

INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY ASPECTS OF MULTIPLICATION N -GROUPS

Md Nazir Hussain, Navalakhi Hazarika* and Anuradha Devi**

Department of Mathematics,
Bilasipara College, Dhubri - 783348, Assam, INDIA

E-mail : nazirh328@gmail.com

*Department of Mathematics,
GL Choudhury College, Barpeta - 781315, Assam, INDIA

E-mail : navalakhmi@gmail.com

**The Assam Royal Global University,
Department of Mathematics,
Betkuchi, Guwahati - 781035, Assam, INDIA

E-mail : devianuradha09@gmail.com

(Received: Feb. 08, 2023 Accepted: Aug. 20, 2023 Published: Aug. 30, 2023)

Abstract: Intuitionistic fuzzy (IF) sets were first put forward by K. Atanassov as a generalised notation for fuzzy sets in 1983. The concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy near rings, intuitionistic fuzzy N -groups, intuitionistic fuzzy N -subgroups, intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of N -group are described by P. Saikia, L. K. Barthakur and H. K. Saikia in [10, 11]. Fuzzy distributive modules are studied by Sh. B. Semein and I. M. A. Hadi in [4]. Intuitionistic fuzzy multiplication modules are studied by P. K. Sharma in [13]. We extend the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy multiplication modules to intuitionistic fuzzy multiplication N -groups. Here, we define intuitionistic fuzzy multiplication N -group and some basic definitions that are needed in this sequel. The relations ship of multiplication N -groups, intuitionistic fuzzy multiplication N -groups, DN -groups and intuitionistic fuzzy DN -groups are also studied.

Keywords and Phrases: Near rings, N - groups, DN -groups, multiplication DN -

groups, intuitionistic fuzzy multiplication N -groups.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y30, 08A72, 03F55.

1. Preliminaries

In this study, E is taken into account as the unitary left N -group and N is thought of as a zero symmetric commutative right near ring with unity. The basic concepts used in this paper are available in [2, 11]. We defined some of the definitions and results that are needed in this sequel. Throughout this paper \wedge and \vee denote maximum and minimum in the unit interval $[0, 1]$ and in general $\gamma, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ with $\gamma + \lambda \leq 1$. Here, we use IF to mean intuitionistic fuzzy and the symbols $\leq_N, \leq_{IFN}, \trianglelefteq_N, \triangleleft$ and \triangleleft_{IF} are used to mean N -subgroup, intuitionistic fuzzy N -subgroup, normal N -group, ideal and intuitionistic fuzzy ideal respectively.

Definition 1.1. [10] *If the following standards are satisfied, a nonempty set N combined with the binary operations “+” and “.” is referred to as right near ring.*

i. $(N, +)$ is a group(not necessarily abelian).

ii. (N, \cdot) is a semi group.

iii. $(p + b)c = pc + bc, \forall p, b, c \in N$.

Definition 1.2. [10] *An additive group $(E, +)$ is referred to be a left N -group, if \exists a map $N \times E \rightarrow E, (n, u) \rightarrow nu$ in which the following standards are satisfied-*

i. $(m + n)u = mu + nu$.

ii. $(mn)u = m(nu)$.

It is to be noted that N is itself an N -group over itself. If for $1 \in N$ such that $1.u = u \forall u \in E$, then E is called an unitary N -group.

Definition 1.3. [10] *In the event that A is a subgroup of $(E, +)$ and $NA \subseteq A$ for any $A \subseteq E$, then A is referred to as an N -subgroup of E .*

Definition 1.4. [10] *If F is a normal subgroup of $(E, +)$ with $na \in F, \forall n \in N, a \in F$, then F is referred to be a normal N -subgroup of E .*

Definition 1.5. [6] *If D is a normal subgroup of $(E, +)$ such that $n(a+e) - ne \in D, \forall n \in N, a \in D, e \in E$, then D is referred to as an ideal of E .*

Definition 1.6. [11] *The object $A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle = \{ \langle s, \phi_A(s), \psi_A(s) \rangle \mid s \in S \}$ is referred to as an intuitionistic fuzzy (IF) set on a non empty set S , where ϕ_A and ψ_A are fuzzy subset of S such that $0 \leq \phi_A(s) + \psi_A(s) \leq 1$.*

Some Operations on IF Sets-[11]

Let $M = \langle \phi_M, \psi_M \rangle$ and $B = \langle \phi_B, \psi_B \rangle$ be IF sets on S . Then

i. $M \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \phi_M \leq \phi_B, \psi_M \geq \psi_B$.

- ii. $M = B \Leftrightarrow \phi_M = \phi_B, \psi_M = \psi_B$.
 - iii. $M^c = \bar{M} = \langle \psi_M, \phi_M \rangle$.
 - iv. $M \cup B = \langle \phi_M \vee \phi_B, \psi_M \wedge \psi_B \rangle$.
 - v. $M \cap B = \langle \phi_M \wedge \phi_B, \psi_M \vee \psi_B \rangle$.
 - vi. $M + B = \langle \phi_{M+B}, \psi_{M+B} \rangle$, where $\phi_{M+B}(x) = \vee\{\phi_M(p) \wedge \phi_B(m) : p, m \in S, x = p + m\}$ and $\psi_{M+B}(x) = \wedge\{\psi_M(p) \vee \psi_B(m) : p, m \in S, x = p + m\}$, $\forall x \in S$.
 - vii. $M.B = MB = \langle \phi_{MB}, \psi_{MB} \rangle$, where $\phi_{MB}(x) = \vee\{\phi_M(p) \wedge \phi_B(m) : p, m \in S, x = pm\}$ and $\psi_{MB}(x) = \wedge\{\psi_M(p) \vee \psi_B(m) : p, m \in S, x = pm\}$, $\forall x \in S$.
- Note that if M and H are IF sets on S , then $M^c, M \cup H, M \cap H, M + H, MH$, are all IF sets on S .

