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#### Abstract

The concept of the direct product of a finite family of B-algebras is introduced by Lingcong and Endam [15]. In this paper, we introduce the concept of the direct product of an infinite family of IUP-algebras and prove that it is a DIUP-algebra; we call the external direct product DIUP-algebra induced by IUP-algebras, which is a general concept of the direct product in the sense of Lingcong and Endam. We find the result of the external direct product of special subsets of IUP-algebras. Also, we introduce the concept of the weak direct product


DIUP-algebras. Finally, we provide several fundamental theorems of (anti-)IUPhomomorphisms in view of the external direct product DIUP-algebras.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Imai and Iséki [12] first commenced the study of BCK-algebras in 1966. In that same year, Iséki [13] introduced another class of algebras, called BCI-algebras, which are generalizations of BCK-algebras. Both types of abstract algebras have been actively studied by a large number of academics.

Recently, Iampan et al. [11] introduced a new algebraic structure called an independent UP-algebras (in short, IUP-algebras), which is independent of UPalgebras. Then, they introduced the concepts of IUP-subalgebras, IUP-filters, IUPideals, and strong IUP-ideals of IUP-algebras and investigated their properties and relationships. In addition, they also discussed the concept of homomorphisms between IUP-algebras and studied the direct and inverse images of four special subsets.

The concept of the direct product has been discussed and obtained some properties. Then, the notion of direct product are applied to B-algebras by Lingcong and Endam in 2016 [15, 16], BF-algebras by Endam and Teves in 2016 [10], BRKalgebras by Abebe in 2018 [1], BG-algebras by Widianto et al. in 2019 [18], BPalgebras by Setiani et al. in 2020 [17], GK-algebra by Kavitha and Gowri in 2021 [14]. Furthermore, in 2022, Chanmanee et al. introduced the concept of the direct product of an infinite family of B-algebras [5], BG-algebras [2], IUP-algebras [9], and BP-algebras [8], respectively, they called them the external direct product. Also, they introduced the notion of the direct product of an infinite family of UPalgebras and proved that it was a DUP-algebras (dual UP-algebra); they called the external direct product DUP-algebra induced by UP-algebras [6] and applied the concept of the internal direct product of a groupoid to a UP-algebra [7, 4]. In 2023, Chanmanee et al. [3] introduced the concept of the direct product of an infinite family of UP (BCC)-algebras; they called the external direct product and found the result of the external direct product of special subsets of UP (BCC)algebras. Also, they introduced the concept of the weak direct product of UP (BCC)-algebras. Finally, they provided several fundamental theorems of (anti-)UP (BCC)-homomorphisms in view of the external direct product UP (BCC)-algebras.

In this paper, we introduce the concept of the direct product of an infinite family of IUP-algebras and prove that it is a DIUP-algebras; we call the external direct
product DIUP-algebra induced by IUP-algebras, which is a general concept of the direct product in the sense of Lingcong and Endam [15]. Moreover, we introduce the concept of the weak direct product DIUP-algebras. Finally, we discuss several (anti-)IUP-homomorphism theorems in view of the external direct product DIUPalgebras.

First of all, we start with the definitions and examples of IUP-algebras as well as other relevant definitions for the study in this paper, as follows:

Definition 1.1. [11] An algebra $X=(X ; *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ is called an IUPalgebra, where $X$ is a nonempty set, * is a binary operation on $X$, and 0 is a fixed element of $X$ if it satisfies the following axioms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\forall x \in X)(0 * x=x),  \tag{IUP-1}\\
& (\forall x \in X)(x * x=0),  \tag{IUP-2}\\
& (\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * y) *(x * z)=y * z) . \tag{IUP-3}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 1.2. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ be a set with the Cayley table as follows:

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 |

Then $X=(X ; *, 0)$ is an IUP-algebra.
Definition 1.3. An algebra $X=(X ; *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ is called a dual IUP-algebra (DIUP-algebra) if it satisfies (IUP-2) and the following axioms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\forall x \in X)(x * 0=x),  \tag{DIUP-1}\\
& (\forall x, y, z \in X)((z * x) *(y * x))=z * y) . \tag{DIUP-2}
\end{align*}
$$

The binary relation $\leq$ on a DIUP-algebra $X=(X ; *, 0)$ is defined as follows:

$$
(\forall x, y \in X)(x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x * y=0) .
$$

Example 1.4. Let $X=\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ be a set with the Cayley table as follows:

| $*$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3 |
| 5 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 |

Then $X=(X ; *, 0)$ is a DIUP-algebra.
In an IUP-algebra $X=(X ; *, 0)$, the following assertions are valid (see [11]).

