

**A STUDY ON GROWTH PROPERTIES OF GENERALISED
ITERATED INTEGRAL FUNCTIONS**

Dibyendu Banerjee and Sumanta Ghosh*

Department of Mathematics,
Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan - 731235, West Bengal, INDIA

E-mail : dibyendu192@rediffmail.com

*Ranaghat P.C. High School,
Ranaghat - 741201, Nadia, West Bengal, INDIA

E-mail : sumantarpc@gmail.com

(Received: Sep. 12, 2021 Accepted: Nov. 15, 2021 Published: Dec. 30, 2021)

Abstract: In the present paper we investigate some growth properties of generalised iterated integral functions.

Keywords and Phrases: Integral function, order, iteration.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D05.

1. Introduction and Definitions

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two integral functions. In [3], $T(r, f)$, $M(r, f)$, $N(r, a, f)$, $\delta(a, f)$, $\delta(a(z), f)$, $\log^+ x$ have their usual meanings in the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions.

After that in [2], Clunie studied some comparative growths of $T(r, fg)$ with $T(r, f)$ and $T(r, g)$ and showed that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, fg)}{T(r, f)} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, fg)}{T(r, g)} = \infty,$$

where $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are transcendental integral functions. In [7], Singh proved some comparative growths of $\log T(r, fg)$ and $T(r, f)$. In [4] Lahiri proved some

theorems on the comparative growth of $\log T(r, fg)$ with $T(r, f)$ and, as well as, with $T(r, g)$.

Also the order and the lower order of the integral function $f(z)$ are respectively denoted by ρ_f and λ_f and are defined by

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Definition 1.1. The number $\bar{\lambda}_f$ is said to be the hyper lower order of $f(z)$ if and only if

$$\bar{\lambda}_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

It is clear that $\bar{\lambda}_f \leq \lambda_f$.

Definition 1.2. A function $\rho_f(r)$ is called a proximate order of $f(z)$ relative to $T(r, f)$ if and only if

- (i) $\rho_f(r)$ is real, continuous and piecewise differentiable for $r > r_0$
- (ii) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rho_f(r) = \rho_f$,
- (iii) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \log r \rho'_f(r) = 0$ and
- (iv) $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{r^{\rho_f(r)}} = 1$.

Note 1.3. For $\delta > 0$ the function $r^{\rho_f + \delta - \rho_f(r)}$ is ultimately an increasing function of r .

Since $\frac{d}{dr} r^{\rho_f + \delta - \rho_f(r)} = \{\rho_f + \delta - \rho_f(r) - r \log r \rho'_f(r)\} r^{\rho_f + \delta - 1 - \rho_f(r)} > 0$ for sufficiently large values of r .

In [8], Zhou proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two integral functions of finite orders such that $g(0) = 0$ and $\rho_g < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f$. Then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, fg)}{T(r, f)} = 0.$$

In [4], Lahiri proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two non-constant integral functions such that

$\lambda_g < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f < \infty$. Then

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, fg)}{T(r, f)} = 0.$$

If $\rho_g > \rho_f$, Singh [7] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two integral functions of finite orders with $\rho_g > \rho_f$. Then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, fg)}{T(r, f)} = \infty.$$

In [4], Lahiri proved the following four theorems.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two integral functions such that $0 < \lambda_f < \lambda_g < \infty$. Then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, fg)}{T(r, f)} = \infty.$$

Theorem 1.8. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two non-constant integral functions such that ρ_f and ρ_g are finite. Then*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, fg)}{T(r, g)} \leq 3 \cdot \rho_f \cdot 2^{\rho_g}.$$

Theorem 1.9. *Let f and g be non-constant integral functions such that ρ_f and λ_g are finite. Also suppose that there exist integral functions $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \leq \infty$) such that (i) $T(r, a_i(z)) = o\{T(r, g)\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and (ii)*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1. \text{ Then}$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, fg)}{T(r, g)} < \pi \cdot \rho_{f_k}.$$

Theorem 1.10. *Let f and g be transcendental integral functions such that*

- (i) $\rho_g < \infty$ and the hyper lower order of $g(z)$, $\bar{\lambda}_g$ is positive
- (ii) $\lambda_f > 0$, and
- (iii) $\delta(0, f) < 1$.

