

β -*b*-REGULARITY IN FUZZY SETTING

Anjana Bhattacharyya

Department of Mathematics
Victoria Institution (College),
78 B, A.P.C. Road, Kolkata - 700009, INDIA

E-mail : anjanabhattacharyya@hotmail.com

(**Received:** Aug. 07, 2023 **Accepted:** Dec. 02, 2023 **Published:** Dec. 30, 2023)

Abstract: This paper deals with a new type of fuzzy open-like set, viz., fuzzy β -*b*-open set, the class of which is strictly larger than that of fuzzy open set as well as fuzzy preopen set [11], fuzzy semiopen set [1], fuzzy α -open set [4] and fuzzy β -open set [6]. However, three different types of fuzzy continuous-like functions are introduced and studied and also the mutual relationships of these functions are established. Afterwards, two new types of separation axioms and a new type of compactness are introduced and studied. It is shown that in a fuzzy β -*b*-regular space, fuzzy β -*b*-open set coincides with fuzzy open set. In the last section some applications of the functions defined here are established.

Keywords and Phrases: Fuzzy β -*b*-open set, fuzzy regular open set, fuzzy β -*b*-*r*-continuous function, fuzzy β -*b*-continuity, fuzzy almost β -*b*-continuity, fuzzy extremally disconnected space.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40, 03E72.

1. Introduction

Fuzzy β -open set is introduced in [6]. Using this concept as a basic tool, here we introduce fuzzy β -*b*-open set. Fuzzy continuity is introduced in [5]. Here we introduce fuzzy β -*b*-*r*-continuous function, an independent concept of fuzzy continuity. Next two new types of functions are introduced here, viz., fuzzy β -*b*-continuity, and fuzzy almost β -*b*-continuity. It is shown that fuzzy continuity implies fuzzy

β - b -continuity and fuzzy almost β - b -continuity, but not conversely. Here we introduce fuzzy β - b -regular space in which fuzzy open set and fuzzy β - b -open set coincide. Also we introduce fuzzy β - b -compactness and fuzzy β - b - T_2 -space. It is established that fuzzy β - b -compactness implies fuzzy compactness, but not conversely and fuzzy T_2 -space is fuzzy β - b - T_2 -space, but not conversely.

2. Preliminary

Throughout the paper, (X, τ) or simply by X we shall mean a fuzzy topological space (fts, for short) in the sense of Chang [5]. In [15], Zadeh introduced fuzzy set as follows : A fuzzy set A in an fts X is a mapping from a non-empty set X into the closed interval $I = [0, 1]$, i.e., $A \in I^X$. The support [15] of a fuzzy set A , denoted by $suppA$ and is defined by $suppA = \{x \in X : A(x) \neq 0\}$. The fuzzy set with the singleton support $\{x\} \subseteq X$ and the value t ($0 < t \leq 1$) will be denoted by x_t . 0_X and 1_X are the constant fuzzy sets taking values 0 and 1 respectively in X . The complement [15] of a fuzzy set A in X is denoted by $1_X \setminus A$ and is defined by $(1_X \setminus A)(x) = 1 - A(x)$, for each $x \in X$ [15]. For any two fuzzy sets A, B in X , $A \leq B$ means $A(x) \leq B(x)$, for all $x \in X$ [15] while AqB means A is quasi-coincident (q-coincident, for short) [12] with B , i.e., there exists $x \in X$ such that $A(x) + B(x) > 1$. The negation of these two statements will be denoted by $A \not\leq B$ and $A \not q B$ respectively. For a fuzzy set A , clA and $intA$ stand for fuzzy closure and fuzzy interior of A in X [5]. $A \in I^X$ is called fuzzy regular open [1] (resp., fuzzy semiopen [1], fuzzy preopen [11], fuzzy α -open [4], fuzzy β -open [6]) if $A = int(clA)$ (resp., $A \leq cl(intA)$, $A \leq int(clA)$, $A \leq int(cl(intA))$, $A \leq cl(int(clA))$). The complement of fuzzy regular open (resp., fuzzy semiopen, fuzzy preopen, fuzzy α -open, fuzzy β -open) set is called fuzzy regular closed [1] (resp., fuzzy semiclosed [1], fuzzy preclosed [11], fuzzy α -closed [4], fuzzy β -closed [6]) set. The smallest fuzzy semiclosed (resp., fuzzy preclosed, fuzzy α -closed, fuzzy β -closed) set containing a fuzzy set A in X is called fuzzy semiclosure [1] (resp., fuzzy preclosure [11], fuzzy α -closure [4], fuzzy β -closure [6]) of A , denoted by $sclA$ (resp., $pclA$, αclA , βclA). $A \in I^X$ is fuzzy semiclosed (resp., fuzzy preclosed, fuzzy α -closed, fuzzy β -closed) if $A = sclA$ (resp., $A = pclA$, $A = \alpha clA$, $A = \beta clA$). The collection of all fuzzy regular open (resp., fuzzy semiopen, fuzzy preopen, fuzzy α -open, fuzzy β -open) sets in X is denoted by $FRO(X)$ (rssp., $FSO(X)$, $FPO(X)$, $F\alpha O(X)$, $F\beta O(X)$) and the collection of all fuzzy regular closed (resp., fuzzy semiclosed, fuzzy preclosed, fuzzy α -closed, fuzzy β -closed) sets in X is denoted by $FRC(X)$ (rssp., $FSC(X)$, $FPC(X)$, $F\alpha C(X)$, $F\beta C(X)$). For a fuzzy open set A in X , $sclA = int(clA)$ [2].

3. Fuzzy β -b-Open Set : Some Properties

In this section fuzzy β -b-open set is introduced and studied. It is shown that the set of all fuzzy β -b-open sets in an fts X does not form a fuzzy topology.

First we recall some definitions from [3] for ready references.

Definition 3.1. [3] Let (X, τ) be an fts and $A \in I^X$. A fuzzy point x_t in X is said to be fuzzy θ -semicluster point of A if $clUqA$ for all $U \in FSO(X)$ with x_tqU . The union of all fuzzy θ -semicluster points of A is called fuzzy θ -semiclosure of A and is denoted by θ -scl A .

$A(\in I^X)$ is fuzzy θ -semiclosed if $A = \theta$ -scl A .

Definition 3.2. [3] Let (X, τ) be an fts and $A \in I^X$. Then r -kernel of A , denoted by r -Ker A , is defined as follows :

$$r\text{-Ker}A = \bigwedge \{U : U \in FRO(X), A \leq U\}.$$

Let us now introduce the following concept.

