

## ON $h$ -RANDERS EXPONENTIAL CHANGE OF FINSLER METRIC

M. K. Gupta and Suman Sharma

Department of Mathematics,  
Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya,  
Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, INDIA

E-mail : mkgiaps@gmail.com, sharma.suman209@gmail.com

(Received: Feb. 07, 2023 Accepted: Aug. 11, 2023 Published: Aug. 30, 2023)

**Abstract:** Studying an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics is a central idea in Finsler geometry, which is a generalization of Randers metric. In this paper, we have derived the Cartan connection for the Finsler space whose metric is given by  $h$ -Randers exponential change and also obtained the condition under which the Finslerian hypersurface to be hyperplane of first, second and third kind.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Finsler space, hypersurface, Randers change, exponential change,  $h$ -vector.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53B40.

### 1. Introduction

Nearly four decades ago, C. Shibata [17] introduced the idea of  $\beta$ -change in Finsler geometry. Randers change, Matsumoto change, exponential change, and Kropina change are very important example of  $\beta$ -change. Among them, exponential change is one of the interesting examples with  $F = Le^{\beta/\alpha}$ , where  $\beta = b_j(x)y^j$  is 1-form and  $\alpha = (a_{jk}(x)y^jy^k)^{1/2}$  is a Riemannian metric in the manifold  $M^n$ . In 2006, a Finsler space with metric function determined by exponential change has been studied by Yu Yao-Yong and You Ying [20]. In 2013, G. Shankar *et al.* [14] discussed Randers change of exponential metric. The first approximation of exponential change has been studied by T. N. Pandey *et al.* [12]. In 2016 Gupta and Gupta [2] have discussed  $h$ -exponential change and also obtained hypersurface for the exponential change of Finsler metric with an  $h$ -vector, given by  $\bar{L} = Le^{\beta/L}$

[3], where  $\beta = b_j(x, y)y^j$  is one form and  $b_j$  is an  $h$ -vector. The notion of  $h$ -vector  $b_j(x, y)$  was introduced by H. Izumi [8], which is  $v$ -covariant constant concerning the Cartan connection and satisfies  $LC_{jk}^h b_h = \rho h_{jk}$ , where  $\rho$  is a non-zero scalar function and  $C_{jk}^h$  is component of Cartan tensor. Thus from the above definition of  $h$ -vector, we have  $L\dot{\partial}_k b_j = \rho h_{jk}$ , which shows that  $b_j$  is a function of direction also. Many geometers [2-5, 18] have studied the property of  $h$ -vector in Finsler geometry.

The notion of hypersurface in the Finslerian manifold has been initiated by E. Cartan [1]. Further, A. Rapcsák [13] defined three different kinds of hypersurfaces and M. Matsumoto [11] has categorised them. A hypersurface is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold, embedded in an ambient space of dimension  $n$ . In 2002 M. Kitayama [9] studied a Finslerian hypersurface given by  $\beta$ -change. Later on many geometers [6, 7, 15, 16, 19] discussed the geometric property of the hypersurface.

In this paper, we have introduced  $h$ -Randers exponentially change of Finsler metric defined by

$$\bar{L} = Le^{\beta/L} + \beta, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\beta = b_j(x, y)y^j$  and  $b_j$  is an  $h$ -vector.

This paper is structured as follows: We obtain the following in section 2:

- (i) The fundamental geometric properties of Finsler space, with metric (1.1).
- (ii) The relation between the Cartan connection coefficients for both spaces  $F^n$  and  $\bar{F}^n$ .

In section 3, we have obtained the condition under which the hypersurface is the hyperplane of the first, second, and third kind.

The terminologies and notations are referred to Matsumoto [10].

## 2. The Finsler Space $\bar{F}^n$ with $h$ -Randers Exponential Change

Let  $F^n = (M^n, L)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space with the fundamental function  $L(x, y)$ . The normalized supporting element, angular metric tensor, metric tensor, and Cartan tensor are defined by  $l_j = \dot{\partial}_j L$ ,  $h_{jk} = L\dot{\partial}_j \dot{\partial}_k L$ ,  $g_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_j \dot{\partial}_k L^2$  and  $C_{jks} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_k g_{js}$  respectively. The Cartan connection in  $F^n$  is defined as  $CT = (F_{jk}^i, N_j^i, C_{jk}^i)$ .

