

**SOME OPERATIONS ON BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY
IDEAL AND BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC ANTI FUZZY
IDEAL OF A BP-ALGEBRA**

S. Sivakaminathan, K. Gunasekaran* and S. Nandakumar**

Ramanujan Research Centre,
PG and Research Department of Mathematics,
Government Arts College (Autonomous),
Kumbakonam – 612002, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

E-mail : snathan394@gmail.com

*Government Arts and Science College,
Kuttalam - 609808, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

E-mail : drkgmath@gmail.com

**Government Arts College, Ariyalur - 621713, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

E-mail : udmnanda@gmail.com

(Received: Sep. 08, 2021 Accepted: Aug. 23, 2022 Published: Aug. 30, 2022)

Abstract: The concept of a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy ideal are a new algebraic structure of BP-algebra and to use necessity and possibility operator. The purpose of this study is to implement the fuzzy set theory and ideal theory of a BP-algebra. The relation between the operation on bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy ideal are established.

Keywords and Phrases: BP-algebra, fuzzy ideal, bipolar fuzzy ideal, bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal, bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy ideal, necessity and possibility operator.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 08A72.

1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was initiated by L. A. Zadeh [17] then it has become a vigorous area of research in engineering, medical science, graph theory. S. S. Ahn [2] gave the idea of BP-algebra. Bipolar valued fuzzy sets was commenced by K. J. Lee [7] are an extension of fuzzy sets whose positive membership degree range is enlarged from the interval $[0, 1]$ to $[-1, 1]$. In a bipolar valued fuzzy set, the membership degree 0 means that the elements are irrelevant to the corresponding property, the positive membership degree $(0, 1]$ indicates that elements somewhat satisfy the property and the negative membership degree $[-1, 0)$ indicates that elements somewhat satisfy the implicit counter property. The author W. R. Zhang [18] introduced the concept of bipolar fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets in 1998. K. Chakrabarthy and Biswas R. Nanda [3] investigated note on union and intersection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. A. Rajeshkumar [16] was analyzed fuzzy groups and level subgroups. M. Palanivelrajan and S. Nandakumar [15] introduced the definition and some operations of intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semiprimary ideal. K. Gunasekaran, S. Nandakumar and S. Sivakaminathan [4] introduced the definition of bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of a BP-algebra.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let A and B be any two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = (\mu_A^P, \mu_A^N, \nu_A^P, \nu_A^N)$ and $B = (\mu_B^P, \mu_B^N, \nu_B^P, \nu_B^N)$ in X , we define

- (i) $A \cap B = \{(x, \min(\mu_A^P(x), \mu_B^P(x)), \max(\mu_A^N(x), \mu_B^N(x)), \max(\nu_A^P(x), \nu_B^P(x)), \min(\nu_A^N(x), \nu_B^N(x)))\}/x \in X\}$
- (ii) $A \cup B = \{(x, \max(\mu_A^P(x), \mu_B^P(x)), \min(\mu_A^N(x), \mu_B^N(x)), \min(\nu_A^P(x), \nu_B^P(x)), \max(\nu_A^N(x), \nu_B^N(x)))\}/x \in X\}$
- (iii) $\bar{A} = \{(x, \nu_A^P(x), \nu_A^N(x), \mu_A^P(x), \mu_A^N(x))\}/x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.2. A bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{\mu_A^P, \mu_A^N, \nu_A^P, \nu_A^N/x \in X\}$ of BP-algebra X is called a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu_A^P(0) \geq \mu_A^P(x)$ and $\mu_A^N(0) \leq \mu_A^N(x)$
- (ii) $\mu_A^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A^P(x * y), \mu_A^P(y)\}$
- (iii) $\mu_A^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_A^N(x * y), \mu_A^N(y)\}$
- (iv) $\nu_A^P(0) \leq \nu_A^P(x)$ and $\nu_A^N(0) \geq \nu_A^N(x)$
- (v) $\nu_A^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_A^P(x * y), \nu_A^P(y)\}$
- (vi) $\nu_A^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_A^N(x * y), \nu_A^N(y)\}$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.3. A bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{\mu_A^P, \mu_A^N, \nu_A^P, \nu_A^N/x \in X\}$ of BP-algebra X is called a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu_A^P(0) \leq \mu_A^P(x)$ and $\mu_A^N(0) \geq \mu_A^N(x)$
- (ii) $\mu_A^P(x) \leq \max\{\mu_A^P(x * y), \mu_A^P(y)\}$
- (iii) $\mu_A^N(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A^N(x * y), \mu_A^N(y)\}$
- (iv) $\nu_A^P(0) \geq \nu_A^P(x)$ and $\nu_A^N(0) \leq \nu_A^N(x)$
- (v) $\nu_A^P(x) \geq \min\{\nu_A^P(x * y), \nu_A^P(y)\}$
- (vi) $\nu_A^N(x) \leq \max\{\nu_A^N(x * y), \nu_A^N(y)\}$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.4. Let A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set of X , then the necessity operator \square is defined by $\square A = \{(x, \mu_A^P(x), \mu_A^N(x), 1 - \mu_A^P(x), -1 - \mu_A^N(x))/x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.5. Let A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set of X , then the possibility operator \diamond is defined by $\diamond A = \{(x, 1 - \nu_A^P(x), -1 - \nu_A^N(x), \nu_A^P(x), \nu_A^N(x))/x \in X\}$.

