ is also paving if and only if every circuit $C \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ of size r is an np -circuit.*

We conclude this paper by proposing following problem:

Rota conjectured that the family of matroids that are representable over finite fields has only finitely many excluded minors [7]. For example, the 4-point line, $U_{2,4}$, is the only excluded minor for the class of binary matroids. In the following example, we demonstrate that there exist a splitting of the ternary matroid $U_{2,4}$, which yields a graphic matroid.

Example 5.5. Let the matrix A represents the ternary matroid $U_{2,4}$ and the vector matroid of $A_{1,3}$ represents the splitting matroid $M[A_{1,3}]$.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_{1,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A'_{1,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Observe that

- the splitting matroid $M[A_{1,3}]$ is binary and matrix $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ gives its binary representation.
- $A'_{1,3}/5 = U_{2,4}$.

However, the element splitting operation on $U_{2,4}$ does not give a binary matroid. With this observation, we propose the following question:

For a given ternary matroid M , does there always exist a pair of elements $\{a, b\}$ in $E(M)$ such that the splitting matroid $M_{a,b}$ is binary (graphic)?

Acknowledgement

Authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for their helpful comments which have improved the quality of the paper.

References

- [1] Acketa D. M., On Binary Paving Matroids, *Discrete Mathematics*, 70 (1988), 109–110.
- [2] Borowiecki M., Kennedy J. W. and Sysło M. M., *Graph Theory: Proceedings of a Conference held in Łagów, Poland, February 10-13, 1981*, eds. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1018 (1983), 248–256.
- [3] Chen R., Geelen J., Infinitely many excluded minors for frame matroids and for lifted-graphic matroids, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 133 (2018), 46–53.
- [4] Chen R., Whittle G., On recognizing frame and lifted-graphic matroids, *J. Graph Theory*, 87(1) (2018), 72–76.
- [5] Fleischner H., *Eulerian graphs and related topics, Part 1, Vol 1*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [6] Funk D., Mayhew D., On excluded minors for classes of graphical matroids, *Discrete Math.*, 341(6) (2018), 1509–1522.
- [7] Geelen J., Gerards B., Whittle G., Solving Rota’s conjecture, *Not. Am. Math. Soc.*, 61(7) (2014), 736–743.

- [8] Malavadkar P. P., Dhotre S. B., and Shikare M. M., Forbidden-minors for the class of cographic matroids which yield the graphic element splitting matroids, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 43(1) (2019), 105–119.
- [9] Malavadkar P. P., Jagadale U. V., and Gunjal S. S., On the circuits of splitting matroids representable over $GF(p)$ (Preprint).
- [10] Malavadkar P. P., Shikare M. M., and Dhotre S. B., A characterization of n -connected splitting matroids, *Asian-European J. Math.*, 7(4) (2014), 1–7.
- [11] Mills A., On the cocircuits of a splitting matroid, *Ars Comb.*, 89 (2008), 243–253.
- [12] Mundhe G., Borse Y. M., Dalvi K. V., On graphic elementary lifts of graphic matroids, *Discrete Mathematics*, 345(10) (2022), 113014.
- [13] Oxley J. G., *Matroid theory*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1992.
- [14] Oxley J. G., Ternary paving matroids, *Discrete Mathematics*, 91 (1991), 77–86.
- [15] Raghunathan T. T., Shikare M. M., and Waphare B. N., Splitting in a binary matroid, *Discrete Mathematics*, 184 (1998), 267–271.
- [16] Shikare M. M., Splitting lemma for binary matroids, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 32 (2008), 151–159.
- [17] Shikare M. M., The element splitting operation for graphs, binary matroids and its applications, *The Math. Student*, 80 (2010), 85–90.
- [18] Shikare M. M., Dhotre S. B., and Malavadkar P. P., A Forbidden-minor characterization for the class of regular matroids which yield the cographic es-splitting Matroids, *Lobachevskii J. Math.*, 34(2) (2013), 173–180.
- [19] Shikare M. M. and Azadi G., Determination of bases of a splitting matroid, *European J. Combin.*, 24 (2003), 45–52.
- [20] Tutte W. T., Lectures on matroids, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards*, B69 (1965), 1–47.
- [21] Tutte W. T., Connectivity in matroids, *Canad. J. Math.*, 18 (1966), 1301–1324.

- [22] Wagner D. K., Bipartite and Eulerian minors, *European J. Combin.*, 74 (2018), 1–10.
- [23] Welsh D. J. A., Euler and bipartite matroids, *J. Combin. Theory*, 6 (1969), 375–377.