The Finsler space  $\bar{F}^n = (M^n, \bar{L})$  with the basic function  $\bar{L}(x, y)$  is defined by equation (1.1), where  $\beta = b_j(x, y)y^j$ ,  $b_j$  is  $h$ -vector defined as

$$(a) \quad b_j|_s = 0, \quad (b) \quad LC_{js}^h b_h = \rho h_{js}, \quad \rho \neq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

As a result of the above definition, we have

$$L\hat{\partial}_k b_j = \rho h_{jk}. \tag{2.2}$$

A bar over the quantity in this paper designates the geometric objects that correspond to the function  $\bar{F}^n$ . We have derived the normalized supporting element as well as the angular metric tensor of  $\bar{F}^n$  as

$$\bar{l}_i = (\tau + e^\tau)l_i + (1 + e^\tau)m_i, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\bar{h}_{ij} = (\tau + e^\tau) \left\{ [e^\tau(1 + \rho - \tau)] h_{ij} + e^\tau m_i m_j \right\}, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $m_i = b_i - \tau l_i$  and  $\tau = \frac{\beta}{L}$ .

For the transformed space  $\bar{F}^n$  the metric tensor  $\bar{g}_{ij}$  and the Cartan tensor  $\bar{C}_{ijk}$  are derived as follows.

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = qg_{ij} + q_1 l_i l_j + q_2 (l_i m_j + l_j m_i) + q_3 m_i m_j \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\bar{C}_{ijk} = qC_{ijk} + U_{ijk}, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$U_{ijk} = U_1(h_{ij}m_k + h_{ik}m_j + h_{jk}m_i) + U_2 m_i m_j m_k,$$

$$q = (\tau + e^\tau) [(\rho + 1 - \tau)e^\tau + \rho], \quad q_1 = (e^\tau + \tau)(\tau - \rho)(1 + e^\tau),$$

$$q_2 = (\tau + e^\tau)(1 + e^\tau) \quad q_3 = (2e^{2\tau} + \tau e^\tau + 2e^\tau + 1), \quad U_1 = \frac{1}{2L} [q_2 + q_3(\rho - \tau)],$$

$$U_2 = \frac{e^\tau}{2L} (4e^\tau + \tau + 3).$$

In  $\bar{F}^n$ , the inverse metric tensor  $\bar{g}^{ij}$  is computed as

$$\bar{g}^{ij} = p g^{ij} + p_1 l^i l^j + p_2 (l^i m^j + l^j m^i) + p_3 m^i m^j, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$p = \frac{1}{q}, \quad p_1 = -\frac{(q^2 q_1 - q_2^2 q_3)(q + q_3 m^2) [q^2(q - q_1) - q_2^2 q_3]^2 + q^4 q_2^2 q_3 (q^3 - 2q_2^2 q_3)}{q [q^2(q - q_1) - q_2^2 q_3] \left\{ (q + q_3 m^2) [q^2(q - q_1) - q_2^2 q_3]^2 + q^4 q_2^2 q_3 \right\}},$$

$$p_2 = \frac{-qq_2q_3 [q^2(q - q_1) - q_2^2 q_3]}{(q + q_3 m^2) [q^2(q - q_1) - q_2^2 q_3]^2 + q^4 q_2^2 q_3},$$

$$p_3 = \frac{-q_3 [q^2(q - q_1) - q_2^2 q_3]^2}{q(q + q_3 m^2) [q^2(q - q_1) - q_2^2 q_3]^2 + q^4 q_2^2 q_3}.$$

The Christoffel symbol for the Finsler space  $\overline{F}^n$  is defined as

$$\overline{\gamma}_{j sk} = \frac{1}{2} \{ \partial_j \overline{g}_{sk} - \partial_s \overline{g}_{jk} + \partial_k \overline{g}_{js} \} \quad (2.8)$$

Differentiating equation (2.5) with respect to  $x^k$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k \overline{g}_{ij} = & q \partial_k g_{ij} + q_2 \rho_k h_{ij} + 2(U_1 h_{ij} + U_2 m_i m_j)(\beta_k + N_k^r m_r) \\ & + q_2(l_i b_{j|k} + l_j b_{i|k} + m_r F_{jk}^r l_i + m_r F_{ik}^r l_j + m_i F_{jk}^r l_r + m_j F_{ik}^r l_r) \\ & + q_1(l_i l_r F_{jk}^r + l_j l_r F_{ik}^r) + 2U_1(h_{jr} N_k^r m_i + h_{ir} N_k^r m_j) \\ & + q_3(m_i b_{j|k} + m_j b_{i|k} + m_i m_r F_{jk}^r + m_j m_r F_{ik}^r), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where we have used the following notations

$$\rho_k = \rho_{|k} = \partial_k \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = \beta_{|k}.$$