Then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, fg)}{T(r, g)} = \infty.$$

A real valued function $\phi(r)$ is said to have the property P [1] if

(i) $\phi(r)$ is non-negative and continuous for $r \geq r_0$, say;

(ii) $\phi(r)$ is strictly increasing and $\phi(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$;

and

(iii) $\log \phi(r) < \delta \log \phi(r/2)$, for all $\delta > 0$ and for all sufficiently large values of r .

Therefore a function satisfying the property P also satisfies the following relation :

$\log^{[p]} \phi(r) < \delta \log^{[q]} \phi(r/2)$ for all $\delta > 0$, $p > q$ and for all sufficiently large values of r .

For two non-constant integral functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, the inequality

$$\log M(r, f(g)) \leq \log M(M(r, g), f) \text{ is obvious.}$$

In the present paper we consider k non-constant integral functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k and a constant α with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and form the iteration as below:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^1(z) &= (1 - \alpha)z + \alpha f_1(z) \\ F_2^1(z) &= (1 - \alpha)F_1^2(z) + \alpha f_1(F_1^2(z)) \\ F_3^1(z) &= (1 - \alpha)F_2^2(z) + \alpha f_1(F_2^2(z)) \\ &\vdots \\ F_n^1(z) &= (1 - \alpha)F_{n-1}^2(z) + \alpha f_1(F_{n-1}^2(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^2(z) &= (1 - \alpha)z + \alpha f_2(z) \\ F_2^2(z) &= (1 - \alpha)F_1^3(z) + \alpha f_2(F_1^3(z)) \\ F_3^2(z) &= (1 - \alpha)F_2^3(z) + \alpha f_2(F_2^3(z)) \\ &\vdots \\ F_n^2(z) &= (1 - \alpha)F_{n-1}^3(z) + \alpha f_2(F_{n-1}^3(z)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^k(z) &= (1 - \alpha)z + \alpha f_k(z) \\ F_2^k(z) &= (1 - \alpha)F_1^1(z) + \alpha f_k(F_1^1(z)) \\ F_3^k(z) &= (1 - \alpha)F_2^1(z) + \alpha f_k(F_2^1(z)) \\ &\vdots \\ F_n^k(z) &= (1 - \alpha)F_{n-1}^1(z) + \alpha f_k(F_{n-1}^1(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly all $F_n^1, F_n^2, \dots, F_n^k$ are integral functions. Throughout this paper we assume that maximum modulus functions of f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k and all their generalised iterated functions satisfy the property P .

The main purpose of this paper is to extend the results of Lahiri [4] for generalised iterated integral functions.

2. Lemmas

The following lemmas will be needed to prove our results.

Lemma 2.1. [3] *Let $f(z)$ be an integral function. For $0 \leq r < R < \infty$, we have*

$$T(r, f) \leq \log^+ M(r, f) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} T(R, f).$$

Putting $R = 2r$, for large values of r , we have

$$T(r, f) \leq \log M(r, f) \leq 3T(2r, f).$$

Lemma 2.2. [5] *Let f be an integral function of finite lower order. If there exist integral functions a_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n; n \leq \infty$) satisfying $T(r, a_i) = o\{T(r, f)\}$ and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i, f) = 1 \text{ then } \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log M(r, f)} = \frac{1}{\pi}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [2] *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two integral functions with $g(0) = 0$. Let β satisfies $0 < \beta < 1$ and $C(\beta) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4\beta}$. Then for $r > 0$*

$$M(r, f \circ g) \geq M(C(\beta) M(\beta r, g), f).$$

Further if $g(z)$ is any integral function, then with $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, for sufficiently large values of r

$$M(r, f \circ g) \geq M\left(\frac{1}{8} M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g\right) - |g(0)|, f\right).$$

Clearly

$$M(r, f \circ g) \geq M\left(\frac{1}{16} M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g\right), f\right). \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand the opposite inequality

$$M(r, f \circ g) \leq M(M(r, g), f) \tag{2.2}$$

is obvious.