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\forall x, y \in X)((x * 0) *(x * y)=y)  \tag{1.1}\\
& (\forall x \in X)((x * 0) *(x * 0)=0)  \tag{1.2}\\
& (\forall x, y \in X)((x * y) * 0=y * x),  \tag{1.3}\\
& (\forall x \in X)((x * 0) * 0=x)  \tag{1.4}\\
& (\forall x, y \in X)(x *((x * 0) * y)=y),  \tag{1.5}\\
& (\forall x, y \in X)(((x * 0) * y) * x=y * 0),  \tag{1.6}\\
& (\forall x, y, z \in X)(x * y=x * z \Leftrightarrow y=z),  \tag{1.7}\\
& (\forall x, y \in X)(x * y=0 \Leftrightarrow x=y)  \tag{1.8}\\
& (\forall x \in X)(x * 0=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0),  \tag{1.9}\\
& (\forall x, y, z \in X)(y * x=z * x \Leftrightarrow y=z),  \tag{1.10}\\
& (\forall x, y \in X)(x * y=y \Rightarrow x=0),  \tag{1.11}\\
& (\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * y) * 0=(z * y) *(z * x)),  \tag{1.12}\\
& (\forall x, y, z \in X)(x * y=0 \Leftrightarrow(z * x) *(z * y)=0),  \tag{1.13}\\
& (\forall x, y, z \in X)(x * y=0 \Leftrightarrow(x * z) *(y * z)=0),  \tag{1.14}\\
& \text { the right and the left cancellation laws hold. } \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

According to [11], the binary relation $\leq$ on an IUP-algebra $X=(X ; *, 0)$ is defined as follows:

$$
(\forall x, y \in X)(x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x * y=0)
$$

Definition 1.5. [11] A nonempty subset $S$ of an IUP-algebra $X=(X ; *, 0)$ is called
(i) an IUP-subalgebra of $X$ if it satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y \in S)(x * y \in S) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) an IUP-filter of $X$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { the constant } 0 \text { of } X \text { is in } S \text {, }  \tag{1.17}\\
(\forall x, y \in S)(x * y \in S, x \in S \Rightarrow y \in S), \tag{1.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

(iii) an IUP-ideal of $X$ if it satisfies the condition (1.17) and the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y, z \in S)(x *(y * z) \in S, y \in S \Rightarrow x * z \in S) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) a strong IUP-ideal of $X$ if it satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y \in S)(y \in S \Rightarrow x * y \in S) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [11], we know that the concept of IUP-filters is a generalization of IUPideals and IUP-subalgebras, and IUP-ideals and IUP-subalgebras are generalizations of strong IUP-ideals. In an IUP-algebra $X$, we have that strong IUP-ideals. We get the diagram of the special subsets of IUP-algebras, which is shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1: Special subsets of IUP-algebras
The concept of IUP-homomorphisms was introduced by Iampan et al. in [11]. Let $X_{1}=\left(X_{1} ; *_{1}, 0_{1}\right)$ and $X_{2}=\left(X_{2} ; *_{2}, 0_{2}\right)$ be IUP-algebras. A map $\psi: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ is called an IUP-homomorphism if

$$
\left(\forall x, y \in X_{1}\right)\left(\psi\left(x *_{1} y\right)=\psi(x) *_{2} \psi(y)\right)
$$

and an anti-IUP-homomorphism if

$$
\left(\forall x, y \in X_{1}\right)\left(\psi\left(x *_{1} y\right)=\psi(y) *_{2} \psi(x)\right) .
$$

The kernel of $\psi$ denoted by ker $\psi$ is defined to be the set $\operatorname{ker} \psi=\left\{x \in X_{1} \mid \psi(x)=\right.$ $\left.0_{2}\right\}$. An (anti-)IUP-homomorphism $\psi$ is called an (anti-)IUP-monomorphism,
(anti-)IUP-epimorphism, or (anti-)IUP-isomorphism if it is one-one, onto, or bijective, respectively. From [11] they prove that kernel of $\psi$ is IUP-subalgebra, IUP-filter, and IUP-ideal.
Definition 1.6. A nonempty subset $S$ of a DIUP-algebra $X=(X ; *, 0)$ is called
(i) a dual IUP-subalgebra (DIUPS) of $X$ if it satisfies the condition (1.16).
(ii) a dual IUP-filter (DIUPF) of $X$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { the constant } 0 \text { of } X \text { is in } S,  \tag{1.21}\\
(\forall x, y \in X)(x * y \in S, y \in S \Rightarrow x \in S), \tag{1.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