Definition 1.7. [12] Let $P = \langle \phi_P, \psi_P \rangle$ be an IF sets in E . Then (γ, λ) -cut of P is referred by-

$${}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}P = \{m \in E : \phi_P(m) \geq \gamma, \psi_P(m) \leq \lambda\}.$$

$${}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}E = \{{}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}A : A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle \leq_{IFN} E\}.$$

Note that ${}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}P, {}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}E \subseteq E$.

Definition 1.8. If $A \leq_N E$, then $(A : E) = \{n \in N : nE \subseteq A\}$.

Lemma 1.1. If $Z \leq_N E$, then $(Z : E)E \subseteq Z$.

Proof. Let $n \in (Z : E)$. Then $nE \subseteq Z$ and so $(Z : E)E \subseteq Z$.

Definition 1.9. [12] An IF sets $A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle$ in N is called IF N -subgroup of N ($A \leq_{IFN} N$) if

- i. $\phi_A(p-m) \geq \phi_A(p) \wedge \phi_A(m)$. ii. $\phi_A(np) \geq \phi_A(p)$. iii. $\psi_A(p-m) \leq \psi_A(p) \vee \psi_A(m)$.
- iv. $\psi_A(np) \leq \psi_A(p)$, $\forall p, m, n \in N$.

Definition 1.10. An IF sets $A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle$ in E is called IF N -subgroup of E ($A \leq_{IFN} E$) if

- i. $\phi_A(p-m) \geq \phi_A(p) \wedge \phi_A(m)$. ii. $\phi_A(np) \geq \phi_A(p)$. iii. $\psi_A(p-m) \leq \psi_A(p) \vee \psi_A(m)$.
- iv. $\psi_A(np) \leq \psi_A(p)$, $\forall p, m \in E, n \in N$.

Definition 1.11. [12] An IF sets $A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle$ in N is called IF ideal of N ($A \triangleleft_{IF} N$) if

- i. $\phi_A(p-m) \geq \phi_A(p) \wedge \phi_A(m)$. ii. $\phi_A(np) \geq \phi_A(p)$. iii. $\phi_A(m+p-m) \geq \phi_A(p)$. iv. $\phi_A(n(p+m) - np) \geq \phi_A(m)$. v. $\psi_A(p-m) \leq \psi_A(p) \vee \psi_A(m)$. vi. $\psi_A(np) \leq \psi_A(p)$.
- vii. $\psi_A(m+p-m) \leq \psi_A(p)$. viii. $\psi_A(n(p+m) - np) \leq \psi_A(m)$, $\forall p, m, n \in N$.

Definition 1.12. [11] An IF sets $A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle$ in E is called IF ideal of E ($A \triangleleft_{IF} E$) if

- i. $\phi_A(p-m) \geq \phi_A(p) \wedge \phi_A(m)$. ii. $\phi_A(np) \geq \phi_A(p)$. iii. $\phi_A(m+p-m) \geq \phi_A(p)$. iv. $\phi_A(n(p+m) - np) \geq \phi_A(m)$. v. $\psi_A(p-m) \leq \psi_A(p) \vee \psi_A(m)$. vi. $\psi_A(np) \leq \psi_A(p)$.

vii. $\psi_A(m+p-m) \leq \psi_A(p)$. viii. $\psi_A(n(p+m) - np) \leq \psi_A(m), \forall p, m \in E, n \in N$.

Proposition 1.1. *If $L = \langle \phi_L, \psi_L \rangle \leq_{IFN} E$, then $(\gamma, \lambda)L \leq_N E$.*

Proof. By definition, $(\gamma, \lambda)L$ is a subset of E . For any $n \in N, s, y \in (\gamma, \lambda)L$ we have, $\phi_L(s), \phi_L(y) \geq \gamma$ and $\psi_L(s), \psi_L(y) \leq \lambda$. $\therefore \phi_L(ns) \geq \phi_L(s) \geq \gamma$ [since L is an IF N -subgroup] and $\psi_L(ns) \leq \psi_L(s) \leq \lambda$. Also, $ns \in E$. $\therefore ns \in (\gamma, \lambda)L$. Also, $s - y \in E$ such that $\phi_L(s - y) \geq \phi_L(s) \wedge \phi_L(y) \geq \gamma \wedge \gamma = \gamma$ and $\psi_L(s - y) \leq \psi_L(s) \vee \psi_L(y) \leq \lambda \vee \lambda = \lambda$. So, $s - y \in (\gamma, \lambda)L$. This shows that $(\gamma, \lambda)L$ is an N -subgroup of E .

2. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Multiplication N -groups

Definition 2.1. *An IF point $d_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$ of a nonempty set K , is predicted as*

$$\{d_{(\gamma, \lambda)}\} = \langle \phi_{d_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}, \psi_{d_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \rangle,$$

$$\text{where } d \in K, \phi_{d_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(h) = \begin{cases} \gamma, & \text{if } h = d \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \psi_{d_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(h) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } h = d \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that if for any IF set $A, \{d_{(\gamma, \lambda)}\} \subseteq A$, then it is predicted as $d_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \in A$.