3. Operations on Bipolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal

Theorem 3.1. If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then $\square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Proof. Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Consider $0, x, y \in A$.

- (i) Now $\mu_{\square A}^P(0) = \mu_A^P(0) \geq \mu_A^P(x) = \mu_{\square A}^P(x)$. Therefore $\mu_{\square A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\square A}^P(x)$.
Now $\mu_{\square A}^N(0) = \mu_A^N(0) \leq \mu_A^N(x) = \mu_{\square A}^N(x)$. Therefore $\mu_{\square A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\square A}^N(x)$.
- (ii) Now $\mu_{\square A}^P(x) = \mu_A^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A^P(x * y), \mu_A^P(y)\} = \min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.
Therefore $\mu_{\square A}^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.
- (iii) Now $\mu_{\square A}^N(x) = \mu_A^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_A^N(x * y), \mu_A^N(y)\} = \max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.
Therefore $\mu_{\square A}^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.
- (iv) Now $\nu_{\square A}^P(0) = 1 - \mu_{\square A}^P(0) \leq 1 - \mu_A^P(x) = \nu_{\square A}^P(x)$. Therefore $\nu_{\square A}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\square A}^P(x)$.
Now $\nu_{\square A}^N(0) = -1 - \mu_{\square A}^N(0) \geq -1 - \mu_A^N(x) = \nu_{\square A}^N(x)$.
Therefore $\nu_{\square A}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\square A}^N(x)$.
- (v) Now $\nu_{\square A}^P(x) = 1 - \mu_{\square A}^P(x) \leq \max\{1 - \mu_A^P(x * y), 1 - \mu_A^P(y)\}$
 $= \max\{\nu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.
Therefore $\nu_{\square A}^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.
- (vi) Now $\nu_{\square A}^N(x) = -1 - \mu_{\square A}^N(x) \geq \min\{-1 - \mu_A^N(x * y), -1 - \mu_A^N(y)\}$
 $= \min\{\nu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.
Therefore $\nu_{\square A}^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.

