

NANO JD*-HOMEOMORPHISMS

O. Nethaji, G. Selvi*, S. Jackson and V. Thangamayan**

PG and Research Department of Mathematics,
Kamaraj College,
Thoothukudi - 628003, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

E-mail : jionetha@yahoo.com, vtmayankc@gmail.com

*Department of Mathematics,
Saveetha School of Engineering,
Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences,
Chennai - 602105, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

E-mail : mslalima11@gmail.com

**PG and Research Department of Mathematics,
V. O. Chidambaram College,
Thoothukudi – 628008, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

E-mail : jack.mat@voccollege.ac.in

(Received: Feb. 07, 2023 Accepted: Aug. 17, 2023 Published: Aug. 30, 2023)

Abstract: We discussed nano JD*-Homeomorphisms in nano topological spaces in this study. We address the fundamental characteristics and noteworthy characterizations of nano JD*-Homeomorphisms in nano topological spaces. Our analysis demonstrates how they relate to other ideas already known, such as nano semi-homeomorphisms, nano pre-homeomorphisms, and nano g-homeomorphisms.

Keywords and Phrases: Nano semi-homeomorphisms, nano pre-homeomorphisms, nano g-homeomorphisms and nano JD*-homeomorphisms.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54A10, 54C08, 54C10.

1. Introduction

The term “Nano” refers to anything extremely small. The word “Topology” comes from two Greek words: “Topos” (surface) and “Logos” (discussion or study). Nano topology, then, is the study of extremely small surfaces. Lellis Thivagar et al. [12] was the main brain behind developing the concept of *Náno* topology. The term *Nano* can be ascribed to any unit of measure. Maki. et. al. [7] introduced and generalised the notion of homeomorphism, g-homeomorphism, gc homeomorphism in topological spaces. Lellis Thivagar et al. [13] investigated Nano homeomorphism in Nano Topological spaces. Bhuvanewari. et. al. [1] introduced and distinguished some properties of *Náno* generalised homeomorphism in *Náno* topological spaces. K . Mythili Gnanapriya [2] introduced some properties in *Náno* generalised pre-homeomorphism. Recently, several researcher were introduced and study the new notions in nano topological spaces and ideal nano topological spaces for example [[5], [6], [9], [10] and [11]]. In this paper, one such theoretical application of *Nano* JD^* open sets namely *Náno* JD^* homeomorphism is introduced and some of its remarkable properties are discussed.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present the basic definitions and results of *Náno* topological spaces which may be found in earlier studies. Throughout this paper, $(M, \tau(P))$ denotes a *Náno* topological space with respect to $P \subset M$. And, $N_n \text{int}$ and $N_n \text{cl}$ denotes the *Náno* interior and *Náno* closure in *náno* topological spaces.

Definition 2.1. [3] *A subset J of a *Náno* topological space $(M, \tau(P))$ is*

1. *Náno semi* -open if $J \subseteq N_n \text{cl}^*(N_n \text{int}(J))$.*
2. *Náno semi* -closed if $M \setminus J$ is *Náno Semi* -open*.*
3. *Náno pre* -open if $J \subseteq N_n \text{int}^*(N_n \text{cl}(J))$.*
4. *Náno pre* -closed if $M \setminus J$ is *Náno Pre* -open*.*
5. *Náno α^* -open if $J \subseteq N_n \text{int}^*(N_n \text{cl}(N_n \text{int}^*(J)))$.*
6. *Náno JD^* open if $J \subseteq N_n \text{int}^*(N_n \text{cl}(J)) \cup N_n \text{cl}^*(N_n \text{int}(J))$.*
7. *Náno JD^* closed if $N_n \text{int}^*(N_n \text{cl}(J)) \cap N_n \text{cl}^*(N_n \text{int}(J)) \subseteq J$.*

Definition 2.2. *Let $(M, \tau(P))$ and $(N, \tau(Q))$ be two *Náno* topological spaces. Then a mapping $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is claimed to be *Náno JD^* continuous* if $h^{-1}(J)$ is *Náno JD^* open* in M for every *Náno open set J* in N .*

Definition 2.3. Let $(M, \tau(P))$ and $(N, \tau(Q))$ be two *Náno* topological spaces. Then a mapping $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is claimed to be *Náno* continuous if $h^{-1}(J)$ is *Náno* open in M for every *Náno* open set J in N .