Definition 2.2. *If $Y \subseteq X$ (non empty), then characteristic function of Y is a IF set on X and defined by $\chi Y = \langle \phi_{\chi Y}, \psi_{\chi Y} \rangle$, where*

$$\phi_{\chi Y}(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s \in Y \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \psi_{\chi Y}(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s \in Y \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.3. *Let K, B, C be IF sets on E and C be IF set on N . Then*

$(K : B) = \{D : D \text{ is IF set on } N \text{ such that } DB \subseteq K\}$ i.e $(K : B) = \langle \phi_{(K:B)}, \psi_{(K:B)} \rangle$, where $\phi_{(K:B)}(n) = \{\phi_D(n) : D \text{ is an IF set on } N \text{ such that } DB \subseteq K\}$ and $\psi_{(K:B)}(n) = \{\psi_D(n) : D \text{ is an IF set on } N \text{ such that } DB \subseteq K\}$

$(K : C) = \{F : F \text{ is IF set on } E \text{ such that } CF \subseteq K\}$ i.e $(K : C) = \langle \phi_{(K:C)}, \psi_{(K:C)} \rangle$, where $\phi_{(K:C)}(n) = \{\phi_F(n) : F \text{ is an IF set on } E \text{ such that } CF \subseteq K\}$ and $\psi_{(K:C)}(n) = \{\psi_F(n) : F \text{ is an IF set on } E \text{ such that } CF \subseteq K\}$.

If $K \leq_{IFN} E$, then $(K : \chi E) = \{D : D \leq_{IFN} N \text{ such that } D\chi E \subseteq K\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Z, K be IF sets on E and C be IF set on N . Then*

(i) $(Z : K)K \subseteq Z$.

(ii) $C(Z : C) \subseteq Z$.

(iii) $CK \subseteq Z \Leftrightarrow C \subseteq (Z : K) \Leftrightarrow K \subseteq (Z : C)$.

Proof. (i) Let $Z = \langle \phi_Z, \psi_Z \rangle, K = \langle \phi_K, \psi_K \rangle$ be IF sets on E and $C = \langle \phi_C, \psi_C \rangle$ be IF set on N . Then $(Z : K)K = \langle \phi_{(Z:K)K}, \psi_{(Z:K)K} \rangle$, where $\phi_{(Z:K)K}(x) = \vee \{\phi_{(Z:K)}(n) \wedge \phi_K(y) : x = ny, n \in N, y \in E\}$ and $\psi_{(Z:K)K}(x) = \wedge \{\psi_{(Z:K)}(n) \vee \psi_K(y) : x = ny, n \in N, y \in E\}$. But $\phi_{(Z:K)}(n) = \{\phi_D(n) : D \text{ is an IF set on } N \text{ such that } DK \subseteq Z\}$. $\therefore \phi_{(Z:K)K}(x) = \vee \{\phi_D(n) \wedge \phi_K(y) : D \text{ is an IF set on } N \text{ such that } DK \subseteq Z, x = ny, n \in N, y \in E\} \leq \vee \{\phi_{DK}(x) : D \text{ is a IF set on } N \text{ such that } DK \subseteq Z\} \leq \phi_Z(x), \forall x \in E$. Similarly, $\psi_{(Z:K)K}(x) \geq \psi_Z(x)$. Thus

$(Z : K)K \subseteq Z$.

(ii) We have, $C(Z : C) = \langle \phi_{C(Z:C)}, \psi_{C(Z:C)} \rangle$, where $\phi_{C(Z:C)}(x) = \vee\{\phi_C(n) \wedge \phi_{(Z:C)}(y) : x = ny, n \in N, y \in E\}$ and $\psi_C(x) = \wedge\{\psi_C(n) \vee \psi_{(Z:C)}(y) : x = ny, n \in N, y \in E\}$. But $\phi_{(Z:C)}(n) = \{\phi_D(n) : D \text{ is an IF set on } E \text{ such that } CD \subseteq Z\}$. $\therefore \phi_{C(Z:C)}(x) = \vee\{\phi_C(n) \wedge \phi_D(y) : D \text{ is an IF set on } E \text{ such that } CD \subseteq Z, x = ny, n \in N, y \in E\} \leq \vee\{\phi_{CD}(x) : D \text{ is a IF set on } E \text{ such that } CD \subseteq Z\} \leq \phi_Z(x)$. Similarly, $\psi_{C(Z:C)}(x) \geq \psi_Z$. Thus $C(Z : C) \subseteq Z$.

(iii) It is clear from the definition.

Definition 2.4. An N -group E is called IF multiplication N -group iff for each $A \leq_{IFN} E$, $\exists C \triangleleft_{IFN} N$ such that $A = C \chi E$. We denote it by $A = C \chi E$.

Lemma 2.2. If $Z = \langle \phi_Z, \psi_Z \rangle \leq_{IFN} E$, then $(Z : \chi E) \triangleleft_{IFN} N$.

Proof. Let $Z = \langle \phi_Z, \psi_Z \rangle \leq_{IFN} E$. Then for any $u, h, n \in N$ we have, $\phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u-h) = \{\phi_D(u-h) : D \leq_{IFN} N \text{ such that } D \chi E \subseteq Z\} \geq \{\phi_D(u) \wedge \phi_D(h) : D \leq_{IFN} N \text{ such that } D \chi E \subseteq Z\} = \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u) \wedge \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(h)$. $\therefore \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u-h) \geq \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u) \wedge \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(h)$. Similarly, $\psi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u-h) \leq \psi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u) \wedge \psi_{(Z:\chi E)}(h)$. Now, $\phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(nu) = \{\phi_D(nu) : D \leq_{IFN} N \text{ such that } D \chi E \subseteq Z\} \geq \{\phi_D(u) : D \leq_{IFN} N \text{ such that } D \chi E \subseteq Z\} = \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u)$. $\therefore \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(nu) \geq \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u)$. Similarly, $\psi_{(Z:\chi E)}(nu) \leq \psi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u)$. Since N is commutative, $h + u - h = u$ and so $\phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(h + u - h) = \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u)$ and $\psi_{(Z:\chi E)}(h + u - h) = \psi_{(Z:\chi E)}(u)$. Again, since N is commutative, $n(u + h) - nu = nh$ and so $\phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(n(u + h) - nu) = \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(nh) \geq \phi_{(Z:\chi E)}(h)$. Similarly, $\psi_{(Z:\chi E)}(n(u + h) - nu) = \psi_{(Z:\chi E)}(nh) \leq \psi_{(Z:\chi E)}(h)$. Thus the result.