Therefore $\square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Theorem 3.2. If A and B are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then $\square(A \cap B) = \square A \cap \square B$ is also a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Proof. Let A and B are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Consider $0, x, y \in A \cap B$ then $0, x, y \in A$ and $0, x, y \in B$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i) Now } \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^P(0) &= \mu_{(A \cap B)}^P(0) = \min\{\mu_A^P(0), \mu_B^P(0)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_A^P(x), \mu_B^P(x)\} = \min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(x), \mu_{\square B}^P(x)\} \\ &= \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^N(0) &= \mu_{A \cap B}^N(0) = \max\{\mu_A^N(0), \mu_B^N(0)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\mu_A^N(x), \mu_B^N(x)\} = \max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(x), \mu_{\square B}^N(x)\} \\ &= \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^N(0) \leq \mu_{(\square A \cap \square B)}^N(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^P(x) &= \mu_{(A \cap B)}^P(x) = \min\{\mu_A^P(x), \mu_B^P(x)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mu_A^P(x * y), \mu_A^P(y)\}, \min\{\mu_B^P(x * y), \mu_B^P(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\mu_A^P(x * y), \mu_B^P(x * y)\}, \min\{\mu_A^P(y), \mu_B^P(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square B}^P(x * y)\}, \min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(y), \mu_{\square B}^P(y)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(y)\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii) Now } \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^N(x) &= \mu_{A \cap B}^N(x) = \max\{\mu_A^N(x), \mu_B^N(x)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\max\{\mu_A^N(x * y), \mu_A^N(y)\}, \max\{\mu_B^N(x * y), \mu_B^N(y)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{\mu_A^N(x * y), \mu_B^N(x * y)\}, \max\{\mu_A^N(y), \mu_B^N(y)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square B}^N(x * y)\}, \max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(y), \mu_{\square B}^N(y)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(y)\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iv) Now } \nu_{\square(A \cap B)}^P(0) &= 1 - \mu_{A \cap B}^P(0) = 1 - \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^P(0) \\ &\leq 1 - \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(x) = \nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\square(A \cap B)}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \nu_{\square(A \cap B)}^N(0) &= -1 - \mu_{A \cap B}^N(0) = -1 - \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^N(0) \\ &\geq -1 - \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(x) = \nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\square(A \cap B)}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(v) Now } \nu_{\square(A \cap B)}^P(x) &= 1 - \mu_{A \cap B}^P(x) = 1 - \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^P(x) \\ &\leq \max\{1 - \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(x * y), 1 - \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(x * y), \nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\square(A \cap B)}^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(x * y), \nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^P(y)\}.$$

(vi) Now $\nu_{\square(A \cap B)}^N(x) = -1 - \mu_{A \cap B}^N(x) = -1 - \mu_{\square(A \cap B)}^N(x)$
 $\geq \min\{-1 - \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(x * y), -1 - \mu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(y)\}$
 $= \min\{\nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(x * y), \nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\square(A \cap B)}^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(x * y), \nu_{\square A \cap \square B}^N(y)\}$.

Therefore $\square(A \cap B) = \square A \cap \square B$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Theorem 3.3. *If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then $\diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .*

Proof. Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Consider $0, x, y \in A$.

- (i) Now $\mu_{\diamond A}^P(0) = 1 - \nu_A^P(0) \geq 1 - \nu_A^P(x) = \mu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.
 Therefore $\mu_{\diamond A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.
 Now $\mu_{\diamond A}^N(0) = -1 - \nu_A^N(0) \leq -1 - \nu_A^N(x) = \mu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.
 Therefore $\mu_{\diamond A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.
- (ii) Now $\mu_{\diamond A}^P(x) = 1 - \nu_A^P(x) \geq \min\{1 - \nu_A^P(x * y), 1 - \nu_A^P(y)\}$.
 $= \min\{\mu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\mu_{\diamond A}^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.
- (iii) Now $\mu_{\diamond A}^N(x) = -1 - \nu_A^N(x) \leq \max\{-1 - \nu_A^N(x * y), -1 - \nu_A^N(y)\}$.
 $= \max\{\mu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\mu_{\diamond A}^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.
- (iv) Now $\nu_{\diamond A}^P(0) = \nu_A^P(0) \leq \nu_A^P(x) = \nu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\diamond A}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.
 Now $\nu_{\diamond A}^N(0) = \nu_A^N(0) \geq \nu_A^N(x) = \nu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\diamond A}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.
- (v) Now $\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x) = \nu_A^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_A^P(x * y), \nu_A^P(y)\}$.
 $= \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.
- (vi) Now $\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x) = \nu_A^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_A^N(x * y), \nu_A^N(y)\}$.
 $= \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.