Definition 2.4. Let $(M, \tau(P))$ and $(N, \tau(Q))$ be two *Náno* topological spaces. Then a mapping $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is claimed to be *Náno* irresolute if $h^{-1}(J)$ is *Náno* open in M for every *Náno* open set J in N .

Definition 2.5. Let $(M, \tau(P))$ and $(N, \tau(Q))$ be two *Náno* topological spaces. Then a mapping $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is claimed to be *Náno* JD^* irresolute if $h^{-1}(J)$ is *Náno* JD^* open in M for every *Náno* JD^* open set J in N .

Definition 2.6. Let $(M, \tau(P))$ and $(N, \tau(Q))$ be two *Náno* topological spaces. Then a mapping $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is claimed to be *Náno* JD^* open if the image of each *Náno* JD^* open set is *Náno* JD^* open set.

Definition 2.7. [13] Let $(M, \tau(P))$ and $(N, \tau(Q))$ be two *Náno* topological spaces. Then a bijective mapping $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is claimed to be *Náno* homeomorphism if h is both *Náno* open and *Náno* continuous.

Definition 2.8. Let $(M, \tau(P))$ and $(N, \tau(Q))$ be two *Náno* topological spaces. Then a bijective mapping $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is claimed to be *Náno* α homeomorphism if h is both *Náno* α open and *Náno* α continuous.

Definition 2.9. Let $(M, \tau(P))$ and $(N, \tau(Q))$ be two *Náno* topological spaces. Then a bijective mapping $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is claimed to be *Náno* g homeomorphism if h is both *Náno* g open and *Náno* g continuous.

3. Nano JD^* -homeomorphisms

In this section we define *Náno* JD^* -homeomorphisms and discuss some of their characterizations in *Náno* topological spaces.

Definition 3.1. A bijection $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is claimed to be *Náno* JD^* -homeomorphisms if h is both *Náno* JD^* continuous and *Náno* JD^* open. The family of all *Náno* JD^* homeomorphisms in M is denoted by $NJD^*H(M, P)$

Example 3.2. Let $M = \{f, t, y, u\}$ with $M/R = \{\{f\}, \{y\}, \{t, u\}\}$ and $P = \{f, t\}$.
 $\tau(M) = \{U, \phi, \{f\}, \{f, t, u\}, \{t, u\}\}$.

Let $N = \{f, t, y, u\}$ with $N/R' = \{\{f, u\}, \{t\}, \{y\}\}$ and $Q = \{t, u\}$.

Then $\tau(Q) = \{N, \phi, \{l, r, v\}, \{r\}\{l, v\}\}$.

Define $h: M \rightarrow N$ as $h(f) = f$; $h(t) = t$; $h(y) = u$; $h(u) = y$.

Here h is both *Náno* JD^* continuous and *Náno* JD^* open.

Therefore h is *Náno* JD^* homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.3. Let $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ be a bijective mapping, N \acute{N} ano JD^* continuous map. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. h is N \acute{N} ano JD^* open.
2. h is N \acute{N} ano JD^* Homeomorphism.
3. h is N \acute{N} ano JD^* closed.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Obvious from the Definition 2.6.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let V be a N \acute{N} ano closed set in $(M, \tau(P))$. Then V^c is N \acute{N} ano open in $(M, \tau(P))$. By hypothesis, $h(V^c) = (h(V))^c$ is N \acute{N} ano JD^* open in $(N, \tau(Q))$. That is, $h(V)$ is N \acute{N} ano JD^* closed in $(N, \tau(Q))$. Therefore h is N \acute{N} ano JD^* closed.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let V be a N \acute{N} ano open set in $(M, \tau(P))$. Then V^c is N \acute{N} ano closed in $(M, \tau(P))$. By hypothesis, $h(V^c) = (h(V))^c$ is N \acute{N} ano JD^* closed in $(N, \tau(Q))$. That is, $h(V)$ is N \acute{N} ano JD^* open in $(N, \tau(Q))$. Therefore, h is a N \acute{N} ano JD^* open map.