Theorem 2.1. E is IF multiplication N -group iff for each $u \in E \exists$ an IF ideal C of N such that $\{u_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\} = C \chi E$.

Proof. Let us suppose, for each $u \in E \exists$ an IF ideal C of N such that $\{u_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\} = C \chi E$. Let $A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle \leq_{IFN} E$. Choose $\gamma, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $\gamma + \lambda \leq 1$ with $\phi_A(u) = \gamma, \psi_A(u) = \lambda$. Now, for any $u \in E$ we have, $u_{(\gamma,\lambda)}(u) = \langle \phi_{u_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}(u), \psi_{u_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}(u) \rangle = \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle = \langle \phi_A(u), \psi_A(u) \rangle = A(u)$. $\therefore \{u_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\} = A \Rightarrow \{u_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\} \subseteq A \Rightarrow C \chi E \subseteq A \Rightarrow C \subseteq (A : \chi E)$ [using **lemma 2.1**]. Also, $\phi_A(u) = \gamma = \phi_{u_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}(u) = \phi_{C \chi E}(u) = \vee\{\phi_C(n) \wedge \phi_{\chi E}(u') : n \in N, u' \in E, u = nu'\} \leq \vee\{\phi_{(A:\chi E)}(n) \wedge \phi_{\chi E}(u') : n \in N, u' \in E, u = nu'\} = \vee\{\phi_{(A:\chi E)E}(nu') : n \in N, u' \in E, u = nu'\} = \{\phi_{(A:\chi E)\chi E}(u)\}$. $\therefore \phi_A(u) \leq \{\phi_{(A:\chi E)\chi E}(u)\}$, for all $u \in E$. Similarly, $\psi_A(u) \geq \{\psi_{(A:\chi E)\chi E}(u)\}$, for all $u \in E$. $\therefore A \subseteq (A : \chi E) \chi E$. But by **lemma 2.1**, $(A : \chi E) \chi E \subseteq A$. $\therefore A = (A : \chi E) \chi E$. Also, by **lemma 2.2**, $(A : \chi E)$ is an IF ideal of N . Thus E is an IF multiplication N -group.

Conversely, let E be an IF multiplication N -group. Let $A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle \leq_{IFN} E$ and $u \in E$ and $\gamma, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $\gamma + \lambda \leq 1$ with $\phi_A(u) = \gamma, \psi_A(u) = \lambda$. Since

E is multiplication N -group, \exists IF ideal C of N such that $A = C\chi E$. As above we have, $\{u_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\} = A$. Thus $\{u_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\} = C\chi E$.

Proposition 2.1. *If $A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle$ be an IF set on E , then $(A : \chi E) = \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)} : z \in {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}A : E\}, z \in N\}$.*

Proof. Let $z \in N$ and D be IF set on N . We can choose $\gamma, \lambda \in [0, 1], \gamma + \lambda \leq 1$ with $\phi_D(z) = \gamma, \psi_D(z) = \lambda$. Then $\phi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}(z) = \gamma = \phi_D(z), \psi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}(z) = \lambda = \psi_D(z)$. $\therefore \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\} = D$. Let $D\chi E \subseteq A \Rightarrow D \subseteq (A : \chi E)$ [using **lemma 2.1**] $\Rightarrow \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\} \subseteq (A : \chi E) \Rightarrow \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\}\chi E \subseteq A$. Again, let $\{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\}\chi E \subseteq A \Rightarrow D\chi E \subseteq A$. $\therefore \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\}\chi E \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow D\chi E \subseteq A$. $\therefore \{D : D \text{ is IF set on } N \text{ such that } D\chi E \subseteq A\} = \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)} : z \in N, \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\}\chi E \subseteq A\}$. $\therefore (A : \chi E) = \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)} : z \in N, \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\}\chi E \subseteq A\}$. Now, for each $u \in E$ we have, $\phi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\chi E}(u) = \begin{cases} \vee \{\phi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}(z) \wedge \phi_{\chi E}(u')\}, & u = zu', u' \in E \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Since $\phi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}(z) = \gamma$ and $\phi_{\chi E}(u') = 1$, therefore

$$\phi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\chi E}(u) = \begin{cases} \vee \{\gamma \wedge 1\}, & u = zu', u' \in E \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \gamma, & u = zu', u' \in E \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, $\psi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\chi E}(u) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & u = zu', u' \in E \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Now, $\{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\}\chi E \subseteq A \Rightarrow \phi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\chi E}(u) \leq \phi_A(u)$ and $\psi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\chi E}(u) \geq \psi_A(u)$, for $u \in E \Rightarrow \phi_A(zu') \geq \gamma$ and $\psi_A(zu') \leq \lambda$, for $z \in N, u' \in E$. $\therefore (A : \chi E) = \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)} : z \in N, \phi_A(zu') \geq \gamma \text{ and } \psi_A(zu') \leq \lambda, u' \in E\} = \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)} : z \in N, zu' \in {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}A, u' \in E\} = \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)} : z \in N, zE \subseteq {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}A\} = \{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)} : z \in N, z \in ({}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}A : E)\}$.