(iii) a dual IUP-ideal (DIUPI) of $X$ if it satisfies the condition (1.21) and the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * y) * z \in S, y \in S \Rightarrow x * z \in S) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) a strong dual IUP-ideal (SDIUPI) of $X$ if it satisfies the condition (1.21) and the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x, y \in X)(y \in S \Rightarrow y * x \in S) \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. External Direct Product DIUP-algebras

Lingcong and Endam [15] discussed the notion of the direct product of Balgebras, 0-commutative B-algebras, and B-homomorphisms and obtained related properties, one of which is a direct product of two B-algebras, which is also a Balgebra. Then, they extended the concept of the direct product of B-algebra to finite family B-algebra, and some of the related properties were investigated as follows:

Definition 2.1. [15] Let $\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}\right)$ be a groupoid for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. Define the direct product of algebras $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$ to be the structure $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} X_{i} ; \otimes\right)$, where

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}=X_{1} \times X_{2} \times \ldots \times X_{k}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \mid x_{i} \in X_{i} \forall i=1,2, \ldots, k\right\}
$$

and whose operation $\otimes$ is given by

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \otimes\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)=\left(x_{1} *_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} *_{2} y_{2}, \ldots, x_{k} *_{k} y_{k}\right)
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}\right) \in \prod_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}$.
Definition 2.2. [5] Let $X_{i}$ be a nonempty set for each $i \in I$. Define the external direct product of sets $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$ to be the set $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$, where

$$
\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left\{\wp: I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} X_{i} \mid \wp(i) \in X_{i} \forall i \in I\right\}
$$

For convenience, we define an element of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ with a function $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}: I \rightarrow$ $\bigcup_{i \in I} X_{i}$, where $i \mapsto x_{i} \in X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$.
Remark 2.3. [5] Let $X_{i}$ be a nonempty set and $S_{i}$ a subset of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Then $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a nonempty subset of the external direct product $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ if and only if $S_{i}$ is a nonempty subset of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$.

Definition 2.4. [6] Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}\right)$ be a groupoid for all $i \in I$. Define the binary operation $\boxtimes$ on the external direct product $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\forall\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}\right)\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra for all $i \in I$. For $i \in I$, let $x_{i} \in X_{i}$. We define the function $\wp_{x_{i}}: I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} X_{i}$ as follows:

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\wp_{x_{i}}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i} & \text { if } j=i  \tag{2.2}\\
0_{j} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

Then $\wp_{x_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$.
Remark 2.5. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra for all $i \in I$. For $i \in I$, we have $\wp_{0_{i}}=\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra for all $i \in I$. For $i \in I$, let $x_{i}, y_{i} \in X_{i}$. Then $\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}=\wp_{y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}}$.
Proof. Now,

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\left(\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
y_{i} *_{i} x_{i} & \text { if } j=i \\
0_{j} *_{j} 0_{j} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right) .\right.
$$

By (IUP-2), we have

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\left(\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
y_{i} *_{i} x_{i} & \text { if } j=i \\
0_{j} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

By (2.2), we have $\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}=\wp_{y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}}$.
The following theorem shows that the external direct product of IUP-algebras in terms of an infinite family of IUP-algebras is a DIUP-algebra.
Theorem 2.7. $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ is an IUP-algebra for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ is a DIUP-algebra, where the binary operation $\boxtimes$ is defined in Definition 2.4.
Proof. Assume that $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ is an IUP-algebra for all $i \in I$.
(DIUP-1) Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Since $X_{i}$ satisfies (IUP-1), we have $0_{i} *_{i} x_{i}=x_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Thus

$$
\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(0_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}
$$

(DIUP-2) Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Since $X_{i}$ satisfies (IUP-3), we have $\left(x_{i} * y_{i}\right) *_{i}\left(x_{i} *_{i} z_{i}\right)=y_{i} * z_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right) \boxtimes\left(\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right) & =\left(x_{i} *_{i} z_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\left(\left(x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}\right) *_{i}\left(x_{i} *_{i} z_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\left(y_{i} *_{i} z_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}
\end{aligned}
$$