Lemma 2.4. [6] Let $f(z)$ be transcendental integral function, $g(z)$ a transcendental integral function of finite order, η a constant satisfying $0 < \eta < 1$, and α is a positive number. Then we have

$$T(r, fg) + O(1) \geq N(r, 0, fg) \geq \log \frac{1}{\eta} \left[\frac{N \left\{ M \left((\eta r)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, g \right), 0, f \right\}}{\log M \left((\eta r)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, g \right) - O(1)} \right] - O(1)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ through all values.

3. Main Results

As an extension of Theorem 1.5 we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k be non-constant integral functions such that $\lambda_{f_k} < \lambda_{f_1} \leq \rho_{f_1} < \infty$. Then for $n = km, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_1)} = 0.$$

Proof. Since $\lambda_{f_k} < \lambda_{f_1}$, we can choose $\varepsilon (> 0)$ such that $\lambda_{f_k} + \varepsilon < \lambda_{f_1} - \varepsilon$. Also for all large values of r , $r^{\lambda_{f_1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} < T(r, f_1)$ and for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity $\log M(r, f_k) < r^{\lambda_{f_k} + \varepsilon}$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F_n^1) &\leq \log M(r, F_n^1) \\ &= \log M \left\{ r, (1 - \alpha) F_{n-1}^2 + \alpha f_1 (F_{n-1}^2) \right\} \\ &\leq \log M(r, F_{n-1}^2) + \log M(r, f_1 (F_{n-1}^2)) + O(1) \\ &\leq \log M(r, F_{n-1}^2) + \log M(M(r, F_{n-1}^2), f_1) + O(1) \\ &\leq \log M(r, F_{n-1}^2) + \{M(r, F_{n-1}^2)\}^{\rho_{f_1} + \varepsilon} + O(1) \\ &\leq M(r, F_{n-1}^2) + \{M(r, F_{n-1}^2)\}^{\rho_{f_1} + \varepsilon} + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \log T(r, F_n^1) &\leq \log M(r, F_{n-1}^2) + (\rho_{f_1} + \varepsilon) \log M(r, F_{n-1}^2) + O(1) \\ &\leq (1 + \rho_{f_1} + \varepsilon) \log M(r, F_{n-1}^2) + O(1) \\ &\leq (1 + \rho_{f_1} + \varepsilon) \left[M(r, F_{n-2}^3) + \{M(r, F_{n-2}^3)\}^{\rho_{f_2} + \varepsilon} \right] + O(1) \text{ .using (3.1)} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[2]} T(r, F_n^1) &\leq \log M(r, F_{n-2}^3) + (\rho_{f_2} + \varepsilon) \log M(r, F_{n-2}^3) + O(1) \\ &\leq (1 + \rho_{f_2} + \varepsilon) \log M(r, F_{n-2}^3) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\log^{[km-1]} T(r, F_n^1) \leq (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \log M(r, F_{n-(km-1)}^k) + O(1).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1) &\leq (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \log M(r, F_1^k) + O(1) \\ &= (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \log M(r, (1 - \alpha)z + \alpha f_k) + O(1) \\ &\leq (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \{ \log M(r, z) + \log M(r, f_k) \} + O(1) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$= (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \{ \log r + \log M(r, f_k) \} + O(1) \tag{3.3}$$

$$\leq (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \{ \log r + r^{\lambda_{f_k} + \varepsilon} \} + O(1) \text{ for a sequence}$$

of values of $r = r_s \rightarrow \infty$.

So for a sequence of values of $r = r_s$ tending to infinity we obtain

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_1)} < \frac{(1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \{ \log r + r^{\lambda_{f_k} + \varepsilon} \} + O(1)}{r^{\lambda_{f_1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}$$

and hence

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_1)} = 0.$$

This proves the theorem.

Note 3.2. The conditions of Theorem 3.1 are not strictly sharp, which follows from the following example.

Example 3.3. Let $f_1 = f_2 = \dots = f_k = z$. Then $F_n^1(z) = z$ for every n . In this case $\lambda_{f_1} = \lambda_{f_2} = \dots = \lambda_{f_k} = 0$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_1)} &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, z)}{T(r, z)} \\ &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} \log r}{\log r} \\ &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n]} r}{\log r} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The following four theorems are extensions of Theorem 1.7, Theorem 1.8, Theorem 1.9 and Theorem 1.10 of Lahiri [4].

