

## SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

**S. Sunil Varma and Thomas Rosy**

Department of Mathematics,  
Madras Christian College, Tambaram,  
Chennai - 600059, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

E-mail : sunilvarma@mcc.edu.in, thomas.rosy@gmail.com

(Received: Sep. 30, 2020 Accepted: Apr. 10, 2021 Published: Apr. 30, 2021)

**Abstract:** In this paper, sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions defined on unit disk to be in the subclasses of close-to-convex, close-to-star and quasi-convex functions are obtained.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Normalized, analytic, close-to-convex, close-to-star, quasi-convex functions.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45.

### 1. Introduction and Definitions

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of all analytic functions  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  which are normalized by  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . Then

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  containing univalent functions. The well known Bieberbach conjecture [3] says that for functions  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  of the form (1),  $|a_n| \leq n$ ,  $\forall n \geq 2$ . This was settled positively by Louis de Branges [2] and henceforth is known as “de Branges Theorem.” However, in attempting to prove this result, researchers had defined various subclasses of  $\mathcal{S}$  and had verified the same. Some of the standard subclasses of  $\mathcal{S}$  introduced and studied for this purpose were subclasses

of starlike, convex, close-to-convex, close-to-star, quasi convex functions and so on. Details about these subclasses can be found in [3, 4]. Sufficient conditions for functions in the class  $\mathcal{S}$  to belong to these standard subclasses are well known which are also necessary for those functions with negative coefficients [7].

Let us now recall certain standard subclasses of the class  $\mathcal{S}$  from [3] which will be useful in this present work. Functions  $g \in \mathcal{S}$  which maps the unit disk  $\Delta$  onto a starlike domain are called starlike functions and analytically they satisfy  $\Re\left(\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0, z \in \Delta$ . Functions  $g \in \mathcal{S}$  which maps the unit disk  $\Delta$  onto a convex domain are called convex functions and analytically they satisfy  $\Re\left(1 + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)}\right) > 0, z \in \Delta$ . Alexander's Theorem [3] states that  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  is convex if and only if  $zf'$  is starlike. Thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the subclass of convex functions and that of starlike functions. A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be close-to-convex if there is a starlike function  $g \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0, z \in \Delta$ . Close-to-convex functions are univalent, but the converse need not be true. Thus in order to establish the univalence of a function in the class  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is enough to show that it is close-to-convex.

Obtaining sufficiency conditions for the membership of a function in the standard subclasses is an interesting problem. In [1] Bharanedhar *et.al.* had developed certain sufficient conditions for univalence and close - to - convexity of normalised analytic functions.

In this paper, we derive certain sufficiency conditions for functions in the class  $\mathcal{A}$  to be close-to-convex, close-to-star and quasi-convex in terms of certain differential inequality involving functions in the class  $\mathcal{A}$  and functions in subclasses of starlike and convex functions. We also establish the corresponding conditions of sufficiency in terms of coefficient inequalities,

**Definition 1.1.** [8] For  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , a function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  is said to be in the subclass  $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda g}$  if there is a function  $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$  such that

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \lambda)g(z) + \lambda zg'(z)}\right) > 0, z \in \Delta.$$

**Remark 1.1.** [8] The class  $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda g}$  is a subclass of close-to-convex functions.

**Remark 1.2.** When  $\lambda = 0$ , the class  $\mathcal{K}_{\lambda g}$  reduces to the subclass  $\mathcal{K}$  of close-to-convex functions.

**Definition 1.2.** [6] A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in \Delta - \{0\}$  is called a

close-to-star function if there exists a univalent starlike function  $g : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  not necessarily normalized, such that

$$\Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

**Definition 1.3.** [5] Let  $f$  be an analytic function in  $\Delta$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . Then  $f$  is said to be quasi-convex in  $\Delta$  if there exists a convex function  $g$  with  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g'(0) = 1$  such that for  $z \in \Delta$ ,

$$\Re\frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} > 0, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

The class of quasi-convex functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**Remark 1.3.** [5] Every quasi-convex function is close-to-convex and hence quasi-convex functions form a subclass of the class of close-to-convex functions.

**Remark 1.4.** [5]  $f$  is quasi-convex if and only if  $zf'$  is close-to-convex.

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g$  be a convex univalent function defined on  $\Delta$ . If

$$|f'(z) + \lambda zf''(z) - (g'(z) + \lambda zg''(z))| < m$$

where  $m = \inf_{z \in \Delta} |g'(z) + \lambda zg''(z)|$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\lambda g}$ .

**Proof.** By hypothesis,

$$|f'(z) + \lambda zf''(z) - (g'(z) + \lambda zg''(z))| < m.$$

Then

$$|f'(z) + \lambda zf''(z) - (g'(z) + \lambda zg''(z))| < |g'(z) + \lambda zg''(z)|.$$

Equivalently,

$$\left| \frac{f'(z) + \lambda zf''(z)}{g'(z) + \lambda zg''(z)} - 1 \right| < 1$$

which implies  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\lambda g}$ .