Therefore $\diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Theorem 3.4. *If A and B are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then $\diamond(A \cap B) = \diamond A \cap \diamond B$ is also a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .*

Proof. Let A and B are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Consider $0, x, y \in A \cap B$ then $0, x, y \in A$ and $0, x, y \in B$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i) Now } \mu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^P(0) &= 1 - \nu_{A \cap B}^P(0) = 1 - \max\{\nu_A^P(0), \nu_B^P(0)\} \\
 &\geq 1 - \max\{\nu_A^P(x), \nu_B^P(x)\} = 1 - \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x), \nu_{\diamond B}^P(x)\} \\
 &= 1 - \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x) = \mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } \mu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^N(0) &= -1 - \nu_{A \cap B}^N(0) = -1 - \min\{\nu_A^N(0), \nu_B^N(0)\} \\
 &\leq -1 - \min\{\nu_A^N(x), \nu_B^N(x)\} = -1 - \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x), \nu_{\diamond B}^N(x)\} \\
 &= -1 - \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x) = \mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^P(x) &= 1 - \nu_{A \cap B}^P(x) = 1 - \max\{\nu_A^P(x), \nu_B^P(x)\} \\
 &\geq 1 - \max\{\min\{\nu_A^P(x * y), \nu_A^P(y)\}, \min\{\nu_B^P(x * y), \nu_B^P(y)\}\} \\
 &= 1 - \min\{\max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond B}^P(x * y)\}, \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(y), \nu_{\diamond B}^P(y)\}\} \\
 &= 1 - \min\{\nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(y)\} \\
 &= \min\{1 - \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x * y), 1 - \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(y)\} \\
 &= \min\{\mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(y)\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iii) Now } \mu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^N(x) &= -1 - \nu_{A \cap B}^N(x) = -1 - \min\{\nu_A^N(x), \nu_B^N(x)\} \\
 &\leq -1 - \min\{\max\{\nu_A^N(x * y), \nu_A^N(y)\}, \max\{\nu_B^N(x * y), \nu_B^N(y)\}\} \\
 &= -1 - \max\{\min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond B}^N(x * y)\}, \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(y), \nu_{\diamond B}^N(y)\}\} \\
 &= -1 - \max\{\nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(y)\} \\
 &= \max\{-1 - \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x * y), -1 - \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(y)\} \\
 &= \max\{\mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^N(x) \leq \min\{\mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(y)\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv) Now } \nu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^P(0) &= \nu_{A \cap B}^P(0) = \max\{\nu_A^P(0), \nu_B^P(0)\} \\
 &\leq \max\{\nu_A^P(x), \nu_B^P(x)\} = \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x), \nu_{\diamond B}^P(x)\} \\
 &= \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } \nu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^N(0) &= \nu_{A \cap B}^N(0) = \min\{\nu_A^N(0), \nu_B^N(0)\} \\
 &\geq \min\{\nu_A^N(x), \nu_B^N(x)\} = \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x), \nu_{\diamond B}^N(x)\} \\
 &= \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(v) Now } \nu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^P(x) &= \nu_{A \cap B}^P(x) = \max\{\nu_A^P(x), \nu_B^P(x)\} \\
 &\leq \max\{\max\{\nu_A^P(x * y), \nu_A^P(y)\}, \max\{\nu_B^P(x * y), \nu_B^P(y)\}\} \\
 &= \max\{\max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond B}^P(x * y)\}, \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(y), \nu_{\diamond B}^P(y)\}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \max\{\nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(y)\}$$

Therefore $\nu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(y)\}$.

(vi) Now $\nu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^N(x) = \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x) = \min\{\nu_A^N(x), \nu_B^N(x)\}$

$$\geq \min\{\min\{\nu_A^N(x * y), \nu_A^N(y)\}, \min\{\nu_B^N(x * y), \nu_B^N(y)\}\}$$

$$= \min\{\min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond B}^N(x * y)\}, \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(y), \nu_{\diamond B}^N(y)\}\}$$

$$= \min\{\nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(y)\}$$

Therefore $\nu_{\diamond(A \cap B)}^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}^N(y)\}$.

Therefore $\diamond(A \cap B) = \diamond A \cap \diamond B$ is also a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Theorem 3.5. *If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then $\square\square A = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .*

Proof. Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Consider $0, x, y \in A$.

(i) Now $\mu_{\square\square A}^P(0) = \mu_{\square A}^P(0) = \mu_A^P(0) \geq \mu_A^P(x) = \mu_{\square A}^P(x)$.

Therefore $\mu_{\square\square A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\square A}^P(x)$.

Now $\mu_{\square\square A}^N(0) = \mu_{\square A}^N(0) = \mu_A^N(0) \leq \mu_A^N(x) = \mu_{\square A}^N(x)$.

Therefore $\mu_{\square\square A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\square A}^N(x)$.