(IUP-2) Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Since $X_{i}$ satisfies (IUP-2), we have $x_{i} *_{i} x_{i}=0_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Thus

$$
\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(x_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}
$$

Hence, $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ is a DIUP-algebra.
Conversely, assume that $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ is a DIUP-algebra, where the binary operation $\boxtimes$ is defined in Definition 2.4. Let $i \in I$.
(IUP-1) Let $x_{i} \in X_{i}$. Then $\wp_{x_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$, which is defined by (2.2). Since $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ satisfies (DIUP-1), we have $\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\wp_{x_{i}}$. By Remark 2.5, Lemma 2.6, and (2.2), we have $0_{i} *_{i} x_{i}=x_{i}$.
(IUP-2) Let $x_{i} \in X_{i}$. Then $\wp_{x_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$, which is defined by (2.2). Since $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ satisfies (IUP-2), we have $\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{x_{i}}=\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$. By Lemma 2.6 and (2.2), we have $x_{i} *_{i} x_{i}=0_{i}$.
(IUP-3) Let $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i} \in X_{i}$. Then $\wp_{x_{i}}, \wp_{y_{i}}, \wp_{z_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$, which are defined by (2.2). Since $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ satisfies (DIUP-2), we have $\left(\wp_{z_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{x_{i}}\right) \boxtimes\left(\wp_{y_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{x_{i}}\right)=\wp_{z_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}$. By Lemma 2.6 and (2.2), we have $\left(x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}\right) *_{i}\left(x_{i} *_{i} z_{i}\right)=y_{i} *_{i} z_{i}$.

Hence, $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ is an IUP-algebra for all $i \in I$.

We call the DIUP-algebra $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ in Theorem 2.7 the external direct product DIUP-algebra induced by an IUP-algebra $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ for all $i \in I$.

Next, we introduce the concept of the weak direct product of infinite family of DIUP-algebras and obtain some of its properties as follows:
Definition 2.8. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra for all $i \in I$. Define the weak direct product DIUP-algebra induced by $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$ to be the structure $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i} ; \boxtimes\right)$, where

$$
\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}=\left\{\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i} \mid x_{i} \neq 0_{i} \text {, where the number of such } i \text { is finite }\right\} .
$$

Then $\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i} \subseteq \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$.
Theorem 2.9. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra for all $i \in I$. Then $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ is a DIUP-subalgebra of the external direct product DIUP-algebra $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$.
Proof. We see that $\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$, where $I_{1}=\left\{i \in I \mid x_{i} \neq 0_{i}\right\}$ and $I_{2}=\left\{i \in I \mid y_{i} \neq 0_{i}\right\}$ are finite. Then $\left|I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right|$ is finite. Thus

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0_{j} *_{j} x_{j} & \text { if } j \in I_{1}-I_{2} \\
y_{j} *_{j} x_{j} & \text { if } j \in I_{1} \cap I_{2} \\
y_{j} *_{j} 0_{j} & \text { if } j \in I_{2}-I_{1} \\
0_{j} *_{j} 0_{j} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right) .\right.
$$

By (IUP-1) and (IUP-2), we have

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x_{j} & \text { if } j \in I_{1}-I_{2} \\
y_{j} *_{j} x_{j} & \text { if } j \in I_{1} \cap I_{2} \\
y_{j} *_{j} 0_{j} & \text { if } j \in I_{2}-I_{1} \\
0_{j} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right) .\right.
$$

This implies that the number of such $\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)(j) \neq 0_{j}$ is not more than $\left|I_{1} \cup I_{2}\right|$, that is, it is finite. Thus $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$. Hence, $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ is a DIUP-subalgebra of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$.
Theorem 2.10. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra for all $i \in I$. Then $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ is a DIUP-ideal of the external direct product DIUP-algebra $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=$ $\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$.