The coefficient of the Christoffel symbol is derived by applying the Christoffel process to the indices  $i, j, k$  in equation (2.9), and then putting these values in equation (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\gamma}_{ijk} = & q \gamma_{ijk} + \mathfrak{A}_{ijk} \left\{ \frac{q_2}{2} \rho_k h_{ij} + (\beta_k + N_k^r m_r) B_{ij} + U_1(h_{jr} m_i + h_{ir} m_j) N_k^r \right\} + Q_i F_{jk} \\ & + Q_k F_{ji} + Q_j E_{ik} + (\overline{g}_{rj} - q g_{rj}) \left\{ \gamma_{ik}^r + g^{rt} (C_{ikm} N_t^m - C_{tkm} N_i^m - C_{itm} N_k^m) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where the symbol  $\mathfrak{A}_{ijk}$  is defined as  $\mathfrak{A}_{ijk} A_{ijk} = A_{ijk} - A_{jki} + A_{kij}$  and we have used the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i = & q_2 l_i + q_3 m_i, \quad B_{ij} = U_1 h_{ij} + U_2 m_i m_j, \\ 2F_{js} = & b_{j|s} - b_{s|j}, \quad 2E_{js} = b_{j|s} + b_{s|j}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The transformed Christoffel symbol is defined as

$$\overline{\gamma}_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} \overline{g}^{ir} \{ \partial_j \overline{g}_{kr} + \partial_k \overline{g}_{rj} - \partial_r \overline{g}_{jk} \}.$$

Transvecting equation (2.10) by (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\gamma}_{jk}^i = & q \gamma_{jk}^i + (\overline{g}_{rj} - q g_{rj}) \left\{ \gamma_{ik}^r + g^{rt} (C_{ikm} N_t^m - C_{tkm} N_i^m - C_{itm} N_k^m) \right\} + \overline{g}^{is} \left\{ \mathfrak{S}_{ijk} \right. \\ & \left. \left\{ \frac{q_2}{2} \rho_k h_{ij} + (\beta_k + N_k^r m_r) B_{ij} + U_1(h_{jr} m_i + h_{ir} m_j) N_k^r \right\} + Q_i F_{jk} + Q_k F_{ji} + Q_j E_{ik} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By using  $\bar{G}^i = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\gamma}_{jk}^i y^j y^k$ , we obtain the spray coefficient  $\bar{G}^i$  as follows

$$\bar{G}^i = G^i + D^i, \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$D^i = \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}^{is} \{2Lq_2F_{s0} + (q_2l_s + q_3m_s)E_{00}\}. \tag{2.14}$$

Differentiating equation (2.13) with respect to  $y^j$ , we obtain the Nonlinear connection  $\bar{N}_j^i$  as follows

$$\bar{N}_j^i = N_j^i + D_j^i, \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$D_j^i = \bar{g}^{ir} \left\{ -2D^m(qC_{mrj} + U_{mrj}) + Q_rE_{0j} + Q_jF_{r0} + q_2LF_{rj} + \frac{1}{2}\rho_k y^k q_2 h_{rj} + E_{00}B_{jr} \right\}. \tag{2.16}$$

The Cartan connection coefficient of the transformed Finsler space  $\bar{F}^n$  is defined as

$$\bar{F}_{jk}^i = \bar{\gamma}_{jk}^i + \bar{g}^{it} (\bar{C}_{jkr}\bar{N}_t^r - \bar{C}_{tkr}\bar{N}_j^r - \bar{C}_{jtr}\bar{N}_k^r). \tag{2.17}$$

After plugging the values of (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.15) in the above equation and calculating, we obtain the relation between the Cartan connection coefficients for both the spaces  $F^n$  and  $\bar{F}^n$  as follows

$$\bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + D_{jk}^i, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_{jk}^i = \bar{g}^{is} \left\{ Q_j F_{sk} + Q_s E_{kj} + Q_k F_{js} + q (C_{jkm} D_s^m - C_{skm} D_j^m - C_{jsm} D_k^m) \right. \\ \left. + U_{jkm} D_s^m - U_{skm} D_j^m - U_{jsm} D_k^m + B_{js} \beta_k - B_{jk} \beta_s + B_{sk} \beta_j \right. \\ \left. + \frac{q_2}{2} (\rho_k h_{js} - \rho_s h_{jk} + \rho_j h_{sk}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

The contraction by  $y^k$  is denoted as zero ‘0’ in subscript, for instance,  $F_{jk}y^k = F_{j0}$ . Thus, we have

**Theorem 2.1.** *The relation between the Cartan connection coefficients for both the spaces  $F^n$  and  $\bar{F}^n$ , for the h-Randers exponential change of Finsler space, is given by (2.18).*

### 3. Hypersurface $\bar{F}^{n-1}$ of the Transformed Finsler Space $\bar{F}^n$

The hypersurface  $F^{n-1} = (M^{n-1}, \underline{L}(u, v))$  of the Finsler space  $F^n = (M^n, L)$  is given by the equation  $x^i = x^i(u^\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

The supporting element  $y^i$  at a point  $u = (u^\alpha)$  of  $M^{n-1}$  is assumed to be tangent to  $M^{n-1}$ , i.e.

$$y^i = B_\alpha^i(u)v^\alpha,$$

where  $B_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^\alpha}$  is the matrix of projection factors of rank  $n-1$  can be assumed as the components of linearly independent vectors that are tangent to  $F^{n-1}$ .

