South East Asian J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Vol. 18, No. 1 (2022), pp. 215-234

ISSN (Online): 2582-0850

ISSN (Print): 0972-7752

# COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS OF ALMOST SUZUKI TYPE CONTRACTIONS IN BI COMPLEX VALUED *b*-METRIC SPACES

# M. Madhuri and M V R Kameswari\*

Department of Science and Humanities, Lendi Institute of Engineering and Technology, Viziangaram, Jonnada - 535005, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA

E-mail : mmudunur@gitam.in

\*Department of Mathematics, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam - 530045, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA E-mail : kmukkavi@gitam.edu

(Received: Jul. 12, 2021 Accepted: Feb. 07, 2022 Published: Apr. 30, 2022)

**Abstract:** We study common fixed point theorems of Suzuki type contractions employing alpha admissible function for two selfmaps in bicomplex valued b-metric space rendered by rational expressions. These results are enhanced through examples. Also, as a consequence, we obtain common fixed point theorems for bi complex valued b-metric space endowed with a partial order.

Keywords and Phrases: Bicomplex valued b-metric spaces,  $\alpha$ -admissible function, Suzuki type contractions, common fixed points.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10, 46N99, 54H25.

## 1. Introduction

The notion of complex valued metric spaces was introduced by Azam [3]. Latter, the generalization of complex valued metric spaces namely complex valued b-metric spaces, complex valued rectangular metric spaces, extended complex valued metric spaces considered by several authors, for example we refer [1, 2, 5, 8, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20]. Recently, the generalized version of complex valued metric spaces namely bicomplex valued metric spaces were introduced by Cho et. al., [6].

In the present paper, we denote  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , set of positive real numbers and  $\mathbb{C}_1$  set of complex numbers.

The set of bicomplex numbers introduced in [15, 20] in the following way.

## **Bicomplex Numbers**

The set of bicomplex numbers denoted by  $\mathbb{C}_2$  is the first setting in an infinite sequence of multicomplex sets which are generalizations of the set of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}_1$ .

$$\mathbb{C}_2 = \{ w = c_0 + i_1 c_1 + i_2 c_2 + i_1 i_2 c_3 : c_p \in \mathbb{R}, (p = 0, 1, 2, 3) \}.$$

We can also express  $\mathbb{C}_2$  as

$$\mathbb{C}_2 = \{ z_1 + i_2 z_2 : z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}_1 \},\$$

where  $z_1 = c_0 + i_1c_1$ ,  $z_2 = c_2 + i_1c_3$ ,  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  are imaginary independent units such that  $i_1^2 = -1 = i_2^2$ . The product of  $i_1i_2 = j$  such that  $j^2 = 1$ . The product of units is commutative and is defined as  $i_1j = -i_2$ ,  $i_2j = -i_1$ , with the addition and multiplication of two bicomplex numbers defined in the obvious way.

For a bicomplex number  $w = z_1 + i_2 z_2$ , the norm is denoted by || w || and is defined

$$||w|| = ||z_1 + i_2 z_2|| = (|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By choosing,  $w = c_0 + i_1c_1 + i_2c_2 + i_1i_2c_3, c_p \in \mathbb{R}, (p = 0, 1, 2, 3)$  then

$$||w|| = (c_0^2 + c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

A bicomplex number  $w = c_0 + i_1c_1 + i_2c_2 + i_1i_2c_3$  is degenerated [20] if the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 \\ c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix}$  is degenerated. Further, for any two bicomplex numbers  $\delta, \theta \in \mathbb{C}_2$ , we can show that (i)  $0 \prec_{i_2} \delta \prec_{i_2} \theta$  implies  $\| \delta \| \le \| \theta \|$ (ii)  $\| \delta + \theta \| \le \| \delta \| + \| \theta \|$ (iii)  $\| \alpha \delta \| \le |\alpha| \| \delta \|$ Also, for any two complex numbers  $\delta, \theta \in \mathbb{C}_2$ , we have (i)  $\| \delta \theta \| \le \| \delta \| \| \theta \|$ . (ii)  $\| \delta \theta \| = \| \delta \| \| \theta \|$  whenever at least one of  $\delta$  and  $\theta$  is degenerated [20]. (iii) The partial order relation on  $\preceq_{i_2}$  defined in [6] as follows: Let  $\delta = \delta_1 + i_2\delta_2 \in \mathbb{C}_2$  and  $\| \delta^{-1} \| = \| \delta \|^{-1}$  holds for any degenerated bicomplex number.  $\begin{aligned} \theta &= \theta_1 + i_2 \theta_2 \in \mathbb{C}_2, \text{ we define a partial order relation on } \mathbb{C}_2 \text{ as } \delta \preceq_{i_2} \theta \text{ if and} \\ \text{only if } \delta_1 \preceq_{i_1} \theta_1 \text{ and } \delta_2 \preceq_{i_1} \theta_2, \text{ where } \preceq_{i_1} \text{ is a partial order relation in } \mathbb{C}_1. \text{ Then} \\ (1) & \Re e(\delta_1) &= \Re e(\theta_1) \text{ and } \Im m(\delta_1) = \Im m(\theta_1) \\ & \Re e(\delta_2) &= \Re e(\theta_2) \text{ and } \Im m(\delta_2) = \Im m(\theta_2) \\ (2) & \Re e(\delta_1) < \Re e(\theta_1) \text{ and } \Im m(\delta_1) < \Im m(\theta_1) \\ & \Re e(\delta_2) &= \Re e(\theta_2) \text{ and } \Im m(\delta_2) = \Im m(\theta_2) \\ (3) & \Re e(\delta_1) &= \Re e(\theta_1) \text{ and } \Im m(\delta_1) = \Im m(\theta_1) \\ & \Re e(\delta_2) < \Re e(\theta_2) \text{ and } \Im m(\delta_2) < \Im m(\theta_2) \end{aligned}$ 

(4)  $\Re e(\delta_1) < \Re e(\theta_1)$  and  $\Im m(\delta_1) < \Im m(\theta_1)$  $\Re e(\delta_2) < \Re e(\theta_2)$  and  $\Im m(\delta_2) < \Im m(\theta_2)$ . We write  $\delta \preceq -\theta$  if  $\delta \preceq -\theta$  and  $\delta \neq \theta$  if

We write  $\delta \not\prec_{i_2} \theta$  if  $\delta \preceq_{i_2} \theta$  and  $\delta \neq \theta$  if any one of (1), (2) and (3) is satisfied and  $\delta \prec_{i_2} \theta$  if condition (4) is satisfied.