Definition 3.3. A fuzzy set A in an fts (X, τ) is called fuzzy β -b-open if $A \leq cl(\beta int(clA))$.

The complement of a fuzzy β -b-open set is called fuzzy β -b-closed.

The collection of all fuzzy β -b-open (resp., fuzzy β -b-closed) sets in an fts X is denoted by $F\beta bO(X)$ (resp., $F\beta bC(X)$).

Remark 3.4. Union of any two fuzzy β -b-open sets is also so. But intersection of any two fuzzy β -b-open sets may not be so, as it seen from the following example.

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$ where $A(a) = 0.5, A(b) = 0.6$. Then (X, τ) is an fts. Consider two fuzzy sets B, C , defined by $B(a) = 0.4, B(b) = 0.6, C(a) = 0.6, C(b) = 0.4$. Then clearly $B, C \in F\beta bO(X)$. Let $D = B \wedge C$. Then $D(a) = D(b) = 0.4$. Now $cl(\beta int(clD)) = cl(\beta int(1_X \setminus A)) = cl0_X = 0_X \not\leq D \Rightarrow D \notin F\beta bO(X)$.

So we can conclude that the set of all fuzzy β -b-open sets in an fts X does not form a fuzzy topology.

Remark 3.6. It is clear from definitions that fuzzy open set, fuzzy semiopen set, fuzzy preopen set, fuzzy α -open set, fuzzy β -open set imply fuzzy β -b-open set, but the reverse implications are not necessarily true follow from the following example.

Example 3.7. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$ where $A(a) = 0.5, A(b) = 0.4$. Then (X, τ) is an fts. Consider a fuzzy set B defined by $B(a) = B(b) = 0.5$. Clearly $B \notin \tau, B \notin FPO(X), B \notin F\alpha O(X)$. But clearly $B \in F\beta bO(X)$.

Next consider the fuzzy set C defined by $C(a) = C(b) = 0.6$. Then clearly $C \notin$

$FSO(X)$, but $C \in F\beta bO(X)$.

As $\tau \subseteq F\beta O(X)$, clearly fuzzy β - b -open set may not necessarily fuzzy β -open set.

Theorem 3.8. *Let (X, τ) be an fts. Then the union of any collection of fuzzy β - b -open sets in X is fuzzy β - b -open in X .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any collection of fuzzy β - b -open sets in X . Then for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $G_\alpha \leq cl(\beta int(clG_\alpha))$. Also, $G_\alpha \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$. Then $clG_\alpha \leq cl(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha)$ implies that $G_\alpha \leq cl(\beta int(clG_\alpha)) \leq cl(\beta int(cl(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha)))$, and this is true for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Taking union on both sides, $\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha \leq cl(\beta int(cl(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha)))$. Hence $\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ is a fuzzy β - b -open in X .

Let us now introduce a new type of closure-like operator.

Definition 3.9. *Let (X, τ) be an fts and $A \in I^X$. Then fuzzy β - b -closure of A , denoted by $\beta bclA$, is defined by*

$$\beta bclA = \bigwedge \{U \in I^X : A \leq U, U \in F\beta bC(X)\}$$

and fuzzy β - b -interior of A , denoted by $\beta bintA$, is defined by

$$\beta bintA = \bigvee \{G : G \leq A, G \in F\beta bO(X)\}.$$

Note 3.10. By Remark 3.4, we can conclude that for any fuzzy set A in an fts X , $\beta bclA$ is fuzzy β - b -closed and $\beta bintA$ is fuzzy β - b -open. Again, if $A \in F\beta bC(X)$, then $A = \beta bclA$ and if $A \in F\beta bO(X)$, then $A = \beta bintA$.

Result 3.11. *Let (X, τ) be an fts. Then the following statements are true :*

(i) *for any fuzzy point x_t in X and any $U \in I^X$, $x_t \in \beta bclU$ implies that for any $V \in F\beta bO(X)$ with $x_t qV$, $V qU$,*

(ii) *for any two fuzzy sets U, V where $V \in F\beta bO(X)$, $U \not qV \Rightarrow \beta bclU \not qV$.*

Proof (i). Let $x_t \in \beta bclU$ and $V \in F\beta bO(X)$ with $x_t qV$. Then $x_t \notin 1_X \setminus V \in F\beta bC(X)$. Then $U \not\leq 1_X \setminus V$ implies that $U qV$.

(ii). If possible, let $\beta bclU qV$, but $U \not qV$. Then there exists $x \in X$ such that $(\beta bclU)(x) + V(x) > 1$ and so $V(x) + t > 1$ where $t = (\beta bclU)(x)$. Then $x_t \in \beta bclU$ where $x_t qV$, $V \in F\beta bO(X)$. By (i), $V qU$, a contradiction.

Result 3.12. *Let (X, τ) be an fts and $A \in I^X$. Then the following statements are true :*

(i) $\beta bcl(1_X \setminus A) = 1_X \setminus \beta bintA$,

(ii) $1_X \setminus \beta bclA = \beta bintA(1_X \setminus A)$.

Proof. (i) Let $x_t \in \beta bcl(1_X \setminus A)$. If possible, let $x_t \notin 1_X \setminus \beta bint A$. Then $x_t q \beta bint A \Rightarrow$ there exists $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ with $U \leq A$ such that $x_t q U$. Since $x_t \in \beta bcl(1_X \setminus A)$, $U q (1_X \setminus A)$ (by Result 3.11 (i)) $\Rightarrow A q (1_X \setminus A)$, a contradiction. Hence

$$\beta bcl(1_X \setminus A) \leq 1_X \setminus \beta bint A \dots (1)$$

Conversely, let $x_t \in 1_X \setminus \beta bint A$. Then $1 - \beta bint A(x) \geq t \Rightarrow x_t \not q \beta bint A \Rightarrow x_t \not q U$ where $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ with $U \leq A \dots (2)$

Let $V \in F\beta bC(X)$ with $1_X \setminus A \leq V$. Then $1_X \setminus V \leq A$ where $1_X \setminus V \in F\beta bO(X)$. By (2), $x_t \not q (1_X \setminus V) \Rightarrow x_t \in V \Rightarrow x_t \in \beta bcl(1_X \setminus A)$. Hence $1_X \setminus \beta bint A \leq \beta bcl(1_X \setminus A) \dots (3)$.

Combining (1) and (3), we get the result.

(ii) Writing $1_X \setminus A$ for A in (i), we get the proof.