Lemma 2.3. *If $z \in E$, then $z_{(\gamma,\lambda)} \in \chi E$.*

Proof. For $y \in E$ we get, $\{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\} = \langle \phi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}, \psi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}} \rangle$,

where $\phi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}(y) = \begin{cases} \gamma, & \text{if } y = z \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ and $\psi_{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}(y) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & \text{if } y = z \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$\therefore z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}(y) = \begin{cases} \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle, & \text{if } y = z \\ \langle 0, 1 \rangle, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \chi E(y) = \begin{cases} \langle 1, 0 \rangle, & \text{if } y \in E \\ \langle 0, 1 \rangle, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since $0 \leq \gamma, \lambda \leq 1$, we get $\{z_{(\gamma,\lambda)}\} \subseteq \chi E$ and so $z_{(\gamma,\lambda)} \in \chi E$.

Lemma 2.4. *If $A \leq_N E$, then $(A : E) \triangleleft N$.*

Proof. Since $(A : E) = \{u \in N : uE \subseteq A\}$, $(A : E) \subseteq N$. Now, $u_1, u_2 \in (A : E)$ and $u \in N \Rightarrow u_1E \subseteq A, u_2E \subseteq A$. Now, for any $e \in E$ we have, $(u_1 - u_2)e = u_1e - u_2e$. Since $A \leq_N E$, $u_1 - u_2 \in A$. $\therefore (u_1 - u_2)e \in A \Rightarrow (u_1 - u_2)E \subseteq A \Rightarrow (u_1 - u_2) \in (A : E)$. Since N is commutative $(uu_1)e = (u_1u)e = u_1(ue) \in u_1E \subseteq A$ [since $ue \in E$]. $\therefore (uu_1)E \subseteq A \Rightarrow uu_1 \in (A : E)$. Since N is commutative $u_1u \in (A : E)$. This proves the result.

Proposition 2.2. E is an multiplication N -group iff every $Z \leq_N E$ is structured like $Z = (Z : E)E$.

Proof. Let $n \in (Z : E)$. Then $nE \subseteq Z$ and $n \in N \Rightarrow (Z : E)E \subseteq Z$. Since E is a multiplication N -subgroup, $Z = IE$, for some $I \triangleleft N$. Now, $IE = Z \Rightarrow IE \subseteq Z \Rightarrow I \subseteq (Z : E)$. Again, $(Z : E) \subseteq N$ and $Z \subseteq IE \Rightarrow Z \subseteq (Z : E)E$. $\therefore Z = (Z : E)E$. Conversely, let $Z = (Z : E)E$. Since by **lemma 2.4**, $(Z : E) \triangleleft N$, therefore Z is multiplication N -subgroup.

Proposition 2.3. If E is an multiplication N -group, then for every $K = \langle \phi_K, \psi_K \rangle \leq_{IFN} E$, $(\gamma, \lambda)K = (\gamma, \lambda)K : E)E$.

Proof. Since $K = \langle \phi_K, \psi_K \rangle \leq_{IFN} E$, by **proposition 1.1**, $(\gamma, \lambda)K \leq_N E$. Since E is multiplication, $(\gamma, \lambda)K = (\gamma, \lambda)K : E)E$.

Lemma 2.5. Given a non-empty set K , if $z_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \in K$, then $z \in (\gamma, \lambda)K$.

Proof. $z_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \in K \Rightarrow \{z_{(\gamma, \lambda)}\} \subseteq K$. $\therefore \phi_{z_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \leq \phi_K, \psi_{z_{(\gamma, \lambda)}} \geq \psi_K$. $\therefore \phi_K(z) \geq \phi_{z_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(z) = \gamma$ and $\psi_K(z) \leq \psi_{z_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(z) = \lambda$. $\therefore z \in (\gamma, \lambda)K$.

Lemma 2.6. If $u \in E, s \in N$, then $(su)_{(\gamma, \lambda)} = s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$.

Proof. For any $l \in E$ we have, $\{(su)_{(\gamma, \lambda)}\}(l) = \langle \phi_{(su)_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(l), \psi_{(su)_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(l) \rangle$
 $= \begin{cases} \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle, & \text{if } l = su \\ \langle 0, 1 \rangle, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ and $\{s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}\}(l) = \langle \phi_{s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(l), \psi_{s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(l) \rangle$.

Now, $\phi_{s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(l) = \vee \{ \phi_{s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}(s')} \wedge \psi_{u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}(u')}, l = s'u', s' \in N, u' \in E \}$. If $s = s', u = u'$, then $\phi_{s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(l) = \gamma$. Similarly, if $l = su$ then $\psi_{s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(l) = \lambda$. Again if $s \neq s', l \neq u'$ then $\phi_{s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(l) = 0$ and $\psi_{s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}}(l) = 1$. $\therefore \{s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}\}(l) = \begin{cases} \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle, & \text{if } l = su \\ \langle 0, 1 \rangle, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \therefore (su)_{(\gamma, \lambda)} = s_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u_{(\gamma, \lambda)}$

Lemma 2.7. If $B \leq_N E$, then $\chi B \leq_{IFN} E$.