Proof. We see that $\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ be such that $\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right) \boxtimes\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ and $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$, where $I_{1}=\left\{i \in I \mid z_{i} *_{i}\left(y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right) \neq 0_{i}\right\}$ and $I_{2}=\left\{i \in I \mid y_{i} \neq 0_{i}\right\}$ are finite. We shall show that $I_{3} \subseteq I_{1} \cup I_{2}$, where $I_{3}=\left\{i \in I \mid z_{i} *_{i} x_{i} \neq 0_{i}\right\}$. Let $j \notin I_{1} \cup I_{2}$. Then $j \notin I_{1}$ and $j \notin I_{2}$, so $z_{j} *_{j}\left(y_{j} *_{j} x_{j}\right)=0_{j}$ and $y_{j}=0_{j}$. By (IUP-1), we have $z_{j} *_{j} x_{j}=z_{j} *_{j}\left(0_{j} *_{j} x_{j}\right)=0_{j}$. This implies that $j \notin I_{3}$, that is, $I_{3} \subseteq I_{1} \cup I_{2}$. Since $I_{1} \cup I_{2}$ is finite, we have $I_{3}$ is finite. Therefore $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$. Hence, $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ is a DIUP-ideal of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$.
Theorem 2.11. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra for all $i \in I$. Then $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ is a DIUP-filter of the external direct product DIUP-algebra $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=$ $\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$.
Proof. We see that $\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ be such that $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ and $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$, where $I_{1}=\left\{i \in I \mid y_{i} *_{i} x_{i} \neq\right.$ $\left.0_{i}\right\}$ and $I_{2}=\left\{i \in I \mid y_{i} \neq 0_{i}\right\}$ are finite. We shall show that $I_{3} \subseteq I_{1} \cup I_{2}$, where $I_{3}=\left\{i \in I \mid x_{i} \neq 0_{i}\right\}$. Let $j \notin I_{1} \cup I_{2}$. Then $j \notin I_{1}$ and $j \notin I_{2}$, so $y_{j} *_{j} x_{j}=0_{j}$ and $y_{j}=0_{j}$. By (IUP-1), we have $x_{j}=0_{j} *_{j} x_{j}=0_{j}$. This implies that $j \notin I_{3}$, that is, $I_{3} \subseteq I_{1} \cup I_{2}$. Since $I_{1} \cup I_{2}$ is finite, we have $I_{3}$ is finite. Therefore $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$. Hence, $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ is a DIUP-filter of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$.

In a general case, $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ is not a strong dual IUP-ideal of the external direct product DIUP-algebra $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$.

From Example 1.2, we let $X_{i}=X$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_{i}$ defined by

$$
(\forall j \in \mathbb{N})\left(\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } j=1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

and $(\forall j \in \mathbb{N})\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}(j)=4\right)$. Then $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ but

$$
(\forall j \in \mathbb{N})\left(\left(x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
3 & \text { if } j=1 \\
1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

This implies that $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \boxtimes\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \notin \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$. Hence, $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} X_{i}$ is not a strong dual IUP-ideal of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$.
Theorem 2.12. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra and $S_{i}$ a subset of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Then $S_{i}$ is an IUP-subalgebra of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a DIUP-subalgebra of the external direct product DIUP-algebra $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=$ $\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$.
Proof. Assume that $S_{i}$ is an IUP-subalgebra of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Since $S_{i}$ is a nonempty subset of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$ and by Remark 2.3 , we have $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a
nonempty subset of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. Then $x_{i}, y_{i} \in S_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. By (1.16), we have $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i} \in S_{i}$ for all $i \in I$, so $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in$ $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. Hence, $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a DIUP-subalgebra of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$.

Conversely, assume that $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a DIUP-subalgebra of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Since $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a nonempty subset of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ and by Remark 2.3, we have $S_{i}$ is a nonempty subset of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Let $i \in I$ and let $x_{i}, y_{i} \in S_{i}$. Then $\wp_{x_{i}}, \wp_{y_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$, which are defined by (2.2). By (1.16) and Lemma 2.6, we have $\wp_{x_{i} * * y_{i}}=\wp_{y_{i}}$ 凹 $\wp_{x_{i}} \in$ $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. By (2.2), we have $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i} \in S_{i}$. Hence, $S_{i}$ is an IUP-subalgebra of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$.

Theorem 2.13. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra and $S_{i}$ a subset of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Then $S_{i}$ is an IUP-filter of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a DIUPfilter of the external direct product DIUP-algebra $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$.
Proof. Assume that $S_{i}$ is an IUP-filter of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Then $0_{i} \in S_{i}$ for all $i \in I$, so $\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ be such that $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ and $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. Then $\left(y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. Thus $y_{i} *_{i} x_{i} \in S_{i}$ and $y_{i} \in S_{i}$, it follows from (1.18) that $x_{i} \in S_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Thus $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. Hence, $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a DIUP-filter of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$.