Theorem 3.4. Let $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ be a bijective function then every N \acute{N} ano homeomorphism is N \acute{N} ano JD^* homeomorphism.

Proof. Let $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ be N \acute{N} ano homeomorphism, then h is bijective, N \acute{N} ano continuous and N \acute{N} ano open. Also let V be a N \acute{N} ano open set in $(N, \tau(Q))$. Since h is N \acute{N} ano continuous, $h^{-1}(V)$ is N \acute{N} ano open in $(M, \tau(P))$. Since every N \acute{N} ano open set is N \acute{N} ano JD^* open set, $h^{-1}(V)$ is N \acute{N} ano JD^* open in $(M, \tau(P))$ which implies h is N \acute{N} ano JD^* continuous. Let W be a N \acute{N} ano open set in $(M, \tau(P))$. Since h is N \acute{N} ano open, $h(W)$ is N \acute{N} ano open in $(N, \tau(Q))$. Since every N \acute{N} ano open set is N \acute{N} ano JD^* open, $h(W)$ is N \acute{N} ano JD^* open in $(N, \tau(Q))$ which implies h is N \acute{N} ano JD^* continuous and N \acute{N} ano JD^* open. Thus h is N \acute{N} ano JD^* homeomorphism.

Remark 3.5. The contrary of the preceding proposition isn't always true as evidenced by the following example.

Example 3.6. Let $M=\{s,d,f,g\}$ with $M/R= \{\{s,g\}, \{d\}, \{f\}\}$ and $P=\{s,d\}$.
 $\tau(P) = \{M, \phi, \{d\}, \{s, d, g\}, \{s, g\}\}$.

Let $N=\{l,r,w,v\}$ with $N/R'=\{\{l\}, \{v\}, \{r,w\}\}$ and $Q=\{l,r\} \subseteq N$.

Then $\tau(Q) = \{N, \phi, \{l, r, w\}, \{l\}, \{r, w\}\}$.

Define $h:M \rightarrow N$ as $h(s)=l$; $h(d)=r$; $h(f)=w$; $h(g)=v$. Here h is both N \acute{N} ano JD^* continuous and N \acute{N} ano JD^* open. Therefore h is N \acute{N} ano JD^* homeomorphism but h is not N \acute{N} ano homeomorphism as it's not N \acute{N} ano continuous and N \acute{N} ano open map.

Theorem 3.7. Let $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ be a bijective function then every N \acute{N} ano α homeomorphism is N \acute{N} ano JD^* homeomorphism.

Proof. Let $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ be a N \acute{N} ano α homeomorphism, then h is

bijjective, $Náno \alpha$ continuous and $Náno \alpha$ open. let V be a $Náno$ open set in $(N, \tau(Q))$. Since h is $Náno \alpha$ continuous, $h^{-1}(V)$ is $Náno \alpha$ open in $(M, \tau(P))$. Since every $Náno \alpha$ open set is $Náno JD^*$ open set, $h^{-1}(V)$ is $Náno JD^*$ open in $(M, \tau(P))$ which implies h is $Náno JD^*$ continuous. Let W be a $Náno$ open set in $(M, \tau(P))$. Since h is $Náno \alpha$ open, $h(W)$ is $Náno \alpha$ open in $(N, \tau(Q))$. Since every $Náno \alpha$ open set is $Náno JD^*$ open, $h(W)$ is $Náno JD^*$ open in $(N, \tau(Q))$ which implies h is $Náno JD^*$ continuous and $Náno JD^*$ open. Thus h is $Náno JD^*$ homeomorphism.

Remark 3.8. *The contrary of the preceding proposition isn't always true as evidenced by the following example.*

Example 3.9. Let $M = \{s, d, f, g\}$ with $M/R = \{\{s, d, g\}, \{f\}\}$ and $P = \{s\}$.