Theorem 3.4. *Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k be non-constant integral functions such that $0 < \lambda_{f_1} < \lambda_{f_k} < \infty$. Then for $n = km, m \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_1)} = \infty.$$

Proof. Since $\lambda_{f_1} < \lambda_{f_k}$, we can choose $\varepsilon (> 0)$ such that $\lambda_{f_1} + \varepsilon < \lambda_{f_k} - \varepsilon$. Also for all large values of r , $r^{\lambda_{f_k} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} < T(r, f_k)$.

Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T(r, F_n^1) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{3} \log M\left(\frac{r}{2}, F_n^1\right) \\ & = \frac{1}{3} \log M\left(\frac{r}{2}, (1-\alpha)F_{n-1}^2 + \alpha f_1(F_{n-1}^2)\right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{3} \log \left\{ \alpha M\left(\frac{r}{2}, f_1(F_{n-1}^2)\right) - (1-\alpha)M\left(\frac{r}{2}, F_{n-1}^2\right) \right\} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{3} \log \left\{ \alpha M\left(\frac{1}{16}M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, F_{n-1}^2\right), f_1\right) - (1-\alpha)M\left(\frac{r}{2}, F_{n-1}^2\right) \right\}, \text{ using (2.1)} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \log M\left(\frac{1}{16}M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, F_{n-1}^2\right), f_1\right) - \log M\left(\frac{r}{2}, F_{n-1}^2\right) \right\} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \log T(r, F_n^1) \\ & \geq \log \log M\left(\frac{1}{16}M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, F_{n-1}^2\right), f_1\right) - \log \log M\left(\frac{r}{2}, F_{n-1}^2\right) + O(1) \\ & \geq (\lambda_{f_1} - \varepsilon) \log \left(\frac{1}{16}M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, F_{n-1}^2\right)\right) - \log \log M\left(\frac{r}{2}, F_{n-1}^2\right) + O(1) \\ & > (\lambda_{f_1} - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, F_{n-1}^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_1} - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, F_{n-1}^2\right) + O(1), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{by property P} \\ & = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_1} - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, F_{n-1}^2\right) + O(1) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_1} - \varepsilon) T\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, F_{n-1}^2\right) + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log^{[2]} T(r, F_n^1) &> \log T\left(\frac{r}{2^2}, F_{n-1}^2\right) + O(1) \\
 &> \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_2} - \varepsilon) T\left(\frac{r}{2^4}, F_{n-2}^3\right) + O(1), \text{ using (3.4)}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log^{[3]} T(r, F_n^1) &> \log T\left(\frac{r}{2^4}, F_{n-2}^3\right) + O(1) \\
 &> \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_3} - \varepsilon) T\left(\frac{r}{2^6}, F_{n-3}^4\right) + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log^{[km-1]} T(r, F_n^1) &> \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_{k-1}} - \varepsilon) T\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(km-1)}}, F_{n-(km-1)}^k\right) + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1) &\geq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_{k-1}} - \varepsilon) T\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-1)}}, F_1^k\right) + O(1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_{k-1}} - \varepsilon) T\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-1)}}, (1 - \alpha)z + \alpha f_k\right) + O(1) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_{k-1}} - \varepsilon) \left\{ T\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-1)}}, f_k\right) - T\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-1)}}, z\right) \right\} + O(1) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_{k-1}} - \varepsilon) \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-1)}}\right)^{\lambda_{f_k} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} - \log\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-1)}}\right) \right\} + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity $T(r, f_1) < r^{\lambda_{f_1} + \varepsilon}$.

So,

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_1)} > \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{f_{k-1}} - \varepsilon) \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-1)}}\right)^{\lambda_{f_k} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} - \log\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-1)}}\right) \right\} + O(1)}{r^{\lambda_{f_1} + \varepsilon}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_1)} = \infty.$$

Hence the proof.

Theorem 3.5. *Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k be non-constant integral functions such that $\rho_{f_1}, \rho_{f_2}, \dots, \rho_{f_k}$ are finite. Then for $n = km, m \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} \leq 3(1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}}) 2^{\rho_{f_k}}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon (0 < \varepsilon < 1)$ be arbitrary. Also for all large values of $r, r^{\lambda_{f_k} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} < T(r, f_k)$.