At every point  $u^\alpha$  of  $F^{n-1}$ , a unit normal vector  $B^i$  is defined as [11],

$$g_{ij}B^iB^j = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad g_{ij}B^jB_\alpha^i = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

The induced metric tensor  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  and induced Cartan tensor  $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  of  $F^{n-1}$  are given as follows [11]:

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{ij}B_\alpha^iB_\beta^j \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = C_{ijk}B_\alpha^iB_\beta^jB_\gamma^k.$$

Now we obtain the condition under which the hypersurface for the transformed Finsler space  $\bar{F}^n$  to be the hyperplane of the first, second and third kind.

Let  $\bar{F}^{n-1} = (M^{n-1}, \underline{L}(u, v))$  be a Finslerian hypersurface of the transformed Finsler space  $\bar{F}^n$ . The unit normal vector  $\bar{B}^i(u, v)$  of  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$  is uniquely identified as

$$\bar{g}_{ij}B_\alpha^i\bar{B}^j = 0, \quad \bar{g}_{ij}\bar{B}^i\bar{B}^j = 1. \quad (3.2)$$

$\bar{B}_i^\alpha$  is the inverse projection factor of  $\bar{B}_\alpha^i$ , is uniquely defined by

$$\bar{B}_i^\alpha = g_{ij}\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}B_\beta^j, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}$  is the inverse metric tensor of the metric tensor  $\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}$  along  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$ . In view of the above equation and (3.2), it follow that

$$\bar{B}_\alpha^i\bar{B}_i^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad \bar{B}_\alpha^i\bar{B}_i = 0, \quad \bar{B}^i\bar{B}_i^\alpha = 0, \quad \bar{B}^i\bar{B}_i = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Transvecting equation (3.1) by  $v^\alpha$  and using  $B_\alpha^i v^\alpha = y^i$ , we obtain

$$y_j B^j = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Contracting equation (2.5) by  $B^i B^j$  and using (3.2) and (3.5), we get

$$\bar{g}_{ij}B^iB^j = q + q_3(B^i m_i)^2, \quad (3.6)$$

which demonstrates that  $B^i/\sqrt{q + q_3(m_i B^i)^2}$  is a unit normal vector. Equation (2.5) is again contracting by  $B_\alpha^i B^j$  and using (3.2), (3.5), we obtain

$$\bar{g}_{ij} B_\alpha^i B^j = (q_2 l_i + q_3 m_i) B_\alpha^i (B^j m_j), \tag{3.7}$$

which demonstrates that the vector  $B^j$  is normal to  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$  if and only if

$$(q_2 l_i + q_3 m_i) B_\alpha^i (B^j m_j) = 0.$$

This implies that at least one of the following condition is correct.

$$(i) \quad B_\alpha^i (q_2 l_i + q_3 m_i) = 0 \qquad (ii) \quad B^j m_j = 0.$$

Transvecting the condition (i) by  $v^\alpha$  gives  $L = 0$ , which is not possible. Therefore the condition (ii) holds, *i.e.*

$$B^j m_j = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

In view of (3.5), the above equation can be equivalently written as

$$B^j b_j = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

This proves that the vector  $B^j$  is normal to  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$  if and only if the  $h$ -vector  $b_j$  is tangent to the Finsler space  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$ . According to equations (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9),  $B^i/\sqrt{q}$  is a unit normal vector of  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$  *i.e.*

$$\bar{B}^i = \frac{B^i}{\sqrt{q}}, \tag{3.10}$$

which gives

$$\bar{B}_i = \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{B}^j = \sqrt{q} B_i. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus, we have

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\bar{F}^n$  is obtained by the  $h$ -Randers exponential change (1.1) from  $F^n$ . If  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$  is the hypersurface of the space  $\bar{F}^n$  then the  $h$ -vector  $b_j$  is tangential to the hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$  if and only if each vector normal to  $F^{n-1}$  is also normal to  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$ .*