Conversely, assume that $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a DIUP-filter of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Then $\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in$ $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$, so $0_{i} \in S_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$. Let $i \in I$ and let $x_{i}, y_{i} \in X_{i}$ be such that $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i} \in S_{i}$ and $x_{i} \in S_{i}$. Then $\wp_{x_{i}}, \wp_{y_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ and $\wp_{x_{i} * y_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ and $\wp_{x_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$, which are defined by (2.2). By Lemma 2.6, we have $\wp_{y_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{x_{i}}=$ $\wp_{x_{i} * y_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. By (1.22), we have $\wp_{y_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. By (2.2), we have $y_{i} \in S_{i}$. Hence, $S_{i}$ is an IUP-filter of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$.
Theorem 2.14. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra and $S_{i}$ a subset of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Then $S_{i}$ is an IUP-ideal of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a DIUPideal of the external direct product DIUP-algebra $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$.
Proof. Assume that $S_{i}$ is an IUP-ideal of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Then $0_{i} \in S_{i}$ for all $i \in I$, so $\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ be such that $\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right) \boxtimes\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ and $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. Then $\left(z_{i} *_{i}\left(y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \in$ $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. Thus $z_{i} *_{i}\left(y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right) \in S_{i}$ and $y_{i} \in S_{i}$, it follows from (1.19) that $z_{i} *_{i} x_{i} \in S_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Thus $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(z_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(z_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. Hence, $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a DIUP-ideal of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$.

Conversely, assume that $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a DIUP-ideal of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Then $\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in$ $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$, so $0_{i} \in S_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$. Let $i \in I$ and let $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i} \in X_{i}$ be such that $x_{i} *_{i}\left(y_{i} *_{i} z_{i}\right) \in S_{i}$ and $y_{i} \in S_{i}$. Then $\wp_{x_{i}}, \wp_{y_{i}}, \wp_{z_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ and $\wp_{x_{i} *_{i}\left(y_{i} *_{i} z_{i}\right)} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ and $\wp_{y_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$, which are defined by (2.2). By Lemma 2.6, we have $\left(\wp_{z_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}\right) \boxtimes \wp_{x_{i}}=\wp_{x_{i} *_{i}\left(y_{i} *_{i} z_{i}\right)} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. By (1.23) and Lemma 2.6,
we have $\wp_{x_{i} *_{i} z_{i}}=\wp_{z_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{x_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. By (2.2), we have $x_{i} *_{i} z_{i} \in S_{i}$. Hence, $S_{i}$ is an IUP-ideal of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$.

Theorem 2.15. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ be an IUP-algebra and $S_{i}$ a subset of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Then $S_{i}$ is a strong IUP-ideal of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a strong DIUP-ideal of the external direct product DIUP-algebra $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}=\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i} ; \boxtimes,\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I}\right)$.
Proof. Assume that $S_{i}$ is a strong IUP-ideal of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Then $0_{i} \in S_{i}$ for all $i \in I$, so $\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ be such that $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. Thus $y_{i} \in S_{i}$ for all $i \in I$, it follows from (1.20) that $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i} \in S_{i}$ for all $i \in I$. Thus $\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. Hence, $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a strong DIUP-ideal of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$.

Conversely, assume that $\prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a strong DIUP-ideal of $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Then $\left(0_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$, so $0_{i} \in S_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$. Let $i \in I$ and let $x_{i}, y_{i} \in X_{i}$ be such that $y_{i} \in S_{i}$. Then $\wp_{x_{i}}, \wp_{y_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$ and $\wp_{y_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$, which are defined by (2.2). By (1.24) and Lemma 2.6, we have $\wp_{x_{i} *_{i} y_{i}}=\wp_{y_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{x_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$. By (2.2), we have $x_{i} *_{i} y_{i} \in S_{i}$. Hence, $S_{i}$ is a strong IUP-ideal of $X_{i}$ for all $i \in I$.

Moreover, we discuss several IUP-homomorphism theorems in view of the external direct product of DIUP-algebras.
Definition 2.16. [5] Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}\right)$ and $S_{i}=\left(S_{i} ; \circ_{i}\right)$ be groupoids and $\psi_{i}$ : $X_{i} \rightarrow S_{i}$ be a function for all $i \in I$. Define the function $\psi: \prod_{i \in I} X_{i} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\forall\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}\right)\left(\psi\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\psi: \prod_{i \in I} X_{i} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} S_{i}$ is a function (see [5]).
Theorem 2.17. [5] Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}\right)$ and $S_{i}=\left(S_{i} ; \circ_{i}\right)$ be groupoids and $\psi_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow$ $S_{i}$ be a function for all $i \in I$.
(i) $\psi_{i}$ is injective for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is injective which is defined in Definition 2.16,
(ii) $\psi_{i}$ is surjective for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is surjective,
(iii) $\psi_{i}$ is bijective for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is bijective.