The definition of the bicomplex valued metric space is introduced in [6] as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** Let X be a nonempty set. A function  $\Xi : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}_2$  is called a bicomplex valued metric on X if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

(1)  $0 \leq_{i_2} \Xi(x, y)$ (2)  $\Xi(x, y) = 0$  iff x = y; (3)  $\Xi(x, y) = \Xi(y, x)$ ; (4)  $\Xi(x, y) \leq_{i_2} \Xi(x, z) + \Xi(y, z)$ 

The pair  $(X, \Xi)$  is called a bicomplex valued metric space.

In this connection many researchers obtained fixed point results in bi complex valued metric spaces, we refer [5, 6, 12, 15, 20].

The notion of bicomplex valued b-metric spaces defined by S. K. Datta et. al., [13, 9-11] as:

**Definition 1.2.** Let X be a nonempty set and  $s \ge 1$ . A function  $\Xi : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}_2$  is called a bicomplex valued b-metric on X if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $0 \leq_{i_2} \Xi_{\mathbb{BC}}(x, y)$ (ii)  $\Xi(x, y) = 0$  iff x = y; (iii)  $\Xi(x, y) = \Xi(y, x)$ ; (iv)  $\Xi(x, y) \leq_{i_2} s[\Xi(x, z) + \Xi(y, z)]$ The pair  $(X, \Xi)$  is called a bicomplex valued b- metric space. Here we give the examples of bicomplex valued b-metric spaces.

**Example 1.3.** Let  $X = [0, +\infty)$ . We define  $\Xi : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}_2$  by  $\Xi(x, y) = (1 + i_1)(1 + i_2)|x - y|^2$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(X, \Xi)$  is a bi-complex valued b-

metric space with 
$$s = 2$$
, for  $x, y \in X$ ,  

$$\Xi(x, y) = (1 + i_1)(1 + i_2)|x - y|^2$$

$$\preceq_{i_2} (1 + i_1)(1 + i_2)|x - z + z - y|^2$$

$$\preceq_{i_2} (1 + i_1)(1 + i_2)[|x - z|^2 + |z - y|^2 + 2|x - z||z - y|]$$

$$\preceq_{i_2} (1 + i_1)(1 + i_2)[2|x - z|^2 + 2|z - y|^2]$$

$$\preceq_{i_2} 2[(1 + i_1)(1 + i_2)|x - z|^2 + (1 + i_1)(1 + i_2)|z - y|^2]$$

$$= 2[\Xi(x, z) + \Xi(z, y)].$$

Example 1.4. Let  $X = [0, +\infty)$ . We define  $\Xi : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}_2$  by  $\Xi(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & ifx = y \\ (1 + i_1 + i_2 + i_1 i_2)(x + y)^2 & if x \neq y. \end{cases}$ 

Then  $(X, \Xi)$  is a bi-complex valued b-metric space with s = 2.

**Definition 1.5.** [11] Let  $(X, \Xi)$  be a bicomplex valued b-metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. We say that:

(i) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x \in X$  if for each  $c \in \mathbb{C}_2$  with  $0 \prec_{i_2} c$  there is a  $n_0 \in N$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $\Xi(x_n, x) \prec_{i_2} c$ . We denote this by  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = x$ . (ii) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence if for each  $c \in \mathbb{C}_2$  with  $0 \prec_{i_2} c$  there is  $n_0 \in N$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $\Xi(x_n, x_{n+m}) \prec_{i_2} c$ , where  $m \in N$ .

(iii)  $(X, \Xi)$  is said to be complete bicomplex valued b-metric space if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to a point in X.

**Lemma 1.6.** [11] Let  $(X, \Xi)$  be a bicomplex valued b-metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x if and only if  $|| \equiv (x_n, x) || \to 0$  as  $n \to +\infty$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** [11] Let  $(X, \Xi)$  be a generalized bicomplex valued b-metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. If  $\lim_{n\to+\infty} || \equiv (x_n, x_{n+m}) || \to 0$  then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Lemma 1.8.** [11] Let  $(X, \Xi)$  be a generalized bicomplex valued b-metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. If  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x then for any  $a \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n\to+\infty} || \Xi(x_n, a) || \to || \Xi(x, a) ||.$ 

**Definition 1.9.** [21] Let P be a self map on a nonempty space X and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . We say that P is  $\alpha$  admissible if, for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\alpha(x, y) \ge 1 \text{ implies } \alpha(Px, Py) \ge 1.$$

**Definition 1.10.** [4] Let P, g be self maps on a nonempty space X and  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . We say that P is  $g - \alpha$  admissible if, for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\alpha(gx, gy) \ge 1 \text{ implies } \alpha(Px, Py) \ge 1.$$

If g = I, then P is called  $g - \alpha$  admissible. We denote C(P, g), the set of fixed points of P and g *i.e.*,

$$C(P,g) = \{ z \in X : Pz = gz = z \}.$$

We study common fixed point theorems of Suzuki type contractions employing alpha admissible function for two maps in bicomplex valued metric b-space rendered by rational expressions. These results are enhanced through examples. As a consequence, we obtain common fixed point theorems for bi complex valued b-metric spaces endowed with a partial order.

#### 2. Main Results

In this section, first we prove the existence common fixed points for almost Suzuki type contractions in bi complex valued b-metric spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, \Xi)$  be a complete bicomplex valued b-metric space with  $s \ge 1$  and  $1 + \Xi(x, y) + \Xi(u, v)$  degenerated for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Assume that  $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a mapping and P and g are selfmaps on X satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $PX \subseteq gX$ . (ii)

$$\frac{1}{2s}\min\{\|\Xi(Px,gx)\|, \|\Xi(Py,gy)\|\} \le \max\{\|\Xi(gx,gy)\|, \|\Xi(Px,Py)\|\}$$

implies

$$\alpha(gx, gy)\Xi(Px, Py) \leq_{i_2} a\Xi(gx, gy) + b\Xi(gy, Py) + c\frac{\Xi(gx, Py) + \Xi(gy, Px)}{s} + d\frac{\Xi(Px, gx)\Xi(gy, Py)}{1 + \Xi(gx, gy) + \Xi(Px, Py)} + e\frac{\Xi(gx, Py)\Xi(gy, Px)}{1 + \Xi(gx, gy) + \Xi(Px, Py)}$$
(2.1.1)

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $a, b, c, d, e \ge 0$  and a + sb + 2c + d + e < 1(iii) P is  $\alpha$ - admissible with respect to g

(iv) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(gx_0, Px_0) \geq 1$ 

(v) if  $\{gx_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\alpha(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all n and  $gx_n \to gz \in gX$  as  $n \to +\infty$  then there exists a subsequence  $\{gx_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{gx_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(gx_{n(k)}, gz) \ge 1$  for all k

(vi) gX is closed.