From (3.3) we have

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1) \leq (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \{ \log r + \log M(r, f_k) \} + O(1).$$

Hence

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \{ \log r + \log M(r, f_k) \} + O(1)}{T(r, f_k)}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} \\ & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \log r + O(1)}{r^{\lambda_{f_k} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} + \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \log M(r, f_k)}{T(r, f_k)} \\ & = (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}}) \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f_k)}{T(r, f_k)}, \text{ since } \varepsilon \text{ is arbitrary.} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\rho_{f_k}(r)$ be a proximate order of $f_k(z)$ relative to $T(r, f_k)$. Since

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f_k)}{r^{\rho_{f_k}(r)}} = 1,$$

it follows that for all large values of r and given $\varepsilon (0 < \varepsilon < 1)$

$$T(r, f_k) < (1 + \varepsilon) r^{\rho_{f_k}(r)}.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 for all large values of r ,

$$\log M(r, f_k) \leq 3T(2r, f_k) < 3(1 + \varepsilon) (2r)^{\rho_{f_k}(2r)}$$

and so for large values of r

$$\log M(r, f_k) < 3(1 + \varepsilon) \frac{(2r)^{\rho_{f_k} + \delta}}{(2r)^{\rho_{f_k} + \delta - \rho_{f_k}(2r)}},$$

where $\delta (> 0)$ is arbitrary.

Since $(r)^{\rho_{f_k} + \delta - \rho_{f_k}(r)}$ is an increasing function of r , it follows that for all large r

$$\log M(r, f_k) < 3(1 + \varepsilon) 2^{\rho_{f_k} + \delta} r^{\rho_{f_k}(r)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Again for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity we have

$$T(r, f_k) > (1 - \varepsilon) r^{\rho_{f_k}(r)}. \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.6) and (3.7) we get for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity

$$\log M(r, f_k) < 3 \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{(1 - \varepsilon)} 2^{\rho_{f_k} + \delta} T(r, f_k).$$

Therefore

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f_k)}{T(r, f_k)} \leq 3 \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{(1 - \varepsilon)} 2^{\rho_{f_k} + \delta}.$$

Since $\delta (> 0)$ and $\varepsilon (0 < \varepsilon < 1)$ are arbitrary, it follows that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f_k)}{T(r, f_k)} \leq 3.2^{\rho_{f_k}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence from (3.5) and (3.8) we get

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} \leq 3(1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}}) 2^{\rho_{f_k}}.$$

This proves the theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k be non-constant integral functions such that $\rho_{f_{k-1}}$ and λ_{f_k} are finite. Also suppose that there exist integral functions $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; n \leq \infty$) such that (i) $T(r, a_i(z)) = o\{T(r, f_k)\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), f_k) = 1$. Then for $n = km, m \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} \leq \pi(1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}}).$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon (0 < \varepsilon < 1)$ be arbitrary. Also for all large values of $r, r^{\lambda_{f_k} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} < T(r, f_k)$.

From (3.3) we have

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1) \leq (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \{\log r + \log M(r, f_k)\} + O(1).$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \{\log r + \log M(r, f_k)\} + O(1)}{T(r, f_k)}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} \\ & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \log r + O(1)}{r^{\lambda_{f_k} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} + \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}} + \varepsilon) \log M(r, f_k)}{T(r, f_k)} \\ & \leq (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}}) \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f_k)}{T(r, f_k)}, \text{ since } \varepsilon \text{ is arbitrary.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Therefore using Lemma 2.2 in (3.9) we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} \leq \pi (1 + \rho_{f_{k-1}}).$$

This proves the theorem.

Theorem 3.7. Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k be transcendental integral functions such that

- (i) $\rho_{f_k} < \infty$ and the hyper lower order of $f_k(z)$, $\bar{\lambda}_{f_k}$ is positive
- (ii) $\lambda_{f_1} > 0$ and
- (iii) $\delta(0, f_1) < 1$.