Theorem 2.18. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ and $S_{i}=\left(S_{i} ; \circ_{i}, 1_{i}\right)$ be IUP-algebras and $\psi_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow S_{i}$ be a function for all $i \in I$. Then
(i) $\psi_{i}$ is an IUP-homomorphism for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is a DIUPhomomorphism which is defined in Definition ??,
(ii) $\psi_{i}$ is an IUP-monomorphism for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is a DIUPmonomorphism,
(iii) $\psi_{i}$ is an IUP-epimorphism for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is a DIUP-epimorphism,
(iv) $\psi_{i}$ is an IUP-isomorphism for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is a DIUP-isomorphism,
$(v) \operatorname{ker} \psi=\prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{ker} \psi_{i}$ and $\psi\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}\right)=\prod_{i \in I} \psi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)$.
Proof. (i) Assume that $\psi_{i}$ is an IUP-homomorphism for all $i \in I$. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in I} \in$ $\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in I}\right) & =\psi\left(x_{i}^{\prime} *_{i} x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}^{\prime} *_{i} x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right) *_{i} \psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\psi\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes \psi\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in I} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\psi$ is a DIUP-homomorphism.
Conversely, assume that $\psi$ is a DIUP-homomorphism. Let $i \in I$. Let $x_{i}, y_{i} \in$ $X_{i}$. Then $\wp_{x_{i}}, \wp_{y_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$, which is defined by (2.2). Since $\psi$ is a DIUPhomomorphism, we have $\psi\left(\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}\right)=\psi\left(\wp_{x_{i}}\right) \boxtimes \psi\left(\wp_{y_{i}}\right)$. Since

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\left(\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
y_{i} *_{i} x_{i} & \text { if } j=i \\
0_{j} *_{j} 0_{j} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right),\right.
$$

we have

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\psi\left(\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{i}\left(y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right) & \text { if } j=i  \tag{2.4}\\
\psi_{j}\left(0_{j} *_{j} 0_{j}\right) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right) .\right.
$$

Since

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\psi\left(\wp_{x_{i}}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) & \text { if } j=i \\
\psi_{j}\left(0_{j}\right) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

and

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\psi\left(\wp_{y_{i}}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{i}\left(y_{i}\right) & \text { if } j=i \\
\psi_{j}\left(0_{j}\right) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right),\right.
$$

we have

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\left(\psi\left(\wp_{x_{i}}\right) \boxtimes \psi\left(\wp \wp_{y_{i}}\right)\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{i}\left(y_{i}\right) \circ_{i} \psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) & \text { if } j=i  \tag{2.5}\\
\psi_{j}\left(0_{j}\right) \circ_{j} \psi_{j}\left(0_{j}\right) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), we have $\psi_{i}\left(y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right)=\psi_{i}\left(y_{i}\right) \circ_{i} \psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$. Hence, $\psi_{i}$ is an IUPhomomorphism for all $i \in I$.
(ii) It is straightforward from (i) and Theorem 2.17 (i).
(iii) It is straightforward from (i) and Theorem 2.17 (ii).
(iv) It is straightforward from (i) and Theorem 2.17 (iii).
(v) Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \operatorname{ker} \psi & \Leftrightarrow \psi\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(1_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I}=\left(1_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=1_{i} \forall i \in I \\
& \Leftrightarrow x_{i} \in \operatorname{ker} \psi_{i} \forall i \in I \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{ker} \psi_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{ker} \psi=\prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{ker} \psi_{i}$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \psi\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}\right) & \Leftrightarrow \exists\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i} \text { s.t. }\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\psi\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \exists\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i} \text { s.t. }\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I}=\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \exists x_{i} \in X_{i} \text { s.t. } y_{i}=\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \in \psi\left(X_{i}\right) \forall i \in I \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(y_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \psi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\psi\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_{i}\right)=\prod_{i \in I} \psi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)$.
Finally, we discuss several anti-IUP-homomorphism theorems in view of the external direct product of DIUP-algebras.
Theorem 2.19. Let $X_{i}=\left(X_{i} ; *_{i}, 0_{i}\right)$ and $S_{i}=\left(S_{i} ; \circ_{i}, 1_{i}\right)$ be IUP-algebras and $\psi_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow S_{i}$ be a function for all $i \in I$. Then
(i) $\psi_{i}$ is an anti-IUP-homomorphism for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is an anti-DIUP-homomorphism which is defined in Definition 2.16,
(ii) $\psi_{i}$ is an anti-IUP-monomorphism for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is an anti-DIUP-monomorphism,
(iii) $\psi_{i}$ is an anti-IUP-epimorphism for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is an anti-DIUPepimorphism,
(iv) $\psi_{i}$ is an anti-IUP-isomorphism for all $i \in I$ if and only if $\psi$ is an anti-DIUPisomorphism.