Then P and g have a unique coincidence point in X.

**Proof.** In view of condition (*iv*), let  $x_0 \in X$  be such that  $\alpha(gx_0, Px_0) \geq 1$ . Since

219

 $PX \subseteq gX$ , we can choose a point  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $Px_0 = gx_1$ , on continuing this process, we can choose sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that

$$Px_n = gx_{n+1}$$
 for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...$  (2.1.2)

Further, P is  $\alpha$ -admissible with respect to g, we have  $\alpha(gx_0, Px_0) = \alpha(gx_0, gx_1) \ge 1$  implies  $\alpha(Px_0, Px_1) = \alpha(gx_1, gx_2) \ge 1$ . Using mathematical induction, we get

$$\alpha(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \ge 1 \tag{2.1.3}$$

for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

If  $gx_{n+1} = gx_{n+2}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for some n, then by (2.1.2), we have  $gx_{n+1} = Px_{n+1}$ , so that  $x_{n+1}$  is a coincidence point of P and g and the proof is completed. Thus, with out loss of generality, suppose that  $\Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) > 0$ , for all n. Since,

$$\frac{1}{2s}min\{ \| \Xi(gx_n, Px_n) \|, \| \Xi(Px_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \| \}$$
  
$$\leq max\{ \| \Xi(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \|, \| \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) \| \}$$

implies from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{split} &\Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) \preceq_{i_2} \alpha(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) \\ & \preceq_{i_2} a\Xi(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + b\Xi(gx_{n+1}, Px_{n+1}) + c \frac{\Xi(gx_n, Px_{n+1}) + \Xi(gx_{n+1}, Px_n)}{s} \\ &+ d \frac{\Xi(gx_n, Px_n) \Xi(gx_{n+1}, Px_{n+1})}{1 + \Xi(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1})} + e \frac{\Xi(gx_n, Px_{n+1}) \Xi(gx_{n+1}, Px_n)}{1 + \Xi(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1})} \\ & \preceq_{i_2} a\Xi(Px_{n-1}, Px_n) + b\Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) + c[\Xi(Px_{n-1}, Px_n) + \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1})] \\ &+ d \frac{\Xi(Px_{n-1}, Px_n) \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1})}{1 + \Xi(Px_{n-1}, Px_n) + \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1})}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\| \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) \| \le a \| \Xi(Px_{n-1}, Px_n) \| + b \| \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) \| + c \| \Xi(Px_{n-1}, Px_n) \| + c \| \Xi(Px_{n+1}, Px_n) \| + d \frac{\| \Xi(Px_{n+1}, Px_n) \|}{\| 1 + \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) + \Xi(Px_{n-1}, Px_n) \|} \| \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n-1}) \|,$$
(2.1.4)

since  $\| \Xi(Px_{n+1}, Px_n) \| \le \| 1 + \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) + \Xi(Px_{n-1}, Px_n) \|$ , from (2.1.4), we have

$$(1-c-b) \parallel \Xi(Px_{n+1}, Px_n) \parallel \le (a+c+d) \parallel \Xi(Px_{n+1}, Px_n) \parallel_{\Xi}$$

therefore

$$\| \Xi(Px_{n+1}, Px_n) \| \le \frac{a+c+d}{1-b-c} \| \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n-1}) \|.$$
(2.1.5)

Similarly, we can show that

$$\|\Xi(Px_n, Px_{n-1})\| \le \frac{a+b+c+d}{1-c} \|\Xi(Px_{n-1}, Px_{n-2})\|.$$
(2.1.6)

Let  $\beta = max\{\frac{a+c+d}{1-b-c}, \frac{a+b+c+d}{1-c}\}$ . Combining (2.1.5) and (2.1.6), we get

$$\|\Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1})\| \le \beta \|\Xi(Px_n, Px_{n-1})\|$$
(2.1.7)

for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Therefore, from (2.1.7), we have

$$\| \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) \| \le \beta \| \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n-1}) \| \le .. \le \beta^n \| \Xi(Px_1, Px_0) \| .$$
(2.1.8)

We now show that  $\{Px_n\} = \{gx_{n+1}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. In view of triangle inequality, we have

$$\Xi(Px_n, Px_m) \preceq s[\Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) + \Xi(Px_{n+1}, Px_m)],$$

which implies

$$\begin{split} \| & \Xi(Px_n, Px_m) \| \le s \| \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) \| + s \| \Xi(Px_{n+1}, Px_m) \| \\ & \le s \| \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) \| + s^2 \| \Xi(Px_{n+1}, Px_{n+2}) \| \\ & + s^3 \| \Xi(Px_{n+2}, Px_{n+3}) \| + \dots + s^{m-n-1} \| \Xi(Px_{m+1}, Px_m) \| \\ & \le s \| \Xi(Px_n, Px_{n+1}) \| + s^2 \| \Xi(Px_{n+1}, Px_{n+2}) \| \\ & + s^3 \| \Xi(Px_{n+2}, Px_{n+3}) \| + \dots + s^{m-n} \| \Xi(Px_{m+1}, Px_m) \| \\ & (\text{since } s \ge 1) \\ & \le s \beta^n \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| + s^2 \beta^{n+1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| + \dots \\ & + s^{m-n} \beta^{m-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} s^i \beta^{i+n-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \| \Xi(Px_n, Px_m) \| \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \Xi(Px_0, Px_1) \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \\ & \le s \beta^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{i-1} \beta^{i-1} \| \\ & \le s \beta^n$$

Therefore  $\{Px_n\} = \{gx_{n+1}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since gX is closed there exists  $z \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \to +\infty} Px_{n+1} = gz \tag{2.1.9}$$

We now show that z is a coincidence point of P and g. If not there exists  $0 \prec_{i_2} \theta \in \mathbb{C}_2$  such that  $\Xi(Pz, gz) = \theta$ .