Let us now recall the following Lemma from [3] for ready references.

Lemma 3.13. [3] *Let (X, τ) be an fts and $A \in I^X$. Then the following statements hold.:*

- (i) for any $A \in FRO(X)$, θ -scl $A = A$,
- (ii) for any $A \in F\beta O(X)$, $clA = \alpha clA$,
- (iii) for any $A \in FSO(X)$, $clA = pclA$,
- (iv) for any $A \in \tau$, $sclA = \theta$ -scl A .

4. Fuzzy β -b-r-Continuous, Fuzzy β -b-Continuous and Fuzzy Almost β -b-Continuous Functions

In this section we first introduce fuzzy β -b-r-continuous function and characterize it in several ways. Afterwards, two new types of functions, viz., fuzzy β -b-continuous function and fuzzy almost β -b-continuous function are introduced. The mutual relationships of these three functions are established here .

Definition 4.1. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's. Then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called fuzzy β -b-r-continuous function if $f^{-1}(A) \in F\beta bC(X)$, for all $A \in FRO(Y)$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent :*

- (i) f is fuzzy β -b-r-continuous,
- (ii) $f^{-1}(A) \in F\beta bO(X)$, for all $A \in FRC(Y)$,
- (iii) $f(\beta bcl_\tau U) \leq r$ -ker($f(U)$), for all $U \in I^X$,
- (iv) $\beta bcl_\tau(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(r$ -ker(A)), for all $A \in I^Y$,
- (v) $\beta bcl_\tau(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(\theta$ -scl $_{\tau_1} R)$), for all $R \in \tau_1$.
- (vi) $\beta bcl_\tau(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(scl_{\tau_1} R)$), for all $R \in \tau_1$.
- (vii) $\beta bcl_\tau(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1} R))$), for all $R \in \tau_1$.

- (viii) $f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}A)) \in F\beta bC(X)$, for all $A \in \tau_1$,
- (ix) $f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}F)) \in F\beta bO(X)$, for all $F \in \tau_1^c$,
- (x) $f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}U) \in F\beta bO(X)$, for all $U \in F\beta O(Y)$,
- (xi) $f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}U) \in F\beta bO(X)$, for all $U \in FSO(Y)$,
- (xii) $f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)) \in F\beta bC(X)$, for all $U \in FPO(Y)$,
- (xiii) $f^{-1}(\alpha cl_{\tau_1}U) \in F\beta bO(X)$, for all $U \in F\beta O(Y)$,
- (xiv) $f^{-1}(pcl_{\tau_1}U) \in F\beta bO(X)$, for all $U \in FSO(Y)$.

Proof (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). Obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $U \in I^X$ and suppose that y_t be a fuzzy point in Y with $y_t \notin r\text{-ker}(f(U))$. Then there exists $V \in FRO(Y)$ such that $f(U) \leq V$ and $y_t \notin V \Rightarrow V(y) < t$ and so $y_t q(1_Y \setminus V) \in FRC(Y)$ and $1_Y \setminus f(U) \geq 1_Y \setminus V$. So $f(U) \not\leq (1_Y \setminus V)$ implies that $U \not\leq f^{-1}(1_Y \setminus V)$. By (ii), $f^{-1}(1_Y \setminus V) = 1_X \setminus f^{-1}(V) \in F\beta bO(X)$. By Result 3.11(ii), $\beta bcl_{\tau}U \not\leq (1_X \setminus f^{-1}(V))$. Then $\beta bcl_{\tau}U \leq f^{-1}(V)$. So $f(\beta bcl_{\tau}U) \leq V$ implies that $1_Y \setminus f(\beta bcl_{\tau}U) \geq 1_Y \setminus V$. So $1 - f(\beta bcl_{\tau}U)(y) \geq 1 - V(y) > 1 - t$. Then $t > f(\beta bcl_{\tau}U)(y)$. Then $y_t \notin f(\beta bcl_{\tau}U)$. Therefore, $f(\beta bcl_{\tau}U) \leq r\text{-ker}(f(U))$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let $A \in I^Y$. Then $f^{-1}(A) \in I^X$. By (iii), $f(\beta bcl_{\tau}f^{-1}(A)) \leq r\text{-ker}(f(f^{-1}(A))) \leq r\text{-ker}(A)$ implies that $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(r\text{-ker}(A))$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let $A \in FRO(Y)$. By (iv), $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(r\text{-ker}(A)) = f^{-1}(A)$. But $f^{-1}(A) \leq \beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(A))$ and so $f^{-1}(A) = \beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(A))$ and so $f^{-1}(A) \in F\beta bC(X)$. Hence f is fuzzy β - b - r -continuous function.

(v) \Leftrightarrow (vi). Follows from Lemma 3.13 (iv).

(vi) \Leftrightarrow (vii). Obvious.

(vii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $A \in FRO(Y)$. By (vii), $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}A)) = f^{-1}(A)$ implies that $f^{-1}(A) \in F\beta bC(X)$. Hence f is fuzzy β - b - r -continuous function.

(i) \Rightarrow (vii). Let $A \in \tau_1$. Then $int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}A) \in FRO(Y)$. By (i), $f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}A)) \in F\beta bC(X)$ and so $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(A)) \leq \beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))) = f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))$.

(i) \Rightarrow (viii). Let $A \in \tau_1$. Then $int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}A) \in FRO(Y)$ and so by

(i), $f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}A)) \in F\beta bC(X)$.

(viii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $A \in FRO(Y)$. Then $A \in \tau_1$.

By (viii), $f^{-1}(A) = f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}A)) \in F\beta bC(X)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (ix). Let $F \in \tau_1^c$. Then $cl_{\tau_1}int_{\tau_1}F \in FRC(Y)$. By (ii), $f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}F)) \in F\beta bO(X)$.

(ix) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $F \in FRC(Y)$. By (ix), $f^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}F)) \in F\beta bO(X)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (x). Let $U \in F\beta O(Y)$. Then $U \leq cl_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)) \leq cl_{\tau_1}U$ implies that $cl_{\tau_1}U \leq cl_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U))) = cl_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)) \leq cl_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U) = cl_{\tau_1}U$. So $cl_{\tau_1}U = cl_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U))$. Then $cl_{\tau_1}U \in FRC(Y)$ and so by (ii), $f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}U) \in F\beta bO(X)$.

(x) \Rightarrow (xi). Since $FSO(Y) \subseteq F\beta O(Y)$, by (x), $f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}U) \in F\beta bO(X)$, for all $U \in FSO(Y)$.