Proof. Let $u, z \in E$ and $n \in N$. We have, $\chi B = \langle \phi_{\chi B}, \psi_{\chi B} \rangle$. If $u, z \in B$, then $u - z \in B$ [since B is subgroup of $(E, +)$]. So, $\phi_{\chi B}(u) = 1, \phi_{\chi B}(z) = 1, \phi_{\chi B}(u - z) = 1$. $\therefore \phi_{\chi B}(u - z) = 1 \wedge 1 = \phi_{\chi B}(u) \wedge \phi_{\chi B}(z)$. If $u, z \notin B$, then either $u - z \in B$ or $u - z \notin B$. If $u - z \in B$, then $\phi_{\chi B}(u) = 0, \phi_{\chi B}(z) = 0, \phi_{\chi B}(u - z) = 1$ and so $\phi_{\chi B}(u - z) > \phi_{\chi B}(u) \wedge \phi_{\chi B}(z)$. If $u - z \notin B$, then $\phi_{\chi B}(u) = 0, \phi_{\chi B}(z) = 0, \phi_{\chi B}(u - z) = 0$. $\therefore \phi_{\chi B}(u - z) = 0 \wedge 0 = \phi_{\chi B}(u) \wedge \phi_{\chi B}(z)$. If $u \in B$ but $z \notin B$, then $u - z \notin B$ and so $\phi_{\chi B}(u) = 1, \phi_{\chi B}(z) = 0, \phi_{\chi B}(u - z) = 0$. $\therefore \phi_{\chi B}(u - z) = 1 \wedge 0 = \phi_{\chi B}(u) \wedge \phi_{\chi B}(z)$. Again if $u \notin B$ but $z \in B$, then $u - z \notin B$ and so $\phi_{\chi B}(u) = 0, \phi_{\chi B}(z) = 1, \phi_{\chi B}(u - z) = 0$. $\therefore \phi_{\chi B}(u - z) = 0 \wedge 1 = \phi_{\chi B}(u) \wedge \phi_{\chi B}(z)$. $\therefore \phi_{\chi B}(u - z) \geq \phi_{\chi B}(u) \wedge \phi_{\chi B}(z)$, for $u, z \in E$. Similarly, $\psi_{\chi B}(u - z) \leq \psi_{\chi B}(u) \vee \psi_{\chi B}(z)$, for $u, z \in E$. Now, if $u \in B$, then $nu \in B$ and so $\phi_{\chi B}(u) = 1, \phi_{\chi B}(nu) = 1$. $\therefore \phi_{\chi B}(nu) = \phi_{\chi B}(u)$, if $u \in B$. Also, if

$u \notin B$, then either $nu \in B$ or $nu \notin B$. So, if $u \notin B$ and $nu \in B$, then $\phi_{\chi B}(u) = 0, \phi_{\chi B}(nu) = 1 \therefore \phi_{\chi B}(nu) > \phi_{\chi B}(u)$ and if $u \notin B$ and $nu \notin B$, then $\phi_{\chi B}(u) = 0, \phi_{\chi B}(nu) = 0 \therefore \phi_{\chi B}(nu) = \phi_{\chi B}(u)$. Thus $\phi_{\chi B}(nu) \geq \phi_{\chi B}(u)$, for $u \in E$. Similarly, $\psi_{\chi B}(nu) \leq \psi_{\chi B}(u)$, for $u \in E$. Thus the result.

Theorem 2.2. E be an IF multiplication N -group iff for every $A \leq_{IFN} E$, $A = (A : \chi E)\chi E$.

Proof. By lemma 2.1 we get, $(A : \chi E)\chi E \subseteq A$. So, it is sufficient to show that $A \subseteq (A : \chi E)\chi E$. Since E is an IF multiplication N -group, \exists an IF ideal C of N such that $A = C\chi E$. Now, $A = C\chi E \Rightarrow C\chi E \subseteq A \Rightarrow C \subseteq (A : \chi E) \Rightarrow C\chi E \subseteq (A : \chi E)\chi E \Rightarrow A \subseteq (A : \chi E)\chi E \therefore A = (A : \chi E)\chi E$. Conversely, suppose $A = (A : \chi E)\chi E$. Since by lemma 2.2, $(A : \chi E)$ is an IF ideal of N , by definition A is an IF multiplication N -group.

Theorem 2.3. E is a multiplication N -group iff E is an IF multiplication N -group.

Proof. Let E be a multiplication N -group and $A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle \leq_{IFN} E$. By lemma 2.1, $(A : \chi E)\chi E \subseteq A$. Since by lemma 2.2, $(A : \chi E)$ is an IF ideal of N , it is sufficient to show that $A \subseteq (A : \chi E)\chi E$. For $u \in E$, we can choose $\gamma, \lambda \in [0, 1], \gamma + \lambda \leq 1$ with $\phi_A(u) = \gamma, \psi_A(u) = \lambda$. Then $u \in {}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}A$. Since E is a multiplication N -group, by proposition 2.3, ${}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}A = ({}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}A : E)E \therefore u = nu'$, for some $n \in ({}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}A : E), u' \in E$. By proposition 2.1, $n \in ({}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}A : E) \Rightarrow n_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \in (A : \chi E)$. Since $u' \in E$, by lemma 2.3, $u'_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \in \chi E$. So by lemma 2.6, $u_{(\gamma, \lambda)} = (nu')_{(\gamma, \lambda)} = n_{(\gamma, \lambda)}u'_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \Rightarrow u_{(\gamma, \lambda)} \in (A : \chi E)\chi E \Rightarrow u \in {}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}\{(A : \chi E)\chi E\}$ [by lemma 2.5] $\Rightarrow \phi_{(A : \chi E)\chi E}(u) \geq \gamma = \phi_A(u), \psi_{(A : \chi E)\chi E}(u) \leq \lambda = \psi_A(u) \therefore A \subseteq (A : \chi E)\chi E$. Thus $A = (A : \chi E)\chi E$. Thus E is an IF multiplication N -group.