(ii) Now $\mu_{\square\square A}^P(x) = \mu_{\square A}^P(x) = \mu_A^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A^P(x * y), \mu_A^P(y)\}$

$$= \min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^P(y)\}.$$

Therefore $\mu_{\square\square A}^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.

(iii) Now $\mu_{\square\square A}^N(x) = \mu_{\square A}^N(x) = \mu_A^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_A^N(x * y), \mu_A^N(y)\}$

$$= \max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^N(y)\}.$$

Therefore $\mu_{\square\square A}^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.

(iv) Now $\nu_{\square\square A}^P(0) = 1 - \mu_{\square A}^P(0) = 1 - \mu_A^P(0)$

$$\leq 1 - \mu_A^P(x) = \nu_{\square A}^P(x).$$

Therefore $\nu_{\square\square A}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\square A}^P(x)$.

Now $\nu_{\square\square A}^N(0) = -1 - \mu_{\square A}^N(0) = -1 - \mu_A^N(0)$

$$\geq 1 - \mu_A^N(x) = \nu_{\square A}^N(x).$$

Therefore $\nu_{\square\square A}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\square A}^N(x)$.

(v) Now $\nu_{\square\square A}^P(x) = 1 - \mu_{\square A}^P(x) = 1 - \mu_A^P(x)$

$$\leq \max\{1 - \mu_A^P(x * y), 1 - \mu_A^P(y)\}$$

$$= \max\{\nu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^P(y)\}.$$

Therefore $\nu_{\square\square A}^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(vi)} \quad \text{Now } \nu_{\square\square A}^N(x) &= -1 - \mu_{\square A}^N(x) = -1 - \mu_A^N(x) \\
 &\geq \min\{-1 - \mu_A^N(x * y), -1 - \mu_A^N(y)\} \\
 &= \min\{\nu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^N(y)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\square\square A}^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^N(y)\}.$$

Therefore $\square\square A = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Theorem 3.6. *If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then $\square\diamond A = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .*

Proof. Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Consider $0, x, y \in A$.

$$\text{(i)} \quad \text{Now } \mu_{\square\diamond A}^P(0) = \mu_{\diamond A}^P(0) = 1 - \nu_A^P(0) \geq 1 - \nu_A^P(x) = \mu_{\diamond A}^P(x).$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\square\diamond A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\diamond A}^P(x).$$

$$\text{Now } \mu_{\square\diamond A}^N(0) = \mu_{\diamond A}^N(0) = -1 - \nu_A^N(0) \leq -1 - \nu_A^N(x) = \mu_{\diamond A}^N(x).$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\square\diamond A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\diamond A}^N(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii)} \quad \text{Now } \mu_{\square\diamond A}^P(x) &= \mu_{\diamond A}^P(x) = 1 - \nu_A^P(x) \\
 &\geq \min\{1 - \nu_A^P(x * y), 1 - \nu_A^P(y)\} \\
 &= \min\{\mu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\square\diamond A}^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iii)} \quad \text{Now } \mu_{\square\diamond A}^N(x) &= \mu_{\diamond A}^N(x) = -1 - \nu_A^N(x) \\
 &\leq \max\{-1 - \nu_A^N(x * y), -1 - \nu_A^N(y)\} \\
 &= \max\{\mu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\square\diamond A}^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv)} \quad \text{Now } \nu_{\square\diamond A}^P(0) &= 1 - \mu_{\diamond A}^P(0) = 1 - [1 - \mu_A^P(0)] = \nu_A^P(0) \\
 &\leq \nu_A^P(x) = \nu_{\diamond A}^P(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\square\diamond A}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\diamond A}^P(x).$$

$$\text{Now } \nu_{\square\diamond A}^N(0) = -1 - \mu_{\diamond A}^N(0) = -1 - [-1 - \mu_A^N(0)] = \nu_A^N(0)$$

$$\geq \nu_A^N(x) = \nu_{\diamond A}^N(x).$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\square\diamond A}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\diamond A}^N(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(v)} \quad \text{Now } \nu_{\square\diamond A}^P(x) &= 1 - \mu_{\diamond A}^P(x) = 1 - [1 - \nu_A^P(x)] = \nu_A^P(x) \\
 &\leq \max\{\nu_A^P(x * y), \nu_A^P(y)\} = \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\square\diamond A}^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(vi)} \quad \text{Now } \nu_{\square\diamond A}^N(x) &= -1 - \mu_{\diamond A}^N(x) = -1 - [-1 - \nu_A^N(x)] = \nu_A^N(x) \\
 &\geq \min\{\nu_A^N(x * y), \nu_A^N(y)\} = \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\square\diamond A}^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}.$$

Therefore $\square\diamond A = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Theorem 3.7. *If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then $\diamond\square A = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .*

Proof. Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Consider $0, x, y \in A$.