Then for $n = km, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} = \infty.$$

Proof. Proceeding as Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\log^{[km-2]} T(r, F_n^1) > \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{f_{k-2}} - \varepsilon) T\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(km-2)}}, F_{n-(km-2)}^{k-1}\right) + O(1).$$

So, $\log^{[n-2]} T(r, F_n^1)$

$$\begin{aligned} & > \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{f_{k-2}} - \varepsilon) T\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, F_2^{k-1}\right) + O(1) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{f_{k-2}} - \varepsilon) T\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, (1 - \alpha) F_1^k + \alpha f_1(F_1^k)\right) + O(1) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{f_{k-2}} - \varepsilon) \left\{ T\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_1(F_1^k)\right) - T\left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, F_1^k\right) \right\} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \log^{[n-2]} T(r, F_n^1) \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{f_{k-2}} - \varepsilon) \left[\log \frac{1}{\eta} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \frac{N \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), 0, f_1 \right\}}{\log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) - O(1)} - O(1) - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, F_1^k \right) \left. \right] + O(1) \\
 & \geq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{f_{k-2}} - \varepsilon) \left[\log \frac{1}{\eta} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \frac{N \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), 0, f_1 \right\}}{\log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) - O(1)} - O(1) - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, z \right) - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) \left. \right] + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta(0, f_1) < 1$, for given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence of values of r tending to infinity for which $\frac{N(r, 0, f_1)}{T(r, f_1)} > 1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon > 0$.

So, $\log^{[n-2]} T(r, F_n^1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \geq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{f_{k-2}} - \varepsilon) \left[\log \frac{1}{\eta} \right. \\
 & \quad (1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} - \log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) \left. \right] O(1) \\
 & \times \frac{O(1)}{\log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) - O(1)} \\
 & + \frac{O(1)}{\log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) - O(1)} - \log \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) \left. \right] + O(1) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{f_{k-2}} - \varepsilon) \left[\log \frac{1}{\eta} \right. \\
 & \quad (1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} - \log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) \left. \right] O(1) \\
 & \times \frac{O(1)}{\log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) - O(1)} \\
 & + o(1) - \log \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) \left. \right] + O(1) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{f_{k-2}} - \varepsilon) \left[\log \frac{1}{\eta} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} - \log M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) O(1) \\
& \times \frac{\log M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) - O(1)}{\log M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) - O(1)} \\
& - \log \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) + O(1). \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned}
\log M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) &= \log M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, (1 - \alpha)z + f_k \right) \\
&\leq \log M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, z \right) + \log M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, f_k \right) + O(1) \\
&\leq \log \left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} + \left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{\rho_{f_k} + \varepsilon}{1+\alpha}} + O(1). \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.11) in (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log^{[n-2]} T(r, F_n^1) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{f_{k-2}} - \varepsilon) \lceil \log \frac{1}{\eta} \\
& \quad (1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} - \log M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) O(1) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\log M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) - O(1)}{\left\{ \log \left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} + \left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{\rho_{f_k} + \varepsilon}{1+\alpha}} \right\} \{1 - o(1)\}} \\
& \quad - \log \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

So for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1) \\
& \geq \log^{[2]} \frac{1}{\eta} \\
& \quad + \log \left[\frac{(1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} - \log M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) O(1)}{\left\{ \log \left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} + \left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{\rho_{f_k} + \varepsilon}{1+\alpha}} \right\} \{1 - o(1)\}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \log^{[2]} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} - \log T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) \right] + O(1). \\
& = \log^{[2]} \frac{1}{\eta} + \log \left[\frac{(1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\}}{\left\{ \log \left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} + \left(\eta_{2^{2(n-2)}} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{\rho_{f_k} + \varepsilon}{1+\alpha}} \right\}} \right] \{1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{\log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) O(1)}{(1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\}} \Big] - \log^{[2]} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \\
 & - \log T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) + O(1) \\
 & = \log^{[2]} \frac{1}{\eta} + \log(1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) + \log T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} \\
 & - \log \left\{ \log \left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} + \left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{\rho_{f_k} + \varepsilon}{1+\alpha}} \right\} + \log[1 - \\
 & \frac{\log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) O(1)}{(1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) N_1 \log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right)} \Big] \\
 & - \log^{[2]} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} - \log T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) + O(1) \\
 & \geq O(\log r) + \log T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} + \log[1 - \\
 & \frac{\log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) O(1)}{(1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\}} \Big] - \log^{[2]} \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \\
 & - \log T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) + O(1) \\
 & \geq O(\log r) + \log T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} + \log[1 - \\
 & \frac{\log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) O(1)}{(1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\}} \Big] - \log \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \\
 & - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) + O(1) \tag{3.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since f_1 is transcendental, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f_1)}{\log r} = \infty$, and so for given positive number N_1 , however large, and for all large values of r , $T(r, f_1) > N_1 \log r$. Therefore from (3.12) for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1) \\
& \geq O(1) + O(\log r) + \log T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} + \log \left[1 - \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{\log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) O(1)}{(1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) N_1 \log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right)} \right] - \log \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \\
& \quad - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right) \\
& \geq O(1) + O(\log r) + \log T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} + \log \left[1 - \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{O(1)}{(1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) N_1} \right] - \log \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where N_1 is so large that