Conversely, let E be IF multiplication N -group. Let $B \leq_N E$. Then $(B : E)E \subseteq B$ by lemma 1.1. To show $B \subseteq (B : E)E$. Now, we define an IF set P on E by,

$$\phi_P(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in B \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_P(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in B \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $P = \chi B$ and ${}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}P = B$ with $\gamma, \lambda \in (0, 1], \gamma + \lambda \leq 1$. By lemma 2.7, $P = \chi B \leq_{IFN} E$. Since E is an IF multiplication N -group, by theorem 2.2, $P = (P : \chi E)\chi E$. Let $b \in B$. Then $\phi_P(b) = \phi_{(P : \chi E)\chi E}(b) = 1$ and $\psi_P(b) = \psi_{(P : \chi E)\chi E}(b) = 0$ [by assumption of P]. But $\phi_{(P : \chi E)\chi E}(b) = \vee \{\phi_{(P : \chi E)}(n') \wedge \phi_{\chi E}(u') : b = n'u', \text{ for some } n' \in N, u' \in E\} = \vee \{\phi_{(P : \chi E)}(n') : b = n'u', \text{ for some } n' \in N, u' \in E\}$ [since $\phi_{\chi E}(u') = 1$] $= \vee \{\phi_{n_{\gamma, \lambda}}(n') : nE \subseteq {}^{(\gamma, \lambda)}P = B, b = n'u', \text{ for some } n' \in N, u' \in E \text{ with } \gamma, \lambda \in (0, 1], \gamma + \lambda \leq 1\}$ [by proposition 2.1] $= \vee \{\phi_{n_{\gamma, \lambda}}(n') : n \in (B : E), b = n'u', \text{ for some } n' \in N, u' \in E \text{ with } \gamma, \lambda \in (0, 1], \gamma + \lambda \leq 1\}$. Similarly,

$\psi_{(P:\chi E)\chi E}(b) = \wedge\{\psi_{n_{\gamma,\lambda}}(n') : n \in (B : E), b = n'u', \text{ for some } n' \in N, u' \in E \text{ with } \gamma, \lambda \in (0, 1], \gamma + \lambda \leq 1\}$. Let us consider $S = \{n : n \in (B : E), b \in nE\}$. If S is empty, then for each $b \in tE$, we have $t \notin (B : E)$ when $t \in N$. Then $\phi_{(P:\chi E)\chi E}(b) = \vee\{\phi_{n_{\gamma,\lambda}}(t) : n \in (B : E), b \in tE, t \in N, \text{ with } \gamma, \lambda \in (0, 1], \gamma + \lambda \leq 1\}$. Since $n \in (B : E), t \notin (B : E), n \neq t$ and so $\phi_{n_{\gamma,\lambda}}(t) = 0$. $\therefore \phi_{(P:\chi E)\chi E}(b) = 0$. Similarly, $\psi_{(P:\chi E)\chi E}(b) = 1$. These are contradictions. So we can conclude that S is non-empty. Thus $\exists n \in N$ such that $b \in nE$ and $n \in (B : E)$. $\therefore b \in nE \Rightarrow b \in (B : E)E$. But $b \in B$. $\therefore B \subseteq (B : E)E$. Thus $B = (B : E)E$. Hence E is a multiplication N -group.

Definition 2.5. Let $A = \langle \phi_A, \psi_A \rangle \leq_{IFN} E$, then ${}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}A \leq_N {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}E$ if $m - y, nm \in {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}A$, for any $m, y \in {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}A$ and $n \in N$.

Theorem 2.4. An IF multiplication N -group is an IF DN-group.

Proof. Let $F, K, C \leq_{IFN} E$. Since E is an IF multiplication N -group, $F = (F : \chi E)\chi E, K = (K : \chi E)\chi E, C = (C : \chi E)\chi E$. Let $u \in E$.

Now, $\phi_F(u) = \phi_{(F:\chi E)\chi E}(u) = \vee\{\phi_{(F:\chi E)}(n) \wedge \phi_{\chi E}(e) : u = ne, n \in N, e \in E\} = \vee\{\phi_{(F:\chi E)}(n) : u \in nE, n \in N\}$ [since $\phi_{\chi E}(e) = 1$]. Similarly, $\psi_F(u) = \wedge\{\psi_{(F:\chi E)}(n) : u \in nE, n \in N\}$. But by **proposition 2.1**, $(F : \chi E) = \{n_{(\gamma,\lambda)} : \gamma, \lambda \in [0, 1], \gamma + \lambda \leq 1, nE \subseteq {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}F\}$. $\therefore \phi_F(u) = \vee\{\phi_{n_{(\gamma,\lambda)}}(n) : u \in nE \subseteq {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}F, n \in N\} = \gamma$, where $u \in {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}F$. Similarly, $\psi_F(u) = \lambda$, where $u \in {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}F$. Now, we define

$$\phi_F(u) = \begin{cases} \gamma, & u \in X \\ 0, & u \notin X \end{cases}, \psi_F(u) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & u \in X \\ 1, & u \notin X \end{cases}, \phi_K(u) = \begin{cases} \gamma, & u \in Y \\ 0, & u \notin Y \end{cases},$$

$$\psi_K(u) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & u \in Y \\ 1, & u \notin Y \end{cases}, \phi_C(u) = \begin{cases} \gamma, & u \in Z \\ 0, & u \notin Z \end{cases}, \psi_C(u) = \begin{cases} \lambda, & u \in Z \\ 1, & u \notin Z \end{cases}$$

with $\gamma, \lambda \in (0, 1]$. Then, for $u \in X$, $\phi_F(u) = \gamma, \psi_F(u) = \lambda$ and so $u \in {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}F$. Also, if $u \in {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}F$, then either $u \in X$ or $u \notin X$. If $u \notin X$, then $\phi_F(u) = 0 \geq \gamma$ and $\psi_F(u) = 1 \leq \lambda$ -which is a contradiction to the fact that $\gamma, \lambda \in (0, 1]$. Thus ${}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}F = X$.