- (i) Now $\mu_{\diamond\square A}^P(0) = 1 - \nu_{\square A}^P(0) = 1 - [1 - \mu_A^P(0)] = \mu_A^P(0) \geq \mu_A^P(x) = \mu_{\square A}^P(x)$.
Therefore $\mu_{\square A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\square A}^P(x)$.
Now $\mu_{\diamond\square A}^N(0) = -1 - \nu_{\square A}^N(0) = -1 - [-1 - \mu_A^N(0)] = \mu_A^N(0) \leq \mu_A^N(x) = \mu_{\square A}^N(x)$.
Therefore $\mu_{\square A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\square A}^N(x)$.
- (ii) Now $\mu_{\diamond\square A}^P(x) = 1 - \nu_{\square A}^P(x) = 1 - [1 - \mu_A^P(x)] = \mu_A^P(x)$
 $\geq \min\{\mu_A^P(x * y), \mu_A^P(y)\} = \min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.
Therefore $\mu_{\diamond\square A}^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.
- (iii) Now $\mu_{\diamond\square A}^N(x) = -1 - \nu_{\square A}^N(x) = -1 - [1 - \mu_A^N(x)] = \mu_A^N(x)$
 $\leq \max\{\mu_A^N(x * y), \mu_A^N(y)\} = \max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.
Therefore $\mu_{\diamond\square A}^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.
- (iv) Now $\nu_{\diamond\square A}^P(0) = \nu_{\square A}^P(0) = 1 - \mu_A^P(0) \leq 1 - \mu_A^P(x) = \nu_{\square A}^P(x)$.
Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\square A}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\square A}^P(x)$.
Now $\nu_{\diamond\square A}^N(0) = \nu_{\square A}^N(0) = -1 - \mu_A^N(0) \geq -1 - \mu_A^N(x) = \nu_{\square A}^N(x)$.
Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\square A}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\square A}^N(x)$.
- (v) Now $\nu_{\diamond\square A}^P(x) = \nu_{\square A}^P(x) = 1 - \mu_A^P(x)$
 $\leq \max\{1 - \mu_A^P(x * y), 1 - \mu_A^P(y)\} = \max\{\nu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.
Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\square A}^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.
- (vi) Now $\nu_{\diamond\square A}^N(x) = \nu_{\square A}^N(x) = -1 - \mu_A^N(x)$
 $\geq \min\{-1 - \mu_A^N(x * y), -1 - \mu_A^N(y)\}$
 $= \min\{\nu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.
Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\square A}^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.

Therefore $\diamond\square A = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Theorem 3.8. *If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then $\diamond\diamond A = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .*

Proof. Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Consider $0, x, y \in A$.

- (i) Now $\mu_{\diamond\diamond A}^P(0) = 1 - \nu_{\diamond A}^P(0) = 1 - \nu_A^P(0) \geq 1 - \nu_A^P(x) = \mu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.
Therefore $\mu_{\diamond A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.
Now $\mu_{\diamond\diamond A}^N(0) = -1 - \nu_{\diamond A}^N(0) = -1 - \nu_A^N(0) \leq -1 - \nu_A^N(x) = \mu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.
Therefore $\mu_{\diamond A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.