(xi) \Rightarrow (xii). Let $U \in FPO(Y)$. Then $U \leq int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)$. We claim that $int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U) \in FRO(Y)$. Indeed, $int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U) \leq int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U))) \leq int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)$ implies that $int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U) = int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)))$. So $1_Y \setminus int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U) \in FRC(Y)$. So $1_Y \setminus int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U) \in FSO(Y)$. By (xi), $f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}(1_Y \setminus int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U))) \in F\beta bO(X)$. Then $1_X \setminus f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U))) = 1_X \setminus f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)) \in F\beta bO(X)$. Hence $f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)) \in F\beta bC(X)$.

(xii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $U \in FRO(Y)$. Then $U \in FPO(Y)$. By (xii), $f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)) \in F\beta bC(X)$. Hence $f^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)) \in F\beta bC(X)$. Then (i) follows.

(x) \Leftrightarrow (xiii). The proof follows from Lemma 3.13(ii).

(xi) \Leftrightarrow (xiv). The proof follow from Lemma 3.13(iii).

Theorem 4.3. Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then the following statements hold :

(i) for each fuzzy point x_t in X and each $A \in FSO(Y)$ with $f(x_t)qA$, there exists $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ with x_tqU , $f(U) \leq cl_{\tau_1}A$,

\Leftrightarrow (ii) $f(\beta bcl_{\tau}P) \leq \theta\text{-scl}_{\tau_1}(f(P))$, for all $P \in I^X$,

\Leftrightarrow (iii) for each fuzzy point x_t in X and each $A \in FSO(Y)$ with $f(x_t) \in A$, there exists $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ such that $x_t \in U$ and $f(U) \leq cl_{\tau_1}A$,

\Leftrightarrow (iv) $f^{-1}(A) \leq \beta bint_{\tau}(f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))$, for all $A \in FSO(Y)$,

\Leftrightarrow (v) $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(\theta\text{-scl}_{\tau_1}R)$, for all $R \in I^Y$,

\Rightarrow (vi) f is fuzzy β -b-r-continuous function.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $P \in I^X$ and x_t be any fuzzy point in X such that $x_t \in \beta bcl_{\tau}P$ and let $G \in FSO(Y)$ with $f(x_t)qG$. By (i), there exists $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ with x_tqU , $f(U) \leq cl_{\tau_1}G$. As $x_t \in \beta bcl_{\tau}P$, by Result 3.11(i), UqP and so $f(U)qf(P)$. Then $f(P)qcl_{\tau_1}G$. Then $f(x_t) \in \theta\text{-scl}_{\tau_1}(f(P))$. Hence $f(\beta bcl_{\tau}P) \leq \theta\text{-scl}_{\tau_1}(f(P))$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (v). Let $R \in I^Y$. Then $f^{-1}(R) \in I^X$. By (ii), $f(\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(R))) \leq \theta\text{-scl}_{\tau_1}(f(f^{-1}(R))) \leq \theta\text{-scl}_{\tau_1}R$ and so $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(\theta\text{-scl}_{\tau_1}R)$.

(v) \Rightarrow (i). Let x_t be any fuzzy point in X and $A \in FSO(Y)$ with $f(x_t)qA$. Since, $cl_{\tau_1}A \not q (1_Y \setminus cl_{\tau_1}A)$, by definition $f(x_t) \notin \theta\text{-scl}_{\tau_1}(1_Y \setminus cl_{\tau_1}A)$ and so $x_t \notin f^{-1}(\theta\text{-scl}_{\tau_1}(1_Y \setminus cl_{\tau_1}A))$. By (v), $x_t \notin \beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(1_Y \setminus cl_{\tau_1}A))$. So there exists $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ with x_tqU , $U \not q f^{-1}(1_Y \setminus cl_{\tau_1}A)$ implies that $f(U) \not q (1_Y \setminus cl_{\tau_1}A)$. Hence $f(U) \leq cl_{\tau_1}A$.

(i) \Rightarrow (iv). Let $A \in FSO(Y)$ and x_t be any fuzzy point in X such that $x_tqf^{-1}(A)$. Then $f(x_t)qA$. By (i), there exists $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ such that x_tqU , $f(U) \leq cl_{\tau_1}A \Rightarrow x_tqU \leq f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A)$ and so $x_tqU = \beta bint_{\tau}U \leq \beta bint_{\tau}(f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))$ implies that $x_tq\beta bint_{\tau}(f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))$ as $\beta bint_{\tau}(f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))$ is the union of all fuzzy β -b-open sets in X contained in $f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A)$ and hence $f^{-1}(A) \leq \beta bint_{\tau}(f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let x_t be any fuzzy point in X and $A \in FSO(Y)$ with $f(x_t)qA$. Then

$x_t q f^{-1}(A) \leq \beta bint_{\tau}(f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))$ (by (iv)) implies that there exists $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ with $x_t q U$, $U \leq f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A)$. Hence $f(U) \leq cl_{\tau_1}A$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let $A \in FSO(Y)$ and x_t be any fuzzy point in X such that $x_t \in f^{-1}(A)$. Then $f(x_t) \in A$. By (iii), there exists $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ with $x_t \in U$ and $f(U) \leq cl_{\tau_1}A$ implies that $U \leq f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A)$ and so $x_t \in U = \beta bint_{\tau}U \leq \beta bint_{\tau}(f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))$. Hence $f^{-1}(A) \leq \beta bint_{\tau}(f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii). Let x_t be any fuzzy point in X and $A \in FSO(Y)$ with $f(x_t) \in A$. Then $x_t \in f^{-1}(A) \leq \beta bint_{\tau}(f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A))$ (by (iv)) implies that there exists $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ with $x_t \in U$ and $U \leq f^{-1}(cl_{\tau_1}A)$. Hence $f(U) \leq cl_{\tau_1}A$.

(v) \Rightarrow (vi). Let $A \in FRO(Y)$. By (v), $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(\theta-scl_{\tau_1}A) = f^{-1}(A)$ (by Lemma 3.13 (i)) $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(A) \in F\beta bC(X) \Rightarrow f$ is fuzzy β - b - r -continuous function.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function satisfying $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(\theta-scl_{\tau_1}R)$, for all $R \in I^Y$. Then the following statements hold :*

- (i) $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(\theta-scl_{\tau_1}R)$, for all $R \in FSO(Y)$,
- (ii) $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(\theta-scl_{\tau_1}R)$, for all $R \in FPO(Y)$,
- (iii) $\beta bcl_{\tau}(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(\theta-scl_{\tau_1}R)$, for all $R \in F\beta O(Y)$.