Then $\tau(P) = \{M, \phi, \{s, d, g\}, \}$.

Let $N = \{l, r, w, v\}$ with $N/R' = \{\{l, v\}, \{r\}, \{w\}\}$ and $Q = \{r, w\} \subseteq N$.

Then $\tau(Q) = \{N, \phi, \{r, w\}\}$. Define $h: M \rightarrow N$ as $h(s) = v$; $h(d) = r$; $h(f) = w$; $h(g) = l$.

Here h is both $Náno JD^*$ continuous and $Náno JD^*$ open. Therefore h is $Náno JD^*$ homeomorphism but h is not $Náno \alpha$ homeomorphism as it is not $Náno \alpha$ continuous and $Náno \alpha$ open map.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ be a function then every $Náno \alpha^*$ homeomorphism is $Náno JD^*$ homeomorphism.*

Proof. Let $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ be a $Náno \alpha$ homeomorphism, then h is bijective, $Náno \alpha^*$ continuous and $Náno \alpha$ open. let V be a $Náno$ open set in $(N, \tau(Q))$. Since h is $Náno \alpha^*$ continuous, $h^{-1}(V)$ is $Náno \alpha^*$ open in $(M, \tau(P))$. Since every $Náno \alpha^*$ open set is $Náno JD^*$ open set, $h^{-1}(V)$ is $Náno JD^*$ open in $(M, \tau(P))$ which implies h is $Náno JD^*$ continuous. Let W be a $Náno$ open set in $(M, \tau(P))$. Since h is $Náno \alpha^*$ open, $h(W)$ is $Náno \alpha^*$ open in $(N, \tau(Q))$. Since every $Náno \alpha^*$ open set is $Náno JD^*$ open, $h(W)$ is $Náno JD^*$ open in $(N, \tau(Q))$ which implies h is $Náno JD^*$ continuous and $Náno JD^*$ open. Thus h is $Náno JD^*$ homeomorphism.

Remark 3.11. *The contrary of the preceding proposition isn't always true, as evidenced by the following example.*

Example 3.12. Let $M = \{s, j, k, l\}$ with $M/R = \{\{s, j, l\}, \{k\}\}$ and $P = \{s\}$.

Then the topology $\tau(P) = \{M, \phi, \{h, j, l\}\}$.

Let $N = \{l, r, w, v\}$ with $N/R' = \{\{l, v\}, \{r\}, \{w\}\}$ and $Q = \{r, w\} \subseteq N$.

Then $\tau(Q) = \{N, \phi, \{r, w\}\}$. Define $h: M \rightarrow N$ as $h(s) = v$; $h(j) = r$; $h(k) = w$; $h(l) = l$.

Here h is both $Náno JD^*$ continuous and $Náno JD^*$ open. Therefore h is $Náno JD^*$ homeomorphism but h is not $Náno \alpha^*$ homeomorphism as it is not $Náno \alpha^*$

continuous and $Náno$ α^* open map.

Theorem 3.13. *Let $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ be a function, then every $Náno$ semi homeomorphism is $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism.*

Proof. As $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is $Náno$ semi homeomorphism, we have h to be $Náno$ irresolute and every $Náno$ pre semi-open map is $Náno$ JD^* open we have h to be both $Náno$ JD^* continuous and $Náno$ JD^* open. Therefore, h is $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism.

Remark 3.14. *The contrary of the preceding proposition isn't always true as evidenced by the following example.*

Example 3.15. Let $M=\{p,r,f,d\}$ with $M/R= \{\{p,r,d\}, \{f\}\}$ and $P=\{p\}$.

Then the topology $\tau(P) = \{M, \phi, \{p, r, d\}\}$.