Again by condition (v) of our assumptions, we have  $\alpha(gx_{n(k)}, gz) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha(gz, gx_{n(k)}) \ge 1$ .

Suppose that

$$\frac{1}{2s}min\{ \| \Xi(Px_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)}) \|, \| \Xi(Pz, gz) \| \} \\> max\{ \| \Xi(gx_{n(k)}, gz) \|, \| \Xi(Px_{n(k)}, Pz) \| \}.$$

Letting  $n \to +\infty$ , using (2.1.9), we get  $0 \ge \parallel \Xi(Pz, gz) \parallel$ , which is a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2s}\min\{\|\Xi(Px_{n(k)},gx_{n(k)})\|,\|\Xi(Pz,gz)\|\} \le \max\{\|\Xi(gx_{n(k)},gz)\|,\|\Xi(Px_{n(k)},Pz)\|\}$$

which implies from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \Xi(Pz, gz) \preceq_{i_2} s\Xi(gz, Px_{n(k)}) + s\Xi(Px_{n(k)}, Pz) \\ &\leq_{i_2} s\Xi(gz, Px_{n(k)}) + s\alpha(gx_{n(k)}, gz)\Xi(Px_{n(k)}, Pz) \\ &\leq_{i_2} s\Xi(gz, Px_{n(k)}) + as\Xi(gx_{n(k)}, gz) + bs\Xi(gz, Pz)] \\ &+ sc \frac{\Xi(gx_{n(k)}, Pz) + \Xi(gz, Px_{n(k)})}{s} + sd \frac{\Xi(gx_{n(k)}, Px_{n(k)})\Xi(Pz, gz)}{1 + \Xi(gx_{n(k)}, gz) + \Xi(Px_{n(k)}, Pz)} \\ &+ se \frac{\Xi(gx_{n(k)}, Pz)\Xi(gz, Px_{n(k)})}{1 + \Xi(gx_{n(k)}, gz) + \Xi(gz, Px_{n(k)})} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{split} \| \theta \| &\leq s \| \Xi(gz, Px_{n(k)}) \| + as \| \Xi(gx_{n(k)}, gz) \| + sb \| \Xi(gz, Pz) \| \\ &+ sc \frac{\| \Xi(gx_{n(k)}, Pz) \| + \| \Xi(gz, Px_{n(k)}) \|}{s} + sd \frac{\| \Xi(gx_{n(k)}, Px_{n(k)}) \| \| \Xi(Pz, gz) \|}{\| 1 + \Xi(gx_{n(k)}, gz) + \Xi(Px_{n(k)}, Pz) \|} \\ &+ se \frac{\| \Xi(gx_{n(k)}, Pz) \| \| \Xi(gz, Px_{n(k)}) \|}{\| 1 + \Xi(gx_{n(k)}, gz) + \Xi(gz, Px_{n(k)}) \|}. \end{split}$$

On taking limits as  $k \to +\infty$ , using (2.1.9), we get  $\| \theta \| \le sb \| \Xi(gz, Pz) \|$  $\| \Xi(gz, Pz) \| \le sb \| \Xi(gz, Pz) \|$  $(1 - sb) \| \Xi(Pz, gz) \| \le 0$  $\| \Xi(Pz, gz) \| = 0.$ Hence Pz = gz. Thus, P and g have a common fixed point in X.

**Theorem 2.2.** In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, suppose that for  $u, v \in C(P,g)$  if  $\alpha(gu, gv) \geq 1$  and the pair (P,g) is weakly compatible, then P and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

**Proof.** From the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have  $\{gx_n\}$  is a non decreasing sequence and converges to gz and Pz = gz. Also, since P and g are weakly compatible, we have

$$Pz = Pgz = gPz = gz$$
.

Hence Px = gx = x so that P and g have a common fixed point. To prove uniqueness, let x and x' be two common fixed points of P and g i.e.,

$$Px = gx = x$$
 and  $Px' = gx' = x'$ . (2.2.1)

Since

$$\frac{1}{2s}min\{ \| \Xi(Px,gx) \|, \| \Xi(Px',gx') \| \} = 0$$
  
$$\leq max\{ \| \Xi(gx,gx') \|, \| \Xi(Px,Px') \| \}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{split} \Xi(x,x') &= \Xi(Px,Px') \preceq_{i_2} \alpha(gx,gx')\Xi(Px,Px') \\ \preceq_{i_2} a\Xi(gx,gx') + b\Xi(gx',Px') + c\frac{\Xi(gx,Px') + \Xi(gx',Px)}{s} \\ &+ d\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gx',Px')}{1 + \Xi(gx,gx') + \Xi(Px,Px')} + e\frac{\Xi(gx,Px')\Xi(gx',Px)}{1 + \Xi(gx,gx') + \Xi(Px,Px')} \\ &\parallel \Xi(x,x') \parallel \leq a \parallel \Xi(gx,gx') \parallel + b \parallel \Xi(gx',Px') \parallel + c\frac{\parallel \Xi(gx,Px') \parallel + \parallel \Xi(gx',Px) \parallel}{s} \\ &+ d\frac{\parallel \Xi(Px,gx) \parallel \parallel \Xi(gx',Px') \parallel}{\parallel 1 + \Xi(gx,gx') + \Xi(Px,Px') \parallel} + e\frac{\parallel \Xi(gx,Px') \parallel \parallel \Xi(gx',Px) \parallel}{\parallel 1 + \Xi(gx,gx') + \Xi(Px,Px') \parallel}, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\| \Xi(x, x') \| \le (a + \frac{c}{s} + e) \| \Xi(x, x') \|,$$

this implies  $\parallel \Xi(x, x') \parallel = 0$ . Therefore P and g have a unique common fixed point in X.

# 3. Examples and Corollaries

The following examples are in support of Theorem 2.2.