In view of the equation  $g_{ij} B^i B^j = 1$ ,  $g_{ij} B^j B_\alpha^i = 0$ , (3.5), and the definition of the angular metric tensor  $h_{ij} = g_{ij} - l_i l_j$ , we get

$$h_{ij} B_\alpha^j B^i = 0, \quad h_{ij} B^i = B_j. \tag{3.12}$$

The tensors  $B_{ij}$  and  $Q_i$  are given by (2.11) and satisfies the relations

$$B_{ij}B^iB^j_\alpha = 0, \quad B_{ij}B^i = B_j, \quad Q_jB^j = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Transvecting (2.7) by  $B_i$  and using  $l^iB_i = 0 = m^iB_i$ , we get

$$\bar{g}^{is}B_i = pB^s. \quad (3.14)$$

For the hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$ , the normal curvature  $H_\alpha$  is defined as [11],  $H_\alpha = B_i(N_j^iB^j_\alpha + B_{0\alpha}^i)$ . In view of equation (2.15) and (3.11), we obtain the normal curvature  $\bar{H}_\alpha$  for the hypersurface  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$  as

$$\bar{H}_\alpha = \sqrt{q}(H_\alpha + B_iD_j^iB^j_\alpha).$$

Contracting the above equation by  $v^\alpha$  and using  $v^\alpha B_\alpha^k = y^k$ , we get

$$\bar{H}_0 = \sqrt{q}(H_0 + B_iD^i). \quad (3.15)$$

Transvecting equation (2.14) and using  $m_iB^i = 0$ ,  $l_iB^i = 0$ , we obtain

$$B_iD^i = pq_2LF_{i0}B^i. \quad (3.16)$$

Let the  $h$ -vector  $b_j$  be gradient, *i.e.*  $b_{j|k} = b_{k|j}$ , then

$$F_{jk} = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

In 2015, the following Lemma was demonstrated by M. K. Gupta and P. N. Pandey [4],

**Lemma 1.** *If the  $h$ -vector  $b_j$  is gradient then the scalar  $\rho$  is constant.*

In view of the above Lemma, we have

$$\rho_j = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

From equation (3.17), the equation (3.16) becomes

$$B_iD^i = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

and then equation (3.15) reduces to

$$\bar{H}_0 = \sqrt{q}H_0. \quad (3.20)$$

M. Matsumoto [11] has categorised the hypersurface to be hyperplane of first kind as "A hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$  is a first kind hyperplane if and only if  $H_\alpha = 0$  or equivalently



$H_0 = 0$ .”

Thus, we have

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let the  $h$ -vector  $b_i(x, y)$  be gradient and tangent to the hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$ , for the  $h$ -Randers exponential change. Then the hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$  is a first kind hyperplane if and only if the hypersurface  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$  is a first kind hyperplane.*

The second fundamental  $h$ -tensor  $H_{\alpha\beta}$  for the hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$  is defined as [11],  $H_{\alpha\beta} = M_\alpha H_\beta + B_i(B_{\alpha\beta}^i + F_{jk}^i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k)$ , which on contraction by  $v^\beta$ , gives

$$H_{\alpha 0} = H_{\alpha\beta} v^\beta = M_\alpha H_0 + H_\alpha, \quad H_{0\alpha} = H_{\beta\alpha} v^\beta = H_\alpha. \tag{3.21}$$

where  $M_\alpha = C_{ijk} B_\alpha^i B^j B^k$ .

In view of equation (2.18) and (3.11), the second fundamental  $h$ -tensor  $\bar{H}_{\alpha\beta}$  for hyperplane  $\bar{F}^{n-1}$  is given as

$$\bar{H}_{\alpha\beta} - \bar{M}_\alpha \bar{H}_\beta = \sqrt{q}(H_{\alpha\beta} + D_{jk}^i B_i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k) - \sqrt{q} M_\alpha H_\beta. \tag{3.22}$$

Using (3.17) and (3.18), equation (2.19) reduces to

$$D_{jk}^i = \bar{g}^{is} \left\{ Q_s E_{kj} + q C_{jkm} D_s^m + U_{jkm} D_s^m - q C_{skm} D_j^m - U_{skm} D_j^m - q C_{j sm} D_k^m - U_{j sm} D_k^m + B_{js} \beta_k + B_{sk} \beta_j - B_{jk} \beta_s \right\}. \tag{3.23}$$

Transvecting above equation by  $B_i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k$  and using  $\bar{g}^{ij} B_j = p B^i$ ,