$$1 - \frac{O(1)}{(1 - \delta(0, f_1) - \varepsilon) N_1} > 0.$$

Therefore, for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1) \\
& \geq O(1) + O(\log r) + \log T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} \\
& \quad - \log \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right). \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Again since $f_1(z)$ is of positive lower order λ_{f_1} , we get for all large values of r and for $0 < M_1 < \lambda_{f_1}$,

$$\log T(r, f_1) > M_1 \log r.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Hence } \log T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} \\
& > M_1 \log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right) \\
& = M_1 \log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, (1 - \alpha)z + \alpha f_k \right) \\
& \geq M_1 \left\{ \log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, f_k \right) - \log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, z \right) \right\} + O(1) \\
& = M_1 \left\{ \log M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, f_k \right) - \log \left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} \right\} + O(1). \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Since f_k is of finite positive hyper lower order $\bar{\lambda}_{f_k}$, it follows for all large values of r that

$$\frac{\log \log \log M(r, f_k)}{\log r} > \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_{f_k}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \log M(r, f_k) > e^{r \frac{\bar{\lambda}_{f_k}}{2}}.$$

Hence from (3.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \log T \left\{ M \left(\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}, F_1^k \right), f_1 \right\} \\ & > M_1 \left\{ e^{\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{f_k}}{2(1+\alpha)}}} - \log \left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} \right\} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (3.13) we have for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity

$$\begin{aligned} & \log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1) \\ & \geq O(1) + O(\log r) + M_1 e^{\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{f_k}}{2(1+\alpha)}}} - M_1 \log \left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} \\ & \quad - \log \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} - T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also for all large values of r , $T(r, f_k) < r^{\rho_{f_k} + \varepsilon}$.

Therefore for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} \\ & \geq O(1) + \frac{O(\log r)}{T(r, f_k)} + M_1 \frac{e^{\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{f_k}}{2(1+\alpha)}}}}{T(r, f_k)} - M_1 \frac{\log \left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}}{T(r, f_k)} \\ & \quad - \frac{\log \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}}{T(r, f_k)} - \frac{T \left(\frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}}, f_k \right)}{T(r, f_k)}. \\ & \geq O(1) + M_1 \frac{e^{\left(\eta \frac{r}{2^{2(n-2)}} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{f_k}}{2(1+\alpha)}}}}{r^{\rho_{f_k} + \varepsilon}} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, F_n^1)}{T(r, f_k)} = \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] Banerjee D. and Mondal N., Growth of generalized iterated entire functions, *Bulletin of the Allahabad Mathematical Society*, 27(2) (2012), 239-254.
- [2] Clunie J., The composition of entire and meromorphic functions, *Mathematical Essays dedicated to Macintyre*, Ohio Univ. Press, (1970), 75-92.
- [3] Hayman W. K., *Meromorphic Functions*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1964).
- [4] Lahiri I., Growth of composite integral functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 20(9) (1989), 899-907.
- [5] Lin Q. and Dai C., On a conjecture of Shah concerning small functions, *Kexue Tong (English Ed.)*, 31(4) (1986), 220-224.
- [6] Ninno K. and Suita N., Growth of a composite function of entire functions, *Kodai Math. J.*, 3 (1980), 374-379.
- [7] Singh A. P., Growth of composite entire functions, *Kodai Math. J.*, 8 (1985), 99-102.
- [8] Zhou Z. Z., *Kodai Math. J.*, 9 (1986), 419-20.