Proof. Obvious.

Definition 4.5. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then f is said to be fuzzy*

- (i) β - b -continuous if $f^{-1}(A) \in F\beta bO(X)$, for all $A \in \tau_1$,
- (ii) almost β - b -continuous if $f^{-1}(A) \in F\beta bO(X)$, for all $A \in FRO(Y)$.

Let us now recall the following definition from [5] for ready references.

Definition 4.6. [5] *Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then f is said to be fuzzy continuous function if $f^{-1}(U) \in \tau$, for all $U \in \tau_1$.*

Remark 4.7. *It is clear from definitions that*

- (i) fuzzy continuity \Rightarrow fuzzy β - b -continuity \Rightarrow fuzzy almost β - b -continuity, but reverse implications are not necessarily true, in general, follow from the next examples,
- (ii) fuzzy β - b - r -continuity is an independent concept of fuzzy continuity, fuzzy β - b -continuity and fuzzy almost β - b -continuity, follow from the next examples.

Example 4.8. Fuzzy continuity, fuzzy β - b -continuity and fuzzy almost β - b -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy β - b - r -continuity

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, A, B\}$, $\tau_2 = \{0_X, 1_X, B\}$ where $A(a) = A(b) = 0.5$, $B(a) = 0.5$, $B(b) = 0.4$. Then (X, τ_1) and (X, τ_2) are fts's. Consider the iden-

tivity function $i : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (X, \tau_2)$. Clearly i is fuzzy continuous and hence fuzzy β - b -continuous as well as fuzzy almost β - b -continuous function. Now $1_X \setminus B \in FRC(X, \tau_2)$. $i^{-1}(1_X \setminus B) = 1_X \setminus B$. Then $cl_{\tau_1}(\beta int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}(1_X \setminus B))) = A \not\subseteq 1_X \setminus B \Rightarrow 1_X \setminus B \notin F\beta bO(X, \tau_1) \Rightarrow i$ is not fuzzy β - b - r -continuous function.

Example 4.9. Fuzzy β - b - r -continuity, fuzzy β - b -continuity and fuzzy almost β - b -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy continuity

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$ where $A(a) = A(b) = 0.5$. Then (X, τ_1) and (X, τ_2) are fts's. Consider the identity function $i : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (X, \tau_2)$. Since every fuzzy set in (X, τ_1) is fuzzy β - b -open in (X, τ_1) , clearly i is fuzzy β - b - r -continuous, fuzzy β - b -continuous and fuzzy almost β - b -continuous function. Since $A \in \tau_2$, but $i^{-1}(A) = A \notin \tau_1$, i is not fuzzy continuous function.

Example 4.10. Fuzzy β - b - r -continuity, fuzzy almost β - b -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy β - b -continuity

Lt $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, A, B\}$, $\tau_2 = \{0_X, 1_X, C\}$ where $A(a) = A(b) = 0.4, B(a) = B(b) = 0.5, C(a) = 0.5, C(b) = 0.6$. Then (X, τ_1) and (X, τ_2) are fts's. Consider the identity function $i : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (X, \tau_2)$. Here $C \in \tau_2$ and $i^{-1}(C) = C$. Then $cl_{\tau_1}(\beta int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}C)) = B \not\subseteq C \Rightarrow C \notin F\beta bO(X, \tau_1) \Rightarrow i$ is not fuzzy β - b -continuous function. Since $0_X, 1_X \in FRO(X, \tau_2)$ only, so clearly i is fuzzy β - b - r -continuous and fuzzy almost β - b -continuous function.

Example 4.11. Fuzzy β - b - r -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy almost β - b -continuity

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$, $\tau_2 = \{0_X, 1_X, B\}$ where $A(a) = 0.5, A(b) = 0.6, B(a) = 0.5, B(b) = 0.3$. Then (X, τ_1) and (X, τ_2) are fts's. Consider the identity function $i : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (X, \tau_2)$. Now $B \in FRO(X, \tau_2)$, $i^{-1}(B) = B$. Then $int_{\tau_1}(\beta cl_{\tau_1}(int_{\tau_1}B)) = 0_X \leq B \Rightarrow B \in F\beta bC(X, \tau_1) \Rightarrow i$ is fuzzy β - b - r -continuous function. But $cl_{\tau_1}(\beta int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}B)) = 0_X \not\subseteq B \Rightarrow B \notin F\beta bO(X, \tau_1) \Rightarrow i$ is not fuzzy almost β - b -continuous function.

Definition 4.12. [10] An fts (X, τ) is said to be fuzzy extremally disconnected if the closure of every fuzzy open set in X is fuzzy open in X .

Theorem 4.13. Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. If (Y, τ_1) is fuzzy extremally disconnected space, then f is fuzzy β - b - r -continuous function if and only if f is fuzzy almost β - b -continuous function.

Proof. First suppose that f is fuzzy β - b - r -continuous function and let $U \in FRO(Y)$. Then $U = int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}U)$. As Y is fuzzy extremely disconnected, $cl_{\tau_1}U \in \tau_1$ and so $U = int_{\tau_1}cl_{\tau_1}U = cl_{\tau_1}U = cl_{\tau_1}int_{\tau_1}U$ implies that $U \in FRC(Y)$. By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(U) \in F\beta bO(X)$ and so f is fuzzy almost β - b -continuous function.

Conversely, let $U \in FRC(Y)$. As Y is fuzzy extremely disconnected, $U \in$

$FRO(Y)$. By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(U) \in F\beta bO(X)$. Hence f is fuzzy β - b - r -continuous function.

Remark 4.14. *Composition of two fuzzy β - b - r -continuous (resp., fuzzy β - b -continuous and fuzzy almost β - b -continuous) functions need not be so, as it seen from the following examples.*

Example 4.15. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, A, B\}$, $\tau_2 = \{0_X, 1_X\}$, $\tau_3 = \{0_X, 1_X, B\}$ where $A(a) = A(b) = 0.5, B(a) = 0.5, B(b) = 0.4$. Then (X, τ_1) , (X, τ_2) and (X, τ_3) are fts's. Consider two identity functions $i_1 : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (X, \tau_2)$, $i_2 : (X, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \tau_3)$. Clearly i_1 and i_2 are fuzzy β - b - r -continuous functions. Let $i_3 = i_2 \circ i_1$. Now $1_X \setminus B \in FRC(X, \tau_3)$, $i_3^{-1}(1_X \setminus B) = 1_X \setminus B$. Now $cl_{\tau_1}(\beta int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}(1_X \setminus B))) = A \not\subseteq 1_X \setminus B \Rightarrow 1_X \setminus B \notin F\beta bO(X, \tau_1) \Rightarrow i_3$ is not fuzzy β - b - r -continuous function.