$B^s Q_s = 0$ ,  $B_{sk} B^s B_\beta^k = 0$ ,

we get

$$D_{jk}^i B_i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = p B^s B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k \left\{ q C_{jkm} D_s^m + U_{jkm} D_s^m - q C_{skm} D_j^m - U_{skm} D_j^m - q C_{j sm} D_k^m - U_{j sm} D_k^m - B_{jk} \beta_s \right\}. \tag{3.24}$$

Equation (2.14) can be rewritten as

$$D^i = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ [(p+p_1)q_2 + p_2q_3m^2] E_{00} + 2p_2q_2 L F_{\beta 0} \right\} l^i + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \mu E_{00} + 2p_3q_2 L F_{\beta 0} \right\} m^i + p q_2 F_0^i L, \tag{3.25}$$

where  $\mu = (p q_3 + p_2 q_2 + p_3 q_3 m^2)$  and  $F_{\beta 0} = F_{s0} m^s$ . In view of the above equation and applying the indicatory property of  $U_{j sk}$ ,  $C_{j sk}$ ,  $m_j$ ,  $h_{js}$ , the equation (2.16) can be transformed as

$$D_s^m = \bar{g}^{mr} \left\{ \lambda h_{rs} + Q_r E_{s0} + \phi m_r m_s \right\}, \tag{3.26}$$

where

$$\lambda = \left[ -\mu \left( \frac{q\rho}{L} + m^2 U_1 \right) + U_1 \right] E_{00} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \left[ -\mu (2U_1 + U_2 m^2) + U_2 \right] E_{00}. \quad (3.27)$$

Transvecting the equation (3.26) by  $C_{jkm}$ , we get

$$C_{jkm} D_s^m = C_{jkm} \left\{ p g^{mr} + p_1 l^m l^r + p_2 (l^m m^r + l^r m^m) + p_3 m^m m^r \right\} \left\{ \lambda h_{rs} + Q_r E_{s0} + \phi m_r m_s \right\}, \quad (3.28)$$

which can be simplified as

$$C_{jkm} D_s^m = p \lambda C_{jks} + \frac{\rho}{L} \mu h_{jk} E_{s0} + \left[ (p + p_3 m^2) \phi + p_3 \lambda \right] \frac{\rho}{L} h_{jk} m_s. \quad (3.29)$$

Similarly we can write the expressions for  $C_{skm} D_j^m$  and  $C_{jkm} D_k^m$  as

$$C_{skm} D_j^m = p \lambda C_{jks} + \frac{\rho}{L} \mu h_{sk} E_{j0} + \left[ (p + p_3 m^2) \phi + p_3 \lambda \right] \frac{\rho}{L} h_{sk} m_j, \quad (3.30)$$

and

$$C_{jkm} D_k^m = p \lambda C_{jks} + \frac{\rho}{L} \mu h_{sj} E_{k0} + \left[ (p + p_3 m^2) \phi + p_3 \lambda \right] \frac{\rho}{L} h_{sj} m_k. \quad (3.31)$$

Transvecting equations (3.29), (3.30) and (3.31) by  $B^s B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k$ , and using (3.12), we get respectively

$$B^s C_{jkm} D_s^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = p \lambda M_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\rho}{L} \mu h_{jk} B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k B^s E_{s0}, \quad (3.32)$$

$$B^s C_{skm} D_j^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = p \lambda M_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.33)$$

$$B^s C_{jkm} D_k^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = p \lambda M_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.34)$$

where  $M_{\alpha\beta}$  is the second fundamental v-tensor for the hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$  is defined as [11]

$$M_{\alpha\beta} = C_{ijk} B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k B^i. \quad (3.35)$$

Again, transvecting Equation (3.26) by  $U_{jkm}$ , we get

$$U_{jkm} D_s^m = U_{jkm} \left\{ p g^{mr} + (p_2 l^r + p_3 m^r) m^m \right\} \left\{ \lambda h_{rs} + Q_r E_{s0} + \phi m_r m_s \right\}. \quad (3.36)$$

$U_{ijk}$  is an indicatory tensor and satisfies;

- (i)  $U_{ijk} m^i = (2U_1 + U_2 m^2) m_j m_k + U_1 m^2 h_{jk}$ ,
- (ii)  $U_{ijk} g^{ir} = U_1 (h_{jk} m^r + h_k^r m_j + h_j^r m_k) + U_2 m_j m_k m^r$ ,

where  $h_k^r = h_{ik}g^{ir}$ .