**Example 3.1.** Let X = [0, 5], we define  $\Xi : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}_2$  by

$$\Xi(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & if \ x = y \\ (i_1 + i_2 + 2i_1i_2)(x+y)^2 & if \ x \neq y \end{cases}$$

Then  $(X, \Xi)$  is complete bi complex valued b-metric space with s = 2 and  $1 + \Xi(x, y) + \Xi(u, v)$  degenerated for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . We define  $P, g: X \to X$  by

$$Px = \begin{cases} \frac{3x}{4} & if \ x \in [0,1] \\ \\ \frac{x}{4} & if \ x \in (1,5] \end{cases} \text{ and } gx = \begin{cases} 3x & if \ x \in [0,1] \\ \\ x & if \ x \in (1,5]. \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $PX \subseteq gX$  and gX is closed set. Define the function  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2 & if \ x \in [0,3] \\ \\ 3 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

We now verify inequality (2.1.1) with  $a = \frac{3}{16}$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{8}$ , d = 0 = e. **Case (i):** Let  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  with  $x \neq y$ . Then  $\alpha(gx, gy) = \alpha(3x, 3y) = 2$ . If x > y, then

$$\frac{1}{2s}min\{\|\Xi(Px,gx)\|, \|\Xi(Py,gy)\|\} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}\frac{225}{16}y^2 \le 9\sqrt{6}(x+y)^2$$
$$= max\{\|\Xi(gx,gy)\|, \|\Xi(Px,Py)\|\}.$$

Then from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gx,gy)\Xi(Px,Py) &= 2(i_1+i_2+2i_1i_2)\frac{9}{16}(x+y)^2 = \frac{2}{16}(i_1+i_2+2i_1i_2)(3x+3y)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3}{16}(i_1+i_2+2i_1i_2)(3x+3y)^2 = \frac{3}{16}\Xi(gx,gy) \\ &\leq i_2\frac{3}{16}\Xi(gx,gy) + \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gy,Py) + \frac{1}{8}\frac{\Xi(gx,Py) + \Xi(gy,Px)}{s} \\ &+ 0\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gy,Py)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + 0\frac{\Xi(gx,Py)\Xi(gy,Px)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)}. \end{aligned}$$

224

Similarly, when x < y, condition (2.1.1) follows. **Case (ii):** Let  $x, y \in (1, 5]$  with  $x \neq y$ . Then  $\alpha(gx, gy) = 3$ . Also,

$$\frac{1}{2s}min\{\|\Xi(Px,gx)\|, \|\Xi(Py,gy)\|\} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}\frac{25}{16}y^2 \le \sqrt{6}(x+y)^2$$
$$= max\{\|\Xi(gx,gy)\|, \|\Xi(Px,Py)\|\}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gx,gy)\Xi(Px,Py) &= 3(i_1+i_2+2i_1i_2)\frac{1}{16}(x+y)^2 \\ &= \frac{3}{16}(i_1+i_2+2i_1i_2)(x+y)^2 = \frac{3}{16}\Xi(gx,gy) \\ &\preceq_{i_2}\frac{3}{16}\Xi(gx,gy) + \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gy,Py) + \frac{1}{8}\frac{\Xi(gx,Py) + \Xi(gy,Px)}{s} \\ &+ 0\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gy,Py)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + 0\frac{\Xi(gx,Py)\Xi(gy,Px)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} \end{aligned}$$

**Case (iii):** Let  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $y \in (1, 5]$ . Then  $\alpha(gx, gy) = 3$  and

$$\frac{1}{2s}\min\{\|\Xi(Px,gx)\|, \|\Xi(Py,gy)\|\} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}\frac{225}{16}x^2 \le \sqrt{6}(3x+y)^2$$
$$= \max\{\|\Xi(gx,gy)\|, \|\Xi(Px,Py)\|\}.$$

This implies from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gx,gy)\Xi(Px,Py) &= 3(i_1+i_2+2i_1i_2)\frac{1}{16}(3x+y)^2 \\ &= \frac{3}{16}(i_1+i_2+2i_1i_2)(3x+y)^2 = \frac{3}{16}\Xi(gx,gy) \\ &\preceq_{i_2}\frac{3}{16}\Xi(gx,gy) + \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gy,Py) + \frac{1}{8}\frac{\Xi(gx,Py) + \Xi(gy,Px)}{s} \\ &+ 0\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gy,Py)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + 0\frac{\Xi(gx,Py)\Xi(gy,Px)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} \end{aligned}$$

**Case (iv):** Let  $x \in (1,5]$  and  $y \in [0,1]$ . Then  $\alpha(gx, gy) = 3$ . Also,

$$\frac{1}{2s}min\{\|\Xi(Px,gx)\|, \|\Xi(Py,gy)\|\} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}\frac{225}{16}y^2 \le \sqrt{6}(x+3y)^2$$
$$= max\{\|\Xi(gx,gy)\|, \|\Xi(Px,Py)\|\}.$$

Then from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gx,gy)\Xi(Px,Py) &= 3(i_1+i_2+2i_1i_2)\frac{1}{16}(x+3y)^2 \\ &= \frac{3}{16}(i_1+i_2+2i_1i_2)(x+3y)^2 = \frac{3}{16}\Xi(gx,gy) \\ &\preceq_{i_2}\frac{3}{16}\Xi(gx,gy) + \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gy,Py) + \frac{1}{8}\frac{\Xi(gx,Py) + \Xi(gy,Px)}{s} \\ &+ 0\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gy,Py)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + 0\frac{\Xi(gx,Py)\Xi(gy,Px)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus inequality (2.1.1) is satisfied with  $a = \frac{3}{16}$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{8}$ , d = 0 = e. Also, we have  $\alpha(Px_0, gx_0) \ge 1$  for any  $x_0 \in [0, 2]$ . Clearly, P is  $\alpha$ - admissible with respect to g. Now, all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Consequently, P and g have a coincidence point. Here, 0 is a coincidence point of P and g. Also, clearly all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. In this example, 0 is the unique common fixed point of P and g.

**Example 3.2.** Let X = [0, 2], we define  $\Xi : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}_2$  by

$$\Xi(x,y) \;=\; \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 0 \;\; if \; x=y \\ \\ (3i_1+3i_2+18i_1i_2)max\{x,y\}^2 \;\;\; if \;\; x\neq y \end{array} \right.$$

Clearly,  $(X, \Xi)$  is complete bi complex valued b-metric space with s = 2 and  $1 + \Xi(x, y) + \Xi(u, v)$  degenerated for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . We define  $P, g: X \to X$  by

$$Px = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad x = 0\\ \frac{1-x^2}{4} & if \quad x \in (0,1]\\ \frac{1}{4} & if \quad x \in (1,2] \end{cases} \text{ and } gx = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad x = 0\\ 1-x^2 & if \quad x \in (0,1]\\ \frac{1+x}{4} & if \quad x \in (1,2] \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $PX \subseteq gX$  and gX is closed set. Define the function  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2 & if \ x \in [0,1] \\ \\ 1 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

We now verify inequality (2.1.1).