- (ii) Now $\mu_{\diamond\diamond A}^P(x) = 1 - \nu_{\diamond A} A^P(x) = 1 - \nu_A^P(x)$
 $\geq \min\{1 - \nu_A^P(x * y), 1 - \nu_A^P(y)\} = \min\{\mu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\mu_{\diamond\diamond A}^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.
- (iii) Now $\mu_{\diamond\diamond A}^N(x) = -1 - \nu_{\diamond A} A^N(x) = -1 - \nu_A^N(x)$
 $\leq \max\{-1 - \nu_A^N(x * y), -1 - \nu_A^N(y)\} = \max\{\mu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\mu_{\diamond\diamond A}^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.
- (iv) Now $\nu_{\diamond\diamond A}^P(0) = \nu_{\diamond A}^P(0) = \nu_A^P(0) \leq \nu_A^P(x) = \nu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\diamond A}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.
 Now $\nu_{\diamond\diamond A}^N(0) = \nu_{\diamond A}^N(0) = \nu_A^N(0) \geq \nu_A^N(x) = \nu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\diamond A}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.
- (v) Now $\nu_{\diamond\diamond A}^P(x) = \nu_{\diamond A}^P(x) = \nu_A^P(x)$
 $\leq \max\{\nu_A^P(x * y), \nu_A^P(y)\} = \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\diamond A}^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.
- (vi) Now $\nu_{\diamond\diamond A}^N(x) = \nu_{\diamond A}^N(x) = \nu_A^N(x)$
 $\geq \min\{\nu_A^N(x * y), \nu_A^N(y)\} = \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\diamond A}^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.

Therefore $\diamond\diamond A = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Theorem 3.9. *If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then $\square\bar{A} = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .*

Proof. Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Consider $0, x, y \in A$.

- (i) Now $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(0) = \nu_A^P(0) = 1 - \nu_A^P(0) \geq 1 - \nu_A^P(x) = \mu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.
 Therefore $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.
 Now $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(0) = \nu_A^N(0) = -1 - \nu_A^N(0) \leq -1 - \nu_A^N(x) = \mu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.
 Therefore $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.
- (ii) Now $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(x) = \nu_A^P(x) = 1 - \nu_A^P(x)$
 $\geq \min\{1 - \nu_A^P(x * y), 1 - \nu_A^P(y)\} = \min\{\mu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$
 Therefore $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.
- (iii) Now $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(x) = \nu_A^N(x) = -1 - \nu_A^N(x)$
 $\leq \max\{-1 - \nu_A^N(x * y), -1 - \nu_A^N(y)\} = \max\{\mu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$
 Therefore $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.

(iv) Now $\nu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(0) = \mu_{\bar{A}}^P(0) = \nu_A^P(0) \leq \nu_A^P(x) = \nu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$

Therefore $\nu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\diamond A}^P(x)$.

Now $\nu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(0) = \mu_{\bar{A}}^N(0) = \nu_A^N(0) \geq \nu_A^N(x) = \nu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$

Therefore $\nu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\diamond A}^N(x)$.

(v) Now $\nu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(x) = \mu_{\bar{A}}^P(x) = \nu_A^P(x)$

$\leq \max\{\nu_A^P(x * y), \nu_A^P(y)\} = \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.

Therefore $\nu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_{\diamond A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^P(y)\}$.

(vi) Now $\nu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(x) = \mu_{\bar{A}}^N(x) = \nu_A^N(x)$

$\geq \min\{\nu_A^N(x * y), \nu_A^N(y)\} = \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.

Therefore $\nu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_{\diamond A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\diamond A}^N(y)\}$.

Therefore $\square\bar{A} = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Theorem 3.10. *If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X , then $\diamond\bar{A} = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .*

Proof. Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X . Consider $0, x, y \in A$.

(i) Now $\mu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^P(0) = \nu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^P(0) = \mu_A^P(0) \geq \mu_A^P(x) = \mu_{\square A}^P(x)$.

Therefore $\mu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\square A}^P(x)$.

Now $\mu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^N(0) = \nu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^N(0) = \mu_A^N(0) \leq \mu_A^N(x) = \mu_{\square A}^N(x)$.

Therefore $\mu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\square A}^N(x)$.

(ii) Now $\mu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^P(x) = \nu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^P(x) = \mu_A^P(x)$

$\geq \min\{\mu_A^P(x * y), \mu_A^P(y)\} = \min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$

Therefore $\mu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^P(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.

(iii) Now $\mu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^N(x) = \nu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^N(x) = \mu_A^N(x)$

$\leq \max\{\mu_A^N(x * y), \mu_A^N(y)\} = \max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$

Therefore $\mu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^N(x) \leq \max\{\mu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.