By using the property of indicatory tensor  $U_{ijk}$ , equation (3.36) can be rewritten as

$$U_{jkm}D_s^m = \left[ p \{ U_1 [ h_{jk}m^r + h_j^r m_k + h_k^r m_j ] + U_2 m_j m_k m^r \} + (p_2 l^r + p_3 m^r) \right. \\ \left. [ (2U_1 + U_2 m^2) m_j m_k + U_1 m^2 h_{jk} ] \right] \left\{ \lambda h_{sr} + \phi m_s m_r + Q_r E_{s0} \right\},$$

which can be simplified as

$$U_{jkm}D_s^m = \left\{ \psi_1 U_1 h_{jk} + (\psi_1 U_2 + 2\psi_2 U_1) m_j m_k \right\} m_s + q\lambda U_1 (h_{js} m_k + h_{sk} m_j) \\ + \left\{ \mu [ m^2 U_1 h_{jk} + (2U_1 + U_2 m^2) m_j m_k ] \right\} E_{s0}, \quad (3.37)$$

where

$$\psi_1 = (\lambda + \phi m^2) (p + p_3 m^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = (\lambda + \phi m^2) p_3 + p\phi.$$

Similarly we can write the expression for  $U_{skm}D_j^m$  and  $U_{jsm}D_k^m$  as

$$U_{skm}D_j^m = \left\{ \psi_1 U_1 h_{sk} + (\psi_1 U_2 + 2\psi_2 U_1) m_s m_k \right\} m_j + q\lambda U_1 (h_{js} m_k + h_{jk} m_s) \\ + \left\{ \mu [ m^2 U_1 h_{sk} + (2U_1 + U_2 m^2) m_s m_k ] \right\} E_{j0}, \quad (3.38)$$

and

$$U_{jsm}D_k^m = \left\{ \psi_1 U_1 h_{sj} + (\psi_1 U_2 + 2\psi_2 U_1) m_j m_s \right\} m_k + q\lambda U_1 (h_{sk} m_j + h_{jk} m_s) \\ + \left\{ \mu [ m^2 U_1 h_{sj} + (2U_1 + U_2 m^2) m_s m_j ] \right\} E_{k0}. \quad (3.39)$$

Contracting equation (3.37), (3.38), (3.39) by  $B^s B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k$  and using  $B^i m_i = 0 = h_{ij} B^i B_\alpha^j$ , we get respectively

$$B^s U_{jkm} D_s^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = \mu \{ U_1 m^2 h_{jk} + (2U_1 + U_2 m^2) m_j m_k \} B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k B^s E_{s0}, \quad (3.40)$$

$$B^s U_{skm} D_j^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = 0, \quad (3.41)$$

$$B^s U_{jsm} D_k^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = 0. \quad (3.42)$$

Using equations (3.32), (3.33), (3.34), (3.40), (3.41) and (3.42) in equation (3.24), we get

$$D_{jk}^i B_i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = \left[ \frac{\mu\rho}{L} - p \{ [(2U_1 + U_2 m^2) m_j m_k] + U_1 m^2 h_{jk} \} + B_{jk} \right] B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k B^s E_{s0} - p\lambda M_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.43)$$

The relative  $h$ - derivative of  $B_\alpha^i$  and the normal vector  $B^i$  are given by [11]

$$B_{\alpha|\beta}^i = H_{\alpha\beta} B^i, \quad B^i{}_{|\beta} = -H_{\alpha\beta} B_j^\alpha g^{ij}. \quad (3.44)$$

Consider the  $h$ -covariant differentiation of  $B^i b_i = 0$  with respect to the Cartan connection of the hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$ , we obtain

$$B^i b_{i|j} + b_i B_{|\beta}^i = 0. \quad (3.45)$$

In view of equation (3.44), equation (3.45) becomes

$$\left( b_{i|j} B^j H_\beta + b_{i|j} B_\beta^j \right) B^i - b_i H_{\alpha\beta} B_j^\alpha g^{ij} = 0,$$

Contracting the above equation by  $v^\beta$  and using (3.21), gives

$$B^i b_{i|0} = (H_\alpha + M_\alpha H_0) B_j^\alpha b^j - b_{i|j} H_0 B^i B^j.$$

If the hypersurface is first kind hyperplane then  $H_0 = 0 = H_\alpha$ . Thus the above equation reduces to  $B^i b_{i|0} = 0$ . The  $h$ -vector  $b_j$  is gradient, *i.e.*  $b_{j|s} = b_{s|j}$ , then we have

$$E_{s0} B^s = b_{s|0} B^s = 0. \quad (3.46)$$

Therefore equation (3.43) reduces to

$$D_{jk}^i B_i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = -p\lambda M_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.47)$$

In view of the above equation and (3.22), we get

$$\overline{H}_{\alpha\beta} - \overline{M}_\alpha \overline{H}_\beta = \sqrt{q}(H_{\alpha\beta} - p\lambda M_{\alpha\beta}) - \sqrt{q} M_\alpha H_\beta. \quad (3.48)$$