Let $N=\{l,r,w,v\}$ with $N/R'=\{\{l,v\}, \{r\}, \{w\}\}$ and $Q=\{r,w\} \subseteq N$. Then

$\tau(Q) = \{N, \phi, \{r, w\}\}$. Define $h:M \rightarrow N$ as $h(p)=v$; $h(r)=r$; $h(f)=w$; $h(d)=l$. Here h is both $Náno$ JD^* continuous and $Náno$ JD^* open. Therefore h is $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism but h is not $Náno$ semi homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.16. *Let $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ be a bijective function, then every $Náno$ semi* homeomorphism is $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism.*

Proof. As $f:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is $Náno$ semi* homeomorphism, we have h to be $Náno$ semi* irresolute and $Náno$ pre semi*-open. Since every $Náno$ semi* irresolute is $Náno$ JD^* continuous and every $Náno$ pre semi*-open is $Náno$ JD^* open we have h to be both $Náno$ JD^* continuous and $Náno$ JD^* open. Therefore, h is $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism.

Remark 3.17. *The contrary of the preceding proposition isn't always true as evidenced by the following example.*

Example 3.18. Let $M=\{t,y,u,i\}$ with $M/R= \{\{t,y,i\}, \{u\}\}$ and $P=\{t\}$.

Then the topology $\tau_R(P) = \{M, \phi, \{t, y, i\}\}$.

Let $N=\{l,r,w,v\}$ with $N/R'=\{\{l,v\}, \{r\}, \{w\}\}$ and $Q=\{r,w\} \subseteq N$. Then $\tau(Q) = \{N, \phi, \{r, w\}\}$.

Define $h:M \rightarrow N$ as $h(t)=v$; $h(y)=r$; $h(u)=w$; $h(i)=l$. Here h is both $Náno$ JD^* continuous and $Náno$ JD^* open. Therefore h is $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism but h is not $Náno$ semi* homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.19. *For any bijection $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ the following statements are equivalent.*

1. Inverse of h is $Náno$ JD^* continuous.

2. h is a $Náno$ JD^* open function.
3. h is a $Náno$ JD^* closed function.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose K is a $Náno$ open set in M , then by i) $h^{-1}(K)=h(K)$ is a $Náno$ JD^* open set in N and hence h is a $Náno$ JD^* open function.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose D is $Náno$ closed in M , then $M-D$ is $Náno$ open in M . By ii), $h(M-D)=N-h(D)$ is a $Náno$ JD^* open set in N , implies $h(D)$ is a $Náno$ JD^* closed set in N . Therefore h is $Náno$ JD^* closed function.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let K be a $Náno$ closed set in M . By iii) $h(K) = (h^{-1})^{-1}(K)$ is a $Náno$ JD^* closed set in N and hence the inverse of h is $Náno$ JD^* continuous function.

Theorem 3.20. *If $h: (M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is bijective and $Náno$ JD^* irresolute then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. h is $Náno$ JD^* open.
2. h is $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism.
3. h is $Náno$ JD^* closed.

Proof. Identical to the proof of theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.21. *If a bijective mapping $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ is $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism where M and N are $Náno$ $JD^* T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space then $NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J)) = h^{-1}(NJD^*cl(J))$ for every subset J of N .*

Proof. Suppose h is a $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism then h is both $Náno$ JD^* irresolute and $Náno$ JD^* open. Since $NJD^*cl(J)$ is $Náno$ JD^* closed in N , $h^{-1}(NJD^*cl(J))$ is a $Náno$ JD^* closed set in M . Since M is $Náno$ $JD^* T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space, $h^{-1}(NJD^*cl(J))$ is a $Náno$ JD^* closed set in M . Now $f^{-1}(J) \subset h^{-1}(NJD^*cl(J))$, $NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J)) \subset NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(NJD^*cl(J))) = h^{-1}(NJD^*cl(J))$. This implies $NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J)) \subset h^{-1}(NJD^*cl(J))$. Again since h is $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism, h^{-1} is $Náno$ JD^* irresolute mapping.