Example 4.16. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$, $\tau_2 = \{0_X, 1_X\}$, $\tau_3 = \{0_X, 1_X, B\}$ where $A(a) = 0.5, A(b) = 0.6, B(a) = 0.5, B(b) = 0.3$. Then (X, τ_1) , (X, τ_2) and (X, τ_3) are fts's. Consider two identity functions $i_1 : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (X, \tau_2)$ and $i_2 : (X, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \tau_3)$. Clearly i_1 and i_2 are fuzzy β - b -continuous and hence fuzzy almost β - b -continuous functions. Let $i_3 = i_2 \circ i_1$. Now $B \in \tau_3$ as well as $B \in FRO(X, \tau_3)$. $i_3^{-1}(B) = B$. Now $cl_{\tau_1}(\beta int_{\tau_1}(cl_{\tau_1}B)) = 0_X \not\subseteq B \Rightarrow B \notin F\beta bO(X, \tau_1) \Rightarrow i_3$ is not fuzzy β - b -continuous and also fuzzy almost β - b -continuous functions.

5. Fuzzy β - b -Regular, Fuzzy β - b -compact and Fuzzy β - b - T_2 -Spaces

In this section new types of separation axioms and compactness are introduced and studied. Then the mutual relationships of these spaces with the spaces defined in [5, 8] are established.

Definition 5.1. *An fts (X, τ) is called β - b -regular space if for each fuzzy point x_t in X and each fuzzy β - b -closed set F with $x_t \notin F$, there exist a fuzzy open set U and a fuzzy β - b -open set V in X such that $x_t q U$, $F \leq V$ and $U \not q V$.*

Theorem 5.2. *For an fts (X, τ) , the following statements are equivalent:*

- X is fuzzy β - b -regular space,
- for each fuzzy point x_t in X and each fuzzy β - b -open set U in X with $x_t q U$, there exists a fuzzy open set V in X such that $x_t q V \leq \beta bcl V \leq U$,
- for each fuzzy β - b -closed set F in X , $\bigwedge \{cl V : F \leq V, V \in F\beta bO(X)\} = F$,
- for each fuzzy set G in X and each fuzzy β - b -open set U in X such that $G q U$, there exists a fuzzy open set V in X such that $G q V$ and $\beta bcl V \leq U$.

Proof (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let x_t be a fuzzy point in X and U , a fuzzy β - b -open set in X with $x_t q U$. Then $x_t \notin 1_X \setminus U \in F\beta bC(X)$. By (a), there exist a fuzzy open set V and a fuzzy β - b -open set W in X such that $x_t q V$, $1_X \setminus U \leq W$, $V \not q W$. Then

$x_tqV \leq 1_X \setminus W \leq U \Rightarrow x_tqV \leq \beta bclV \leq \beta bcl(1_X \setminus W) = 1_X \setminus W \leq U$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Let F be a fuzzy β -*b*-closed set in X and x_t be a fuzzy point in X with $x_t \notin F$. Then $x_tq(1_X \setminus F) \in F\beta bO(X)$. By (b), there exists a fuzzy open set V in X such that $x_tqV \leq \beta bclV \leq 1_X \setminus F$. Put $U = 1_X \setminus \beta bclV$. Then $U \in F\beta bO(X)$ and $x_tqV, F \leq U$ and $U \not\leq V$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Let F be fuzzy β -*b*-closed set in X . Then $F \leq \bigwedge\{clV : F \leq V, V \in F\beta bO(X)\}$.

Conversely, let $x_t \notin F \in F\beta bC(X)$. Then $F(x) < t \Rightarrow x_tq(1_X \setminus F)$ where $1_X \setminus F \in F\beta bO(X)$. By (b), there exists a fuzzy open set U in X such that $x_tqU \leq \beta bclU \leq 1_X \setminus F$. Put $V = 1_X \setminus \beta bclU$. Then $F \leq V$ and $U \not\leq V \Rightarrow x_t \notin clV \Rightarrow \bigwedge\{clV : F \leq V, V \in F\beta bO(X)\} \leq F$.

(c) \Rightarrow (b). Let V be any fuzzy β -*b*-open set in X and x_t be any fuzzy point in X with x_tqV . Then $V(x) + t > 1 \Rightarrow x_t \notin (1_X \setminus V)$ where $1_X \setminus V \in F\beta bC(X)$. By (c), there exists $G \in F\beta bO(X)$ such that $1_X \setminus V \leq G$ and $x_t \notin clG$. Then there exists a fuzzy open set U in X with $x_tqU, U \not\leq G \Rightarrow U \leq 1_X \setminus G \leq V \Rightarrow x_tqU \leq \beta bclU \leq \beta bcl(1_X \setminus G) = 1_X \setminus G \leq V$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d). Let G be any fuzzy set in X and U be any fuzzy β -*b*-open set in X with GqU . Then there exists $x \in X$ such that $G(x) + U(x) > 1$. Let $G(x) = t$. Then $x_tqU \Rightarrow x_t \notin 1_X \setminus U$ where $1_X \setminus U \in F\beta bC(X)$. By (c), there exists $W \in F\beta bO(X)$ such that $1_X \setminus U \leq W$ and $x_t \notin clW \Rightarrow (clW)(x) < t \Rightarrow x_tq(1_X \setminus clW)$. Let $V = 1_X \setminus clW$. Then V is fuzzy open set in X and $V(x) + t > 1 \Rightarrow V(x) + G(x) > 1 \Rightarrow VqG$ and $\beta bclV = \beta bcl(1_X \setminus clW) \leq \beta bcl(1_X \setminus W) = 1_X \setminus W \leq U$.

(d) \Rightarrow (b). Obvious.

Note 5.3. It is clear from Theorem 5.2 that in a fuzzy β -*b*-regular space, every fuzzy β -*b*-closed set is fuzzy closed and hence every fuzzy β -*b*-open set is fuzzy open. As a result, in a fuzzy β -*b*-regular space, the collection of all fuzzy closed (resp., fuzzy open) sets and fuzzy β -*b*-closed (resp., fuzzy β -*b*-open) sets coincide.