Now transvecting (2.6) by  $B_\alpha^i B_\beta^j B^k$  and in view of equations (3.9) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\overline{C}_{ijk} B_\alpha^i B_\beta^j B^k = q C_{ijk} B_\alpha^i B_\beta^j B^k. \quad (3.49)$$

From equation (3.7) and (3.35), equation (3.49) may be written as

$$\overline{M}_{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{q} M_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.50)$$

M. Matsumoto [11] has categorised the hypersurface to be hyperplane of second kind as “A hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$  is a second kind hyperplane if and only if  $H_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ ” and the hypersurface to be hyperplane of third kind as “A hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$  is a hyperplane of third kind if and only if  $H_{\alpha\beta} = 0 = M_{\alpha\beta}$ .”

Thus, we have

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let the  $h$ -vector  $b_j$  is gradient and tangential to the hypersurface  $F^{n-1}$  for the  $h$ -Randers exponential change and satisfies the condition (3.46). Then*

- (i) If  $F^{n-1}$  is a second kind hyperplane with  $M_{\alpha\beta} = 0$  then  $\overline{F}^{n-1}$  is also second kind hyperplane.
- (ii) If  $F^{n-1}$  is a third kind hyperplane then  $\overline{F}^{n-1}$  is also third kind hyperplane.

### References

- [1] Cartan E., Les espaces de Finsler, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, 79, Herman, Paris, 1934.
- [2] Gupta M. K. and Gupta A. K.,  $h$ -exponential change of Finsler metric, Facta Universitatis, Series: Mathematics and Informatics, 31(5) (2016), 1029–1039.
- [3] Gupta M. K. and Gupta A. K., Hypersurface of a Finsler space subjected to an  $h$ -exponential change of metric, International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, 13(10) (2016), 1650129.
- [4] Gupta M. K. and Pandey P. N., Finsler space subjected to a Kropina change with an  $h$ -vector, Facta universitatis-series: Mathematics and Informatics, 30 (4) (2015), 513–525.
- [5] Gupta M. K. and Pandey P. N., Hypersurface of a Finsler Space Subjected to a Kropina Change with an  $h$ -Vector, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section A: Physical Sciences, 88(2) (2018), 241-246.
- [6] Gupta M. K. and Pandey P. N., On hypersurface of a Finsler space with a special metric, Acta Math. Hungar., 120(1-2) (2008), 165-177.
- [7] Gupta M. K. and Pandey P. N., Hypersurfaces of a Conformally and  $h$ -Conformally related Finsler spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., 123(3) (2009), 257-264.
- [8] Izumi H., Conformal transformations of Finsler spaces II, Tensor, N.S., 33 (1980), 337–359.
- [9] Kitayama M., On Finslerian hypersurfaces given by  $\beta$ -change, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., 7 (2002), 49-55.
- [10] Matsumoto M., Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces, Kaiseisha, 1986.

- [11] Matsumoto M., The induced and intrinsic Finsler connections of a hypersurface and Finslerian projective geometry, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ*, 25(3) (1985), 107–144.
- [12] Pandey T. N. and Tripathi M. N., First Approximate Exponential Change of Finsler Metric, *Mathematical Combinatorics*, (4) (2013), 31-36.
- [13] Rapcsák A., Eine neue Charakterisierung Finslerscher Rume Skalarer und konstanter Krmung und projektiv-ebene Rume, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar*, 8(3) (1957), 1–8.
- [14] Shankar G. and Ravindra, On Randers change of exponential metric, *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 15 (2013).
- [15] Shankar G. and Yadav R., On the hypersurface of a Finsler space with special  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $\alpha + \beta + \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{\alpha^n}$ , *Journal of the Indian Mathematical Soc.*, 80(3-4) (2013), 329-339.
- [16] Shankar G. and Yadav R., On the hypersurface of a Finsler space with special  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $\alpha + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha-\beta}$ , *J. R. Acad. Phy. Sci.*, 12(1) (2013), 15-26.
- [17] Shibata C., On invariant tensors of  $\beta$ -changes of Finsler metrics, *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, 24(1) (1984), 163-188.
- [18] Shukla H. S., Pandey O. P. and Mishra A. K., Generalized h-Kropina Change of Finsler Metric, *Mathematical Combinatorics*, (4) (2015), 84-91.
- [19] Singh U. P. and Bindu Kumari, On a Hypersurface of a Matsumoto space, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 32(4) (2001), 521-531.
- [20] Yao-Yong Yu and Ying You, Projectively flat exponential Finsler metric, *Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE A*, 7(6) (2006), 1068-1076.