Since $NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J))$ is $Náno$ JD^* closed set in M , $(h^{-1})^{-1}(NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J))) = h(NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J)))$ is a $Náno$ JD^* closed set in N . Now $J \subset (h^{-1})^{-1}(h^{-1}(J)) \subset (h^{-1})^{-1}(NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J))) = h(NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J)))$. Therefore, $NJD^*cl(J) \subset NJD^*cl(h(NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J)))) = h(NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J)))$, since M is a $Náno$ $JD^* T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space. Hence $h^{-1}(NJD^*cl(J)) \subseteq h^{-1}h((NJD^*cl(h^{-1}(J))))$.

Theorem 3.22. *The composition of two $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism is $Náno$ JD^* homeomorphism.*

Proof. Let $h:(M, \tau(P)) \rightarrow (N, \tau(Q))$ and $k: (N, \tau(Q)) \rightarrow (L, \tau(O))$ be two $Náno$

JD* homeomorphism. Let G be any $N\acute{a}no$ open in L . As k is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* homeomorphism, k is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* irresolute. Therefore, $k^{-1}(G)$ is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* open in N . Now, k is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* homeomorphism, h is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* irresolute. Therefore, $h^{-1}(k^{-1}(G))$. Therefore, $(k \circ h)^{-1}(G)$ is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* open in M . Hence $k \circ h$ is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* irresolute. Let H be a $N\acute{a}no$ JD* open set in M . Consider $(k \circ h)(H) = k(h(H))$. As h is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* homeomorphism, h^{-1} is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* irresolute. Therefore $h(H)$ is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* open in N . Now k is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* homeomorphism, k^{-1} is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* irresolute, we have $k(h(H))$ is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* open in M . Thus, $(k \circ h)^{-1}$ is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* irresolute. Hence $k \circ h$ is $N\acute{a}no$ JD* homeomorphism.

4. Conclusion

In this study, we introduced the concept of $N\acute{a}no$ JD* Homeomorphism and looked at its remarkable features. It has been demonstrated that it can be compared to earlier ideas. Meanwhile, it is shown that $N\acute{a}no$ JD* Homeomorphism is a novel and self-contained concept.

References

- [1] Bhuvanewari K. and Mythili Gnanapriya K., On nano generalised continuous function in nano topological spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Archive, 6(6) (2015), 182-186.
- [2] Bhuvanewari K. and Mythili Gnanapriya K., Nano generalized pre homeomorphisms in nano topological space, International Journal of Scientific and Research Publication, 6(6) (2016), 526-530.
- [3] Jackson S. and Gnanapoo Denosha T., Nano JD* open sets, Journal of the Maharaja Sayijirao University of Baroda, (55) (2021), 152-158.
- [4] Levine N., Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological space, Amer. Math. Monthly., 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [5] Nethaji O., Asokan R. and Rajasekaran I., New generalized classes of ideal nanotopological spaces, Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., 9 (3) (2019), 543-552.
- [6] Nethaji O., Asokan R. and Rajasekaran I., Novel concept of ideal nanotopological spaces, Asia Matematika, 3 (3) (2019), 5-15.
- [7] Maki H., Sundaram P. and Balachandran K., On generalised homeomorphism in topological spaces, Bull fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III, 40 (1993), 13-21.

- [8] Rajasekaran I. and Nethaji O., Simple forms of nano open sets in an ideal nano topological spaces, *Journal of New Theory*, 24 (2018), 35-43.
- [9] Rajasekaran I., Meharin M. and Nethaji O., On new classes of some nano open sets, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 10 (2) (2017), 147-155.
- [10] Rajasekaran I. and Nethaji O., On some new subsets of nano topological spaces, *Journal of New Theory*, 16 (2017), 52-58.
- [11] Rajasekaran I. and Nethaji O., Simple forms of nano open sets in an ideal nano topological spaces, *Journal of New Theory*, 24 (2018), 35-43.
- [12] Thivagar M. L. and Richard C., On nano forms of weakly open sets, *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention*, 1 (1) (2013), 31-37.
- [13] Thivagar M. L. and Richard C., On nano continuity, *Mathematical theory and Modelling*, 3 (7) (2013), 32-37.

This page intentionally left blank.