(iv) Now $\nu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^P(0) = \mu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^P(0) = 1 - \mu_A^P(0) \leq 1 - \mu_A^P(x) = \nu_{\square A}^P(x)$.

Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\square A}^P(x)$.

Now $\nu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^N(0) = \mu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^N(0) = -1 - \mu_A^N(0) \geq -1 - \mu_A^N(x) = \nu_{\square A}^N(x)$.

Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\bar{A}}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\square A}^N(x)$.

(v) Now $\nu_{\diamond\overline{A}}^P(x) = \mu_{\diamond\overline{A}}^P(x) = 1 - \mu_A^P(x)$
 $\leq \max\{1 - \mu_A^P(x * y), 1 - \mu_A^P(y)\} = \max\{\nu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\overline{A}}^P(x) \leq \max\{\nu_{\square A}^P(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^P(y)\}$.

(vi) Now $\nu_{\diamond\overline{A}}^N(x) = \mu_{\diamond\overline{A}}^N(x) = -1 - \mu_A^N(x)$
 $\geq \min\{-1 - \mu_A^N(x * y), -1 - \mu_A^N(y)\} = \min\{\nu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.
 Therefore $\nu_{\diamond\overline{A}}^N(x) \geq \min\{\nu_{\square A}^N(x * y), \nu_{\square A}^N(y)\}$.

Therefore $\diamond\overline{A} = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of X .

Here, we have given all the theorems which are proved about the operations on bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal. Similarly, the above all theorems with proofs are applicable for the operations on bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy ideal also.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, the main idea of a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy ideal are a new algebraic structure of BP-algebra and it is used through the possibility and necessity operators. The aim of this study is implemented. The relevant ideas between the operation on bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy ideal are discussed. We thought that our ideas can also be applied for other algebraic system.

References

- [1] Abdullah S. and Aslam M. M. M., Bipolar fuzzy ideals in LA-semigroups, World Appl. Sci. J., 17, 12 (2012), 1769-1782.
- [2] Ahn S. S. and Han J. S., On BP-algebra, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 42 (2013), 551-557.
- [3] Chakrabarty K., Biswas R. Nanda, A note on union and intersection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, 3 (4), (1997).
- [4] Gunasekaran K., Nandakumar S. and Sivakaminathan S., Bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of a BP-algebra, Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University, 17 (8) (2021), 8-24.
- [5] Lee K. J. and Jun Y. B., Bipolar fuzzy a-ideals of BCI-algebras, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 26, 4 (2011), 531-542.
- [6] Lee K. J., Bipolar fuzzy subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 32, 3 (2009), 361-373.

- [7] Lee K. J., Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their basic operations, Proc. Int. Conf., Bangkok, Thailand, (2007), 307-317.
- [8] Mahmood T., A Novel Approach towards Bipolar Soft Sets and Their Applications, Hindawi Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2020, Article ID 4690808, 11 pages.
- [9] Meng J. and Guo X., On fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, Fuzzy Sets, and Systems, 149, 3 (2005), 509-525.
- [10] Molodtsov D., Soft Set Theory - First Results, An International Journal Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 37 (1999), 19-31.
- [11] Muhammad Riaz and Masooma Raza Hashmi, Linear Diophantine fuzzy set and its applications towards multi-attribute decision-making problems, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 37 (2019), 5417–5439.
- [12] Osama Rashad EI-Gendy, Bipolar fuzzy α -ideal of BP α -algebra, American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 10 (2) (2020), 33-37.
- [13] Palanivelrajan M. and Nandakumar S., Intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semiprimary ideal, Indian Journal of Applied Research, Vol. 1, No. 5 (2012), 159-160.
- [14] Palanivelrajan M. and Nandakumar S., Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semi primary ideals, Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, 18, No. 3 (2012), 68-74.
- [15] Palanivelrajan M. and Nandakumar S., Some operations of intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semiprimary ideal, Asian journal of algebra, 5, No. 2 (2012), 44-49.
- [16] Rajeshkumar A., Fuzzy Algebra: Volume I, Publication division, University of Delhi.
- [17] Zadeh L. A., Fuzzy sets, Information Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.
- [18] Zhang W. R., Bipolar fuzzy sets, Part I, Proc. of FUZZ-IEEE, 2 (1998), 835-840.