Proof. (i) Assume that $\psi_{i}$ is an anti-IUP-homomorphism for all $i \in I$. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I},\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in I}\right) & =\psi\left(x_{i}^{\prime} *_{i} x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}^{\prime} *_{i} x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) *_{i} \psi_{i}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes\left(\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)_{i \in I} \\
& =\psi\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \in I} \boxtimes \psi\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\psi$ is an anti-DIUP-homomorphism.
Conversely, assume that $\psi$ is an anti-DIUP-homomorphism. Let $i \in I$. Let $x_{i}, y_{i} \in X_{i}$. Then $\wp_{x_{i}}, \wp_{y_{i}} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_{i}$, which are defined by (2.2). Since $\psi$ is an anti-DIUP-homomorphism, we have $\psi\left(\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}\right)=\psi\left(\wp_{y_{i}}\right) \boxtimes \psi\left(\wp_{x_{i}}\right)$. Since

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\left(\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
y_{i} *_{i} x_{i} & \text { if } j=i \\
0_{j} *_{j} 0_{j} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right),\right.
$$

we have

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\psi\left(\wp_{x_{i}} \boxtimes \wp_{y_{i}}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{i}\left(y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right) & \text { if } j=i  \tag{2.6}\\
\psi_{j}\left(0_{j} *_{j} 0_{j}\right) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right) .\right.
$$

Since

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\psi\left(\wp_{y_{i}}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{i}\left(y_{i}\right) & \text { if } j=i \\
\psi_{j}\left(0_{j}\right) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

and

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\psi\left(\wp_{x_{i}}\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) & \text { if } j=i \\
\psi_{j}\left(0_{j}\right) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

we have

$$
(\forall j \in I)\left(\left(\psi\left(\wp y_{y_{i}}\right) \boxtimes \psi\left(\wp_{x_{i}}\right)\right)(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \circ_{i} \psi_{i}\left(y_{i}\right) & \text { if } j=i  \tag{2.7}\\
\psi_{j}\left(0_{j}\right) \circ_{j} \psi_{j}\left(0_{j}\right) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

By (2.6) and (2.7), we have $\psi_{i}\left(y_{i} *_{i} x_{i}\right)=\psi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \circ_{i} \psi_{i}\left(y_{i}\right)$. Hence, $\psi_{i}$ is an anti-IUPhomomorphism for all $i \in I$.
(ii) It is straightforward from (i) and Theorem 2.17 (i).
(iii) It is straightforward from (i) and Theorem 2.17 (ii).
(iv) It is straightforward from (i) and Theorem 2.17 (iii).

## 3. Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper, we have introduced the concept of the direct product of an infinite family of IUP-algebras and prove that it is a DIUP-algebra; we call the external direct product DIUP-algebra induced by IUP-algebras, which is a general concept of the direct product in the sense of Lingcong and Endam [5]. We proved that the external direct product of IUP-algebras is DIUP-algebras. Also, we have introduced the concept of the weak direct product DIUP-algebras and proved that the weak direct product of IUP-algebras is DIUP-subalgebras, DIUPideals, and DIUP-filters, respectively. We have also shown that the external direct product of IUP-subalgebras (resp., IUP-filters, IUP-ideals, strong IUP-ideals) is a DIUP-subalgebra (resp., DIUP-filter, DIUP-ideal, strong DIUP-ideal) of the external direct product DIUP-algebras. Finally, we have provided several fundamental theorems of (anti-)IUP-homomorphisms in view of the external direct product DIUP-algebras.

Based on the concept of the external direct product DIUP-algebras in this article, we can apply it to the study of the external direct product in other algebraic systems. The external direct product dual IUP-algebras in this paper will be developed into new concepts for future studies: type 2 of internal direct products of IUP-algebras.
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