Definition 5.4. Let A be a fuzzy set in X . A collection \mathcal{U} of fuzzy sets in X is called a fuzzy cover of A if $\sup\{U(x) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = 1$, for each $x \in \text{supp}A$ [7]. In particular, if $A = 1_X$, we get the definition of fuzzy cover of X [5].

Definition 5.5. A fuzzy cover \mathcal{U} of a fuzzy set A in X is said to have a finite subcover \mathcal{U}_0 if \mathcal{U}_0 is a finite subcollection of \mathcal{U} such that $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_0 \geq A$, i.e., \mathcal{U}_0 is also a fuzzy cover of A [7]. In particular, if $A = 1_X$, we get $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_0 = 1_X$ [5].

Definition 5.6. A fuzzy set A in an fts (X, τ) is said to be fuzzy compact [7] if every fuzzy covering \mathcal{U} of A by fuzzy open sets in X has a finite subcovering \mathcal{U}_0 of \mathcal{U} . In particular, if $A = 1_X$, we get the definition of fuzzy compact space [5].

Definition 5.7. An fts (X, τ) is said to be fuzzy s -closed [13] (resp. fuzzy nearly compact [10]) if every fuzzy covering of X by fuzzy regular closed (resp., fuzzy regular open) sets of X contains a finite subcovering.

Let us now introduce the following concept.

Definition 5.8. A fuzzy set A in an fts (X, τ) is called fuzzy β - b -compact set if every fuzzy covering of A by fuzzy β - b -open sets of X has a finite subcovering. In particular, if $A = 1_X$, we get the definition of fuzzy β - b -compact space.

Remark 5.9. It is clear from above discussion that fuzzy β - b -compact space is fuzzy compact. But the converse is not necessarily true follows from the next example.

Example 5.10. Let $X = \{a\}$, $\tau = \{0_X, 1_X\}$. The clearly (X, τ) is a fuzzy compact space. Here every fuzzy set is fuzzy β - b -open set in X . Consider the fuzzy cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n(a) : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ where $U_n(a) = \{\frac{n}{n+1} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Then \mathcal{U} is a fuzzy β - b -open cover of X . But it does not have any subcovering of X . Hence X is not fuzzy β - b -compact space.

Theorem 5.11. Every fuzzy β - b -closed set A in a fuzzy β - b -compact space X is fuzzy β - b -compact.

Proof. Let A be a fuzzy β - b -closed set in a fuzzy β - b -compact space X . Let \mathcal{U} be a fuzzy covering of A by fuzzy β - b -open sets in X . Then $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \cup (1_X \setminus A)$ is a fuzzy β - b -open covering of X . By hypothesis, there exists a finite subcollection \mathcal{V}_0 of \mathcal{V} which also covers X . If \mathcal{V}_0 contains $1_X \setminus A$, we omit it and get a finite subcovering of A . Consequently, A is fuzzy β - b -compact set.

Let us now recall the following definition from [8] for ready references.

Definition 5.12. [8] Let (X, τ) be an fts. Then X is said to be fuzzy T_2 -space if for each pair of distinct fuzzy points x_α, y_β : when $x \neq y$, there exist fuzzy open sets U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 such that $x_\alpha \in U_1, y_\beta q V_1$ and $U_1 \not q V_1$ and $x_\alpha q U_2, y_\beta \in V_2$ and $U_2 \not q V_2$; when $x = y, \alpha < \beta$ (say), there exist fuzzy open sets U, V in X such that $x_\alpha \in U, y_\beta q V$ and $U \not q V$.

Now we introduce the following concept.

Definition 5.13. Let (X, τ) be an fts. Then X is said to be fuzzy β - b - T_2 -space if for each pair of distinct fuzzy points x_α, y_β : when $x \neq y$, there exist fuzzy β - b -open sets U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 such that $x_\alpha \in U_1, y_\beta q V_1$ and $U_1 \not q V_1$ and $x_\alpha q U_2, y_\beta \in V_2$ and $U_2 \not q V_2$; when $x = y, \alpha < \beta$ (say), there exist fuzzy β - b -open sets U, V in X such that $x_\alpha \in U, y_\beta q V$ and $U \not q V$.

Let us now recall the following definition from [8] for ready references.

Definition 5.14. [8] An fts (X, τ) is said to be fuzzy regular space if for any fuzzy

point x_t in X and any fuzzy closed set F in X with $x_t \notin F$, there exist fuzzy open sets U, V in X such that $x_t q U, F \leq V$ and $U \not q V$.

Remark 5.15. It is clear from Note 5.3 that fuzzy β -*b*-regular space is fuzzy regular and fuzzy T_2 -space is fuzzy β -*b*- T_2 -space. But the reverse implications are not necessarily true, follow from the next example.

Example 5.16. Consider Example 5.10. It is clear that (X, τ) is fuzzy regular and fuzzy β -*b*- T_2 -space (as every fuzzy set is fuzzy β -*b*-open set as well as fuzzy β -*b*-closed set). Now consider the fuzzy point $a_{0.4}$ and a fuzzy set A defined by $A(a) = 0.3$. Then $a_{0.4} \notin A \in F\beta bC(X)$. But there do not exist any fuzzy open set U and a fuzzy β -*b*-open set V in X such that $a_{0.4} q U, A \leq V$ and $U \not q V$ (because 1_X is the only fuzzy open set in X with $a_{0.4} q 1_X$ and $1_X q V$ for all fuzzy set $V (\neq 0_X)$ in X). Hence X is not fuzzy β -*b*-regular space.

Consider two fuzzy points $a_{0.4}$ and $a_{0.5}$ in X . But there do not exist fuzzy open sets U, V in X such that $a_{0.4} \in U, a_{0.5} q V$ and $U \not q V$. So X is not fuzzy T_2 -space.

6. Applications of Fuzzy β -*b*-*r*-Continuous, Fuzzy β -*b*-continuous and Fuzzy almost β -*b*-Continuous Functions

In this section the applications of the functions introduced in this paper are established.

First we recall the following definition from [14] for ready references.

Definition 6.1. [14] A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be fuzzy open function if $f(U)$ is a fuzzy open set in Y for every fuzzy open set U in X .