**Case (i):** Let  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  with  $x \neq y$ , then  $\alpha(gx, gy) = \alpha(1 - x^2, 1 - y^2) = 2$ .

First we suppose that x > y, then we have

$$\frac{1}{2s}\min\{\|\Xi(Px,gx)\|, \|\Xi(Py,gy)\|\} = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{342}(1-x^2)^2 \le 2\sqrt{342}(1-y^2)^2$$
$$= \max\{\|\Xi(gx,gy)\|, \|\Xi(Px,Py)\|\},\$$

which implies from (2.1.1), we have

$$\alpha(gx, gy)\Xi(Px, Py) = 2\rho max\{\frac{1-x^2}{4}, \frac{1-y^2}{4}\}^2$$

where  $\rho = (3i_1 + 3i_2 + 18i_1i_2)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gx,gy)\Xi(Px,Py) &= 2\rho \frac{(1-y^2)^2}{16} = \rho \frac{1}{8}(1-y^2)^2 \leq_{i_2} \rho \frac{1}{4}(1-y^2)^2 = \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gx,gy) \\ &\leq_{i_2} \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gx,gy) + \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gy,Py) + \frac{1}{16}\frac{\Xi(gx,Py) + \Xi(gy,Px)}{s} \\ &+ 0\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gy,Py)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + 0\frac{\Xi(gx,Py)\Xi(gy,Px)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} \end{aligned}$$

Next, we suppose that x < y, then we have

$$\frac{1}{2s}min\{ \| \Xi(Px,gx) \|, \| \Xi(Py,gy) \| \} = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{342}(1-y^2)^2 \le \sqrt{342}(1-x^2)^2 = max\{ \| \Xi(gx,gy) \|, \| \Xi(Px,Py) \| \},\$$

which implies from (2.1.1), we have

$$\alpha(gx, gy) \Xi(Px, Py) = 2\rho max \{\frac{1-x^2}{4}, \frac{1-y^2}{4}\}^2$$

where  $\rho = (3i_1 + 3i_2 + 18i_1i_2)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gx,gy)\Xi(Px,Py) &= 2\rho \frac{(1-x^2)^2}{16} = \rho \frac{1}{8}(1-x^2)^2 \leq_{i_2} \rho \frac{1}{4}(1-x^2)^2 = \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gx,gy) \\ &\leq_{i_2} \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gx,gy) + \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gy,Py) + \frac{1}{16}\frac{\Xi(gx,Py) + \Xi(gy,Px)}{s} \\ &+ 0\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gy,Py)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + 0\frac{\Xi(gx,Py)\Xi(gy,Px)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} \end{aligned}$$

**Case (ii):** Let  $x, y \in (1, 2]$  with  $x \neq y$ . Then  $\alpha(gx, gy) = \alpha(\frac{1+x}{2}, \frac{1+y}{2}) = 1$ . First we suppose that x > y, then we have

$$\frac{1}{2s}min\{\parallel \Xi(Px,gx)\parallel,\parallel \Xi(Py,gy)\parallel\} = \frac{1}{4}min\{\sqrt{342}(\frac{1+x}{2})^2,\sqrt{342}(\frac{1+y}{2})^2\}$$

$$=\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{342}(\frac{1+y}{2})^2 \le \sqrt{342}(\frac{1+x}{2})^2 = max\{\|\Xi(gx,gy)\|, \|\Xi(Px,Py)\|\}.$$

Thus from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gx,gy)\Xi(Px,Py) &= 0 \leq_{i_2} \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gx,gy) + \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gy,Py) + \frac{1}{16}\frac{\Xi(gx,Py) + \Xi(gy,Px)}{s} \\ &+ 0\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gy,Py)}{1 + \Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + 0\frac{\Xi(gx,Py)\Xi(gy,Px)}{1 + \Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, when x < y, we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2s}min\{\parallel \Xi(Px,gx)\parallel,\parallel \Xi(Py,gy)\parallel\} = \frac{1}{4}min\{\sqrt{342}(\frac{1+x}{2})^2,\sqrt{342}(\frac{1+y}{2})^2\}\\ &\leq \sqrt{342}(\frac{1+y}{2})^2 = max\{\parallel \Xi(gx,gy)\parallel,\parallel \Xi(Px,Py)\parallel\}. \end{split}$$

Thus from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gx, gy) \Xi(Px, Py) &= 0 \leq_{i_2} \frac{1}{4} \Xi(gx, gy) + \frac{1}{4} \Xi(gy, Py) + \frac{1}{16} \frac{\Xi(gx, Py) + \Xi(gy, Px)}{s} \\ &+ 0 \frac{\Xi(Px, gx) \Xi(gy, Py)}{1 + \Xi(gx, gy) + \Xi(Px, Py)} + 0 \frac{\Xi(gx, Py) \Xi(gy, Px)}{1 + \Xi(gx, gy) + \Xi(Px, Py)}. \end{aligned}$$
Case (iii): Let  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $y \in (1, 2]$ . Then  $\alpha(gx, gy) = 1$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2s}min\{\parallel \Xi(Px,gx)\parallel,\parallel \Xi(Py,gy)\parallel\} = \frac{1}{4}min\{\sqrt{342}(\frac{1+y}{2})^2,\sqrt{342}(1-x^2)^2\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{342}(1-x^2)^2 \le \sqrt{342}(\frac{1+y}{2})^2 = max\{\parallel \Xi(gx,gy)\parallel,\parallel \Xi(Px,Py)\parallel\} \end{aligned}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gx,gy)\Xi(Px,Py) &= \rho(\frac{1}{4})^2 = \frac{\rho}{16} \preceq_{i_2} \frac{1}{4}\rho\frac{(y+1)^2}{4} = \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gx,gy) \\ & \leq_{i_2} \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gx,gy) + \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gy,Py) + \frac{1}{16}\frac{\Xi(gx,Py) + \Xi(gy,Px)}{s} \\ & + 0\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gy,Py)}{1 + \Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + 0\frac{\Xi(gx,Py)\Xi(gy,Px)}{1 + \Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)}. \end{aligned}$$