Similarly, ${}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}K = Y, {}^{(\gamma,\lambda)}C = Z$ with $\gamma, \lambda \in (0, 1]$ and so X, Y, Z are subsets of E . Now, for any $u \in X \cap Y$, $(F + K)(u) = \langle \phi_{F+K}(u), \psi_{F+K}(u) \rangle$, where $\phi_{F+K}(u) = \vee\{\phi_F(y) \wedge \phi_K(z) : y, z \in X \cap Y \text{ and } u = y + z \in X \cap Y\}$ and $\psi_{F+K}(u) = \wedge\{\psi_F(y) \vee \psi_K(z) : y, z \in X \cap Y \text{ and } u = y + z \in X \cap Y\}$. $\therefore \phi_{F+K}(u) = \gamma$ and $\psi_{F+K}(u) = \lambda$, where $u \in X \cap Y$ [since $\phi_F(u) = \gamma$ and $\psi_F(u) = \lambda$ for all $u \in X$ and $\phi_K(u) = \gamma$ and $\psi_K(u) = \lambda$ for all $u \in Y$].

Thus $(F + K)(u) = \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle$, where $u \in X \cap Y$. Also, $(F \cap K)(u) = \langle \phi_F(u) \wedge \phi_K(u), \psi_F(u) \vee \psi_K(u) \rangle = \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle$, if $u \in X \cap Y$. If $u \in Z$, then $u \in X \cap Y \cap Z$ and $((F + K) \cap C)(u) = \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle \cap \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle = \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle$. If $u \notin Z$, then $u \notin X \cap Y \cap Z$ and $((F + K) \cap C)(u) = \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle \cap \langle 0, 1 \rangle = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$. Again,

$((F \cap C) + (K \cap C))(u) = \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle + \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle = \langle \gamma, \lambda \rangle$, where $u \in X \cap Y \cap Z$ [since $(F + F)(u) = F(u)$ for all $u \in X \subseteq E$]. If $u \notin Z$ and $u \in X \cap Y$, then $u \notin X \cap Y \cap Z$ and $((F \cap C) + (K \cap C))(u) = \langle \phi_F(u) \wedge \phi_C(u), \psi_F(u) \vee \psi_C(u) \rangle + \langle \phi_K(u) \wedge \phi_C(u), \psi_K(u) \vee \psi_C(u) \rangle = \langle 0, 1 \rangle + \langle 0, 1 \rangle = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$. So, we can conclude that $((F + K) \cap C)(u) = ((F \cap C) + (K \cap C))(u)$, for all $u \in E$. Thus $(F + K) \cap C = (F \cap C) + (K \cap C)$ and hence E is an IF DN -group.

References

- [1] Atanassov K. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, VII ITKR's Session, Sofia, June 1983 (Deposited in Central Sci. - Techn. Library of Bulg. Acad. of Sci., 1697/84) (in Bulg.). Reprinted: Int. J. Bioautomation, 2016, 20(S1), S1-S6.
- [2] Atanassov K. T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1) (1986), 87–96.
- [3] Biswas R., Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup, Mathematical Forum, X (1989), 37–46.
- [4] Hadi I. M. A. and Semein Sh. B., Fuzzy distributive modules, IBN Al-Haitham J. for pure and appl. Sci., Vol 24 (1), (2011).
- [5] Isaac P. and John P. P., On intuitionistic fuzzy submodules of a modules, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, 1 (3) (2011), 1447–1454.
- [6] Khodadadpour E. and Roodbarilor T., Some types of multiplication N-group in near rings, Italian Journal of Pure and applied Mathematics, N-46 (2021), 894-902.
- [7] Lee D., Park C. and Kim J., On fuzzy prime submodule of Fuzzy multiplication modules, East Asian Mathematical Journal, Volume 27 No. 1 (2011), 75-82.
- [8] Nimbhorkar S. K. and Khubchandani J. A., L-Fuzzy Hollow Modules and L-fuzzy Multiplication Modules, Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics, Volume 48 (3) (2021), 423-432.
- [9] Rahman S. and Saikia H. K., Some aspects of Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy submodules, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 77 (3) (2012), 369–383.

- [10] Saikia P. and Barthakur L. K., (T, S) -intuitionistic fuzzy N -subgroup of an N -group, *Malaya Journal of matematik*, Vol. 8, No. 8 (2020), 945-949.
- [11] Saikia P. and Saikia H. K., Intuitionistic fuzzy N -subgroup and intuitionistic fuzzy ideals, *International Journal of Trends and Technology (IJMTT)*, Vol. 57, No. 6 (2018), 418-421.
- [12] Sharma P. K., Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of near rings, *International Mathematical Forum*, Vol. 7 No. 16 (2012), 769-776.
- [13] Sharma P. K., On intuitionistic fuzzy multiplication module, *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, Vol. 23 No. 3 (2022), 295-309.
- [14] Sharma P. K. and Kaur G., Residual quotient and annihilator of intuitionistic fuzzy sets of rings and modules, *International Journal of Computer science and Information technology*, Vol. 9. No. 4, (2017).
- [15] Sharma P. K. and Kaur G., On intuitionistic fuzzy prime submodules, *Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Vol. 24 No. 4 (2018), 97-112.

This page intentionally left blank.