Theorem 6.2. Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be surjective, fuzzy β -*b*-*r*-continuous function. If X is fuzzy β -*b*-compact space, then Y is fuzzy *s*-closed space.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a fuzzy covering of Y by fuzzy regular closed sets of Y . As f is fuzzy β -*b*-*r*-continuous, $\mathcal{V} = \{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ covers X by fuzzy β -*b*-open sets of X . As X is fuzzy β -*b*-compact space, there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $1_X = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ implies that $1_Y = f(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)) =$

$\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f(f^{-1}(U_\alpha)) \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} U_\alpha$. Hence Y is fuzzy *s*-closed space.

Theorem 6.3. Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be fuzzy β -*b*-continuous function. If A is fuzzy β -*b*-compact set relative to X , then the image $f(A)$ is fuzzy compact relative to Y .

Proof. Let A be fuzzy β -*b*-compact relative to X and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a

fuzzy covering of $f(A)$ by fuzzy open sets of Y , i.e, $f(A) \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$ implies that

$A \leq f^{-1}(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. So $\mathcal{V} = \{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a fuzzy covering

of A by fuzzy β - b -open sets in X . As A is fuzzy β - b -compact set relative to X , there exists a finite subcollection $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of \mathcal{V} such that

$A \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})$. Then $f(A) \leq f(\bigvee_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n f(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ implies that $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{U_{\alpha_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a finite subcovering of $f(A)$. Hence the proof.

Theorem 6.4. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be fuzzy almost β - b -continuous function. If A is fuzzy β - b -compact relative to X , then the image $f(A)$ is fuzzy nearly compact relative to Y .*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.3.

Theorem 6.5. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, τ_1) be two fts's and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be injective, fuzzy β - b -continuous function and Y is fuzzy T_2 -space. Then X is fuzzy β - b - T_2 -space.*

Proof. Let x_α and y_β be two distinct fuzzy points in X where $x \neq y$. Then as f is injective, $f(x_\alpha) \neq f(y_\beta)$. As Y is fuzzy T_2 -space, there exist fuzzy open sets U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 in Y such that $f(x_\alpha) \in U_1, f(y_\beta) \notin U_1$ and $U_1 \not\leq V_1$ and $f(x_\alpha) \notin U_2, f(y_\beta) \in U_2$ and $U_2 \not\leq V_2$. Then $x_\alpha \in f^{-1}(U_1), y_\beta \notin f^{-1}(U_1)$ and $f^{-1}(U_1) \not\leq f^{-1}(V_1)$. Indeed, $f^{-1}(U_1) \not\leq f^{-1}(V_1) \Rightarrow$ there exists $z \in X$ such that $[f^{-1}(U_1)](z) + [f^{-1}(V_1)](z) > 1 \Rightarrow U_1(f(z)) + V_1(f(z)) > 1 \Rightarrow U_1 \not\leq V_1$, a contradiction. Also $x_\alpha \notin f^{-1}(U_2), y_\beta \in f^{-1}(U_2)$ and $f^{-1}(U_2) \not\leq f^{-1}(V_2)$ where $f^{-1}(U_1), f^{-1}(V_1), f^{-1}(U_2), f^{-1}(V_2) \in F\beta bO(X, \tau_1)$. Similarly, when $x = y, \alpha < \beta$ (say), there exist $U_1, U_2 \in \tau_1$ such that $f(x_\alpha) \in U_1, f(y_\beta) \notin U_1$ and $U_1 \not\leq U_2$. Then $x_\alpha \in f^{-1}(U_1), y_\beta \notin f^{-1}(U_1)$ and $f^{-1}(U_1) \not\leq f^{-1}(U_2)$ where $f^{-1}(U_1), f^{-1}(U_2) \in F\beta bO(X, \tau_1)$. Hence X is fuzzy β - b - T_2 -space.

Theorem 6.6. *If a bijective function $h : X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy β - b -continuous, fuzzy open function from a fuzzy β - b -regular space X onto an fts Y , then Y is fuzzy regular space.*

Proof. Let y_t be a fuzzy point in Y and F , a fuzzy closed set in Y with $y_t \notin F$. As h is bijective, there exists unique $x \in X$ such that $h(x) = y$. So $h(x_t) \notin F \Rightarrow x_t \notin h^{-1}(F) \in F\beta bC(X)$ as h is fuzzy β - b -continuous function. As X is fuzzy β - b -regular space, there exist a fuzzy open set U and a fuzzy β - b -open set V in X such that $x_t \in U, h^{-1}(F) \leq V$ and $U \not\leq V$. Since X is fuzzy β - b -regular space, by Note 5.3, V is also fuzzy open set in X . As h is fuzzy open function, we have $h(x_t) \in h(U), F \leq h(V)$ and $h(U) \not\leq h(V)$ where $h(U), h(V)$ are fuzzy open sets in $Y \Rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy regular space.

References

- [1] Azad, K. K., On fuzzy semi-continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 82 (1981), 14-32.
- [2] Bhattacharyya, Anjana, Concerning almost quasi continuous fuzzy multi-functions, *Universitatea Din Bacău Studii Şi Cercetări, Ştiinţifice Seria : Matematică*, 11 (2001), 35-48.
- [3] Bhattacharyya, Anjana, Several concepts of continuity in fuzzy setting, *The 10th International Conference MSAST 2016, December 21-23 (2016), Kolkata*, 282-293.
- [4] Bin Shahna, A. S., On fuzzy strong semicontinuity and fuzzy precontinuity, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 44 (1991), 303-308.
- [5] Chang, C. L., Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [6] Fath Alla, M. A., On fuzzy topological spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Assiut univ., Sohag, Egypt, 1984.
- [7] Ganguly, S. and Saha, S., A note on compactness in fuzzy setting, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 34 (1990), 117-124.
- [8] Hutton, B. and Reilly, I., Separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy sets and Systems*, 31 (1980), 93-104.
- [9] Mukherjee, M. N. and Ghosh, B., On fuzzy S -closed spaces and FSC-sets, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc.*, 12 (1989), 1-14.
- [10] Mukherjee, M. N. and Ghosh, B., On nearly compact and θ -rigid fuzzy sets in fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 43 (1991), 57-68.
- [11] Nanda, S. Strongly compact fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 42 (1991), 259-262.
- [12] Pu, Pao Ming and Liu, Ying Ming, Fuzzy topology I. Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith Convergence, *J. Math Anal. Appl.*, 76 (1980), 571-599.
- [13] Sinha, S. P. and Malakar, S., On s -closed fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 2 (1) (1994), 95-103.

- [14] Wong, C. K., Fuzzy points and local properties of fuzzy topology, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 46 (1974), 316-328.
- [15] Zadeh, L. A., Fuzzy Sets, *Inform. Control.*, 8 (1965), 338-353.