**Case (iv):** Let  $x \in (1,2]$  and  $y \in [0,1]$ . Then  $\alpha(gx,gy) = 1$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2s}min\{\parallel \Xi(Px,gx)\parallel,\parallel \Xi(Py,gy)\parallel\} = \frac{1}{4}min\{\sqrt{342}(\frac{1+x}{2})^2,\sqrt{342}(1-y^2)^2\}\\ &= \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{342}(1-y^2)^2 \le \sqrt{342}(\frac{1+x}{2})^2 = max\{\parallel \Xi(gx,gy)\parallel,\parallel \Xi(Px,Py)\parallel\}\end{aligned}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  from (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(gx,gy)\Xi(Px,Py) &= \rho(\frac{1}{4})^2 = \frac{\rho}{16} \preceq_{i_2} \frac{1}{4}\rho\frac{(x+1)^2}{4} = a\Xi(gx,gy) \\ & \leq_{i_2} \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gx,gy) + \frac{1}{4}\Xi(gy,Py) + \frac{1}{16}\frac{\Xi(gx,Py) + \Xi(gy,Px)}{s} \\ & + 0\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gy,Py)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + 0\frac{\Xi(gx,Py)\Xi(gy,Px)}{1+\Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus condition (2.1.1) is satisfied with  $a = \frac{1}{4}, b = \frac{1}{4}, c = \frac{1}{16}, d = 0 = e$ . Also, we have  $\alpha(Px_0, gx_0) \ge 1$  for any  $x_0 \in [0, 2]$ . Clearly, P is  $\alpha$ - admissible with respect to g.

Now, all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Consequently, P and g have a coincidence point. Here, 0 is a coincidence point of P and g. Also, clearly all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. In this example, 0 is the unique common fixed point of P and g.

By choosing s = 1, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $(X, \Xi)$  be a complete bicomplex valued metric space and  $1 + \Xi(x, y) + \Xi(u, v)$  degenerated for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Assume that  $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be a mapping and P and g are selfmaps on X satisfying the following conditions: (i)  $PX \subseteq gX$ .

$$\frac{1}{2s}\min\{\|\Xi(Px,gx)\|, \|\Xi(Py,gy)\|\} \le \max\{\|\Xi(gx,gy)\|, \|\Xi(Px,Py)\|\}$$

$$\implies \alpha(gx,gy)\Xi(Px,Py) \preceq_{i_2} a\Xi(gx,gy) + b\Xi(gy,Py) + c\frac{\Xi(gx,Py) + \Xi(gy,Px)}{s} + \Xi\frac{\Xi(Px,gx)\Xi(gy,Py)}{1 + \Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + e\frac{\Xi(gx,Py)\Xi(gy,Px)}{1 + \Xi(gx,gy) + \Xi(Px,Py)}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $a, b, c, d, e \ge 0$  and a + sb + 2c + d + e < 1(iii) P is  $\alpha$ - admissible with respect to g (iv) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(gx_0, Px_0) \ge 1$ (v) If  $\{gx_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\alpha(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all n and  $gx_n \to gz \in gX$  as  $n \to +\infty$  then there exists a subsequence  $\{gx_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{gx_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(gx_{n(k)}, gz) \ge 1$  for all k (vi) gX is closed. Then P and g have a unique coincidence point in X. By choosing g = I, the identity map, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $(X, \Xi)$  be a complete bicomplex valued metric space with  $s \ge 1$ and  $1+\Xi(x, y)+\Xi(u, v)$  degenerated for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Assume that  $\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be a mapping and P is selfmap on X satisfying the following conditions: (i)

$$\frac{1}{2s}min\{\|\Xi(Px,x)\|, \|\Xi(Py,y)\|\} \le max\{\|\Xi(x,y)\|, \|\Xi(Px,Py)\|\}$$
  

$$\implies \alpha(x,y)\Xi(Px,Py) \preceq_{i_2} a\Xi(x,y) + b\Xi(y,Py) + c\frac{\Xi(x,Py) + \Xi(y,Px)}{s}$$
  

$$+\Xi\frac{\Xi(Px,x)\Xi(y,Py)}{1 + \Xi(x,y) + \Xi(Px,Py)} + e\frac{\Xi(x,Py)\Xi(y,Px)}{1 + \Xi(x,y) + \Xi(Px,Py)}$$
(3.4.1)

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $a, b, c, d, e \ge 0$  and a + sb + 2c + d + e < 1(ii) P is  $\alpha$ - admissible

(iii) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Px_0) \geq 1$ 

(iv) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all n and  $x_n \to z \in X$ as  $n \to +\infty$  then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, z) \ge 1$ for all k.

Then P has a fixed point in X.

# 4. Fixed point theorems on bicomplex valued metric space endowed with a partial order

**Definition 4.1.** [7] Let  $(X, \Xi)$  be a partially ordered set and  $P : X \to X$  be a given mapping. We say that P is nondecreasing with respect to  $\preceq$  if for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \preceq y$  implies  $Px \preceq Py$ .

**Definition 4.2.** [7] Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set. A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$  is said to be nondecreasing with respect to  $\preceq$  if  $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$  for all n.

**Definition 4.3.** [12] Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $\Xi$  be a metric on X. We say that  $(X, \preceq, \Xi)$  is regular if for every nondecreasing sequence  $\{x_n\} \in X$  such that  $x_n \subseteq X$  such that  $x_n \to x \in X$  as  $n \to +\infty$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n(k)} \preceq x$  for all k.

**Definition 4.4.** [19] Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $P, g : X \to X$  be a given mappings. We say that P is g-nondecreasing if for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $gx \preceq gy$  implies  $Px \preceq Py$ .

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and d be a metric on X. We say that is g-regular if for every nondecreasing sequence  $\{gx_n\} \in X$  such that  $gx_n \to gz \in X$  as  $n \to +\infty$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{gx_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{gx_n\}$  such that  $gx_{n(k)} \preceq gz$  for all k.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a poset and  $\Xi$  is a complete bicomplex valued metric space with  $s \ge 1$  and  $1+\Xi(x,y)+\Xi(u,v)$  degenerated for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ . Assume that P and g are selfmaps on X satisfying the following conditions: (i)  $PX \subseteq gX$ . (ii)

$$\frac{1}{2s}min\{\|\Xi(Px,gx)\|, \|\Xi(Py,gy)\|\} \le max\{\|\Xi(gx,gy)\|, \|\Xi(Px,Py)\|\}$$

$$\implies \alpha(gx, gy)\Xi(Px, Py) \leq_{i_2} a\Xi(gx, gy) + b\Xi(gy, Py) + c\frac{\Xi(gx, Py) + \Xi(gy, Px)}{s} + d\frac{\Xi(Px, gx)\Xi(gy, Py)}{1 + \Xi(gx, gy) + \Xi(Px, Py)} + e\frac{\Xi(gx, Py)\Xi(gy, Px)}{1 + \Xi(gx, gy) + \Xi(Px, Py)}$$
(4.6.1)

for all  $x, y \in X$ , with  $gx \leq gy$  and  $a, b, c, d, e \geq 0$  and a + sb + 2c + d + e < 1(iii) P is g-nondecreasing with respect to  $\leq$ (iv)  $(X, \leq, \Xi)$  is g-regular.