When  $\lambda = 0$ , we obtain the following result of Bharanedhar *et al.*

**Corollary 2.1.** [1] Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g$  be a convex univalent function in  $\Delta$  such that  $m = \inf_{z \in \Delta} |g'(z)|$ . If

$$|f'(z) - g'(z)| < m, \quad z \in \Delta$$

then  $f$  is close-to-convex with respect to  $g$  in  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be a convex univalent function defined on the unit disk  $\Delta$ . If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\lambda n^2 + (1 - \lambda)n] |a_n - b_n| < m - |1 - b_1|$$

where  $m = \inf_{z \in \Delta} |g'(z) + \lambda z g''(z)|$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\lambda g}$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to show that  $|f'(z) + \lambda z f''(z) - (g'(z) + \lambda z g''(z))|$  is bounded above by  $m$ . Substituting the Taylor's series for  $f$  and  $g$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |f'(z) + \lambda z f''(z) - (g'(z) + \lambda z g''(z))| \\ &= \left| 1 - b_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + \lambda n(n - 1)] (a_n - b_n) z^{n-1} \right| \\ &\leq |1 - b_1| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\lambda n^2 + (1 - \lambda)n] |a_n - b_n| < m. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1,  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\lambda g}$ .

When  $\lambda = 0$  we get the following result obtained by Bharanedhar *et.al.*

**Corollary 2.2.** [1] Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be a convex univalent function in  $\Delta$  with  $m = \inf_{z \in \Delta} |g'(z)|$ . If

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n - b_n| < m - |1 - b_1|$$

then  $f$  is close-to-convex in  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g$  be a convex univalent function in  $\Delta$ . If

$$|f(z) - z g'(z)| < m, \quad z \in \Delta$$

where  $m = \inf_{z \in \Delta} |z g'(z)|$  then  $f$  is close-to-star with respect to  $g$  in  $\Delta$ .

**Proof.** The inequality

$$|f(z) - z g'(z)| < m, \quad z \in \Delta$$

together with  $m$  satisfying the condition in the hypothesis gives

$$|f(z) - z g'(z)| < |z g'(z)|, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

This implies

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z g'(z)} - 1 \right| < 1, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Now,  $g$  being convex, by Alexander's Theorem,  $zg'$  is starlike and hence  $f$  is close-to-star in  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be an analytic, convex and univalent function on  $\Delta$ . If*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - nb_n| < m - |1 - b_1|$$

where  $m = \inf_{z \in \Delta} |zg(z)|$  then  $f$  is close-to-star in  $\Delta$ .

**Proof.** Using the Taylor's series expansions of  $f$  and  $g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(z) - zg'(z)| \\ &= |1 - b_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - nb_n) z^n| \\ &\leq |1 - b_1| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - nb_n| \\ &< m. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.3, it follows that  $f$  is close-to-star in  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g \in S^*$  in  $\Delta$  such that  $m = \inf_{z \in \Delta} |g(z)|$ . If*

$$|z^2 f''(z) + zf'(z) - g(z)| < m, \text{ for } z \in \Delta$$

then  $f$  is quasi-convex with respect to  $g$ .

**Proof.** By hypothesis,

$$|z^2 f''(z) + zf'(z) - g(z)| < |g(z)|, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

from which it follows that

$$\left| \frac{z^2 f''(z) + zf'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

which implies  $\Re\left(\frac{z(zf'(z))'}{g(z)}\right) > 0$ . Hence  $f$  is quasi-convex with respect to  $g$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  be a starlike univalent function in  $\Delta$  such that  $m = \inf_{z \in \Delta} |g(z)|$ . If*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n^2 a_n - b_n| < m - |b_1|$$

then  $f$  is quasi-convex in  $\Delta$ .

**Proof.** Substituting the Taylor's series expansion of  $f$  and  $g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z) - g(z)| \\ &= |b_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n(n-1) + n)a_n - b_n| \\ &\leq |b_1| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n^2 a_n - b_n| \\ &< m. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by Theorem 2.5.

### References

- [1] Bharanedhar, S. V., Obradovic, M. and Ponnusamy, S., Coefficient criteria for univalent and close-to-convex functions, *Interdisciplinary Information Sciences*, 19(2) (2013), 157-161.
- [2] De Branges, L., A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, *Acta Mathematica*, 154 (1-2) (1985), 137-152.
- [3] Duren, P. L., *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 259, New York, Berlin, Hiedelberg, Tokyo, Springer-Verlag, (1983).
- [4] Goodman, A. W., *Univalent Functions*, Volumes I , II, Mariner, Tampa, Florida, (1983).
- [5] Noor, K. I., and Thomas, D. K., Quasi-convex univalent functions, *Int. J. Math and Math. Sci.*, 3(2) (1980), 255-266.
- [6] Reade, M. O., On close-to-convex functions, *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 3(1) (1955), 59-62.
- [7] Silverman, H., Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proceedings of American Mathematical Society*, 51 (1975), 109-116.
- [8] Usna Banu, B and Youvaraj, G. P., A class of univalent close-to-convex functions, *Mathematica*, Tome, 56(79), No 1. (2014), 14-20.