(v) gX is closed.

Then P and g have a unique coincidence point in X. Moreover, for  $u, v \in C(P, g)$  such that  $u \leq v$  and if P and g commute at their coincidence points then P and g have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Define the mapping  $\alpha : X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$  by

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ x \leq y \ or \ y \leq x \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

For any  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $\alpha(x, y) = 1$  if and only if  $x \leq y$  or  $x \geq y$ , so condition (4.6.1) follows. In view of condition (iii), i.e., P is g-nondecreasing with respect to  $\leq$ , then we have  $\alpha(gx, gy) \geq 1 \Rightarrow gx \leq gy$  or  $gx \geq gy \Rightarrow Px \leq Py$  or  $Px \geq Py \Rightarrow \alpha(Px, Py) \geq 1$ , which implies P is  $\alpha$ -admissible with respect to g. Let  $\{gx_n\}$  be a sequence in X such that  $\alpha(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \geq 1$  for all n and  $gx_n \to gz \in X$ as  $n \to +\infty$ . From condition (iv) of our hypotheses there exists a subsequence  $\{gx_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{gx_n\}$  such that  $gx_{n(k)} \leq gz$  for all k which amounts  $\alpha(gx_{n(k)}, gz) \geq 1$ . Also, by condition (iii), we have  $\alpha(gx_0, Px_0) \geq 1$ . Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Hence f and g have a coincidence point. Moreover, by the hypotheses if for all  $u, v \in C(P, g)$  with  $u \leq v$  then by definition of  $\alpha$  we have  $\alpha(gx, gy) \geq 1$ . Hence we infer that the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point by Theorem 2.2.

### References

- [1] Aleksic S., Kadelburg Z., Mitrovic Z. D. and Radenovic S., A new survey Cone metric spaces, J. of Int. Math. Virtual Institute, 9 (2019), 93-121.
- [2] Ana Savic Fabiano N., Mirkov N., Sretenovic A., and Radenovic S., Some significant remarks on multivalued Perov type contractions on cone metric spaces with a directed graph, AIMS Mathematics, 7(1) (2021), 187–198.
- [3] Azam A., Fisher B., and Khan M., Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, Vol. 32, No. 3 (2011),243–253.
- [4] Babu G. V. R., Sarma K. K. M. and Kumari V. A., Common fixed point of (α, η)- Geraghty contraction maps, J. of Adv. Res. in Pure Math., 6 (4) (2014), 9-23.
- [5] Beg I., Datta S. K., Pal D., Fixed point in bicomplex valued metric spaces, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 12 (2) (2021), 717-727
- [6] Choi J., Datta S. K., Biswas T. and Islam M. N.. Some fixed point theorems in connection with two weakly compatible mappings in bicomplex valued metric spaces, Honam Mathematical Journal, Vol. 39, No. 1 (2017), 115–126.
- [7] Ciric L., Cakic N., Rajovic M., Ume J. S., Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., (2008), Article ID 131294, 11 pages.
- [8] Datta S. K., Pal D., Biswas N., and Sarkar S., On the study of fixed point theorems in bicomplex valued metric spaces, Journal of the Calcutta Mathematical Society, 16 (1) (2020), 73-94.

- [9] Datta S. K., Pal D., Sarkar R., and Saha J., Some common fixed point theorems for contracting mappings in bicomplex valued b-metric spaces, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 112 (4) (2020), 329-354.
- [10] Datta S. K., Pal D., Sarkar R. and Saha J., Common fixed point theorems in bicomplex valued metric spaces b-metric spaces or rational contraction, Jnbha 50 (2) (2020), 93-105.
- [11] Datta S. K., Palb D., Rakesh Sarkar, Arghyatanu Mannad, On a common fixed point theorem in bicomplex valued b-metric space, Montes Taurus J. Pure Appl. Math. 3 (3) (2021), 358–366.
- [12] Jebril I. H., Datta S. K., Sarkar R. and Biswas N., Common fixed point theorems under rational contractions for a pair of mappings in bicomplex valued metric spaces, J. Interdisciplinary Math., 22 (7) (2019), 1071-1082.
- [13] Jebril I. H., Datta S. K., Sarkar R., Biswas N., Common fixed point theorems under rational contractions using two mappings and six mappings and coupled fixed point theorem in bi complex valued b-metric space, TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math., Vol. 11, No. 3 (2021), 804-813.
- [14] Karapinar E. and Samet B., Generalized  $\alpha \psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications, Abst. and Appl. Ana., (2012), Article ID 793486, 17 pages.
- [15] Luna-Elizarraràs M. E., Shapiro M., Struppa D. C., and Vajiac A., Bicomplex Holomorphic Functions: The Algebra, Geometry and Analysis of Bicomplex Numbers, Frontiers in Mathematics, Springer, 2015.
- [16] Naimat Ullah, Mohammed Shehu Shagari and Akbar Azam, Fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued Extended b-Metric Spaces, Moroccan J. of Pure and Appl. Anal. (MJPAA) 5 (2) (2019), 140–163.
- [17] Ozgur Ege, Complex valued rectangular b-metric spaces and an application to linear equations, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 8 (2015), 1014–1021.
- [18] Paunović Lj. Teorija apstraktnih metričkih prostora Neki novi rezultati, Leposavić, 2017.
- [19] Rao K. P. R., Swamy P. R., and Prasad J. R. A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b-metric spaces, Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, Vol. 1, No. 1 (2013), 1–8.

- [20] Rochon D. and Shapiro, M., On algebraic properties of bicomplex and hyperbolic numbers, An. Univ. Oradea Fasc. Mat., 11 (2004), 71–110.
- [21] Samet B., Vetro C. and Vetro P., Fixed point theorem for  $\alpha \psi$  contractive type mappings, Nonlinear Anal., 75 (2012), 2154-2165.