ON SOME SIGNED GRAPHS OF FINITE GROUPS

R. Rajendra, P. Siva Kota Reddy* and V. M. Siddalingaswamy**

Department of Mathematics, Mangalore University, Mangalagangothri, Mangaluru -574 199, INDIA. E-mail: rrajendrar@gmail.com

*Department of Mathematics Siddaganga Institute of Technology B. H. Road, Tumkur-572 103, INDIA. E-mail: reddy math@yahoo.com; pskreddy@sit.ac.in

**Department of Mathematics J. S. S. Academy of Technical Education Uttarahalli-Kengeri Main Road, Bangalore-560 060, INDIA. E-mail: swamyvms@yahoo.com

(Received: July 8, 2018)

Abstract: In this paper, we define two signed graphs namely, the order prime signed graph $OPS(\Gamma)$ and the general order prime signed graph $GOPS(\Gamma)$ of a given finite group Γ of order n. We discuss some properties of these two signed graphs.

Keywords and Phrases: Graph, group, order prime graph, general order prime graph, signed graph, order prime signed graph, general order prime signed graph.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C22, 05C25.

1. Introduction

For standard terminology and notion in group theory and graph theory, we refer the reader to the text-books of Herstein [3] and Harary [1] respectively. The non-standard will be given in this paper as and when required.

Throughout this paper, Γ denotes a finite group and the group of residue classes modulo n is denoted by \mathbb{Z}_n . The order of an element a in a group Γ is denoted by $o(a)$ and order of Γ is denoted by $o(\Gamma)$. The greatest common divisor (gcd) of two numbers x and y is denoted by (x, y) .

In [5], M. Sattanathan and R. Kala defined the order prime graphs of finite groups and studied some properties of order prime graphs. In [4], we have defined the general order prime graphs of finite groups and studied some properties.

We have concentrated on the commuting property of elements in finite nonabelian groups to define signed graphs associated with a finite group. In this paper, we define order prime signed graph and general order prime signed graph of a finite group and discuss some properties of these two signed graphs. We recall the definitions of order prime graph, general order prime graph and signed graph.

Definition 1.1. [5] The order prime graph $OP(\Gamma)$ of a finite group Γ of order n is defined as a graph with the vertex set $V(OP(\Gamma)) = \Gamma$ and two vertices a and b are adjacent in $OP(\Gamma)$ if and only if $(o(a), o(b)) = 1$.

Definition 1.2. [4] The general order prime graph $GOP(\Gamma)$ of a given finite group Γ of order n is defined as a graph with vertex set $V(GOP(\Gamma)) = \Gamma$ and any two vertices a and b are adjacent in $GOP(\Gamma)$ if and only if $(o(a), o(b)) = 1$ or p, where p is a prime and $p < n$.

Note: We do not consider self-loops in $GOP(\Gamma)$ though in some cases we have, for some $a \in \Gamma$, $(o(a), o(a)) = 1$ or a prime p, $p < n$.

Definition 1.3. [2,6] A signed graph is an ordered pair $S = (G, \sigma)$, where $G =$ (V, E) is a graph called underlying graph of S and $\sigma : E \to \{+, -\}$ is a function.

A signed graph $S = (G, \sigma)$ is balanced if every cycle in S has an even number of negative edges [2]. Equivalently, a signed graph is balanced if product of signs of the edges on every cycle of S is positive.

2. Order prime signed graphs

Definition 2.1. The order prime signed graph $OPS(\Gamma)$ of a finite group Γ is the signed graph $((OP(\Gamma), \sigma)$ where the function $\sigma : E(OP(\Gamma)) \to \{+, -\}$ is given by

$$
\sigma((a,b)) = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } ab = ba; \\ -, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

By the Definition 2.1, it is obvious that, if a group Γ is abelian then all the edges of $OPS(\Gamma)$ are of '+' sign and in this case $OPS(\Gamma)$ is a balanced signed graph. If OPS(Γ) contains at least one edge with ' $-$ ' sign, then the group Γ is non-abelian. But the converse of this statement is not true in general. For non-abelian groups of prime power order all the edges of $OPS(Γ)$ will be of '+' sign.

Example 2.2. Consider the group \mathbb{Z}_6 under the operation addition modulo 6. The

corresponding order prime signed graph $OPS(\mathbb{Z}_6)$ is shown in Fig 2.1.

Example 2.3. Consider the permutation group S_3 of 3 symbols. The corresponding order prime signed graph is shown in Fig 2.2.

 $S_3 = \{(1), (1\ 2), (1\ 3), (2\ 3), (1\ 2\ 3), (1\ 3\ 2)\}\$

Fig. 2.2. $OPS(S_3)$

Remark: Order prime signed graph of a group need not always be a triangulated signed graph and also it need not be balanced.

The following results obtained for order prime signed graphs are anologous to the results concerning order prime graphs [5].

Proposition 2.4. If Γ is a group of order n, then $OPS(\Gamma)$ is a connected signed qraph and the maximum positive degree $\Delta^+(OPS(\Gamma)) = n - 1$.

Proposition 2.5. For any group Γ , the signed graph $OPS(\Gamma)$ is a complete graph with edges assigned '+' sign if and only if $o(\Gamma) = 2$.

Proposition 2.6. For any group Γ , the signed graph $OPS(\Gamma)$ can never be a unicyclic graph.

Notation: We denote a signed graph (G, σ) with all edges assigned '+' sign by G^+ .

Theorem 2.7. If Γ is a finite group of order $n = p^{\alpha}$ where p is a prime number and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $OPS(\Gamma) \cong K_{1,n-1}^+$.

Corollary 2.8. Let Γ be a finite group of order n. Then the signed graph $OPS(\Gamma)$ is a tree with all its edges assigned $\ddot{+}$ sign if and only if $n = p^{\alpha}$, where p is a prime number and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Remark: If Γ is an abelian group with order $o(\Gamma) = p^{\alpha}$ where p is a prime number $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $OPS(\Gamma)$ is a tree with all its edges assigned '+' sign. But the converse of this statement is not true, because abelian and non-abelian groups of same order p^{α} ($\alpha > 2$) have the same order prime signed graph, that is a tree $K_{1,p^{\alpha}-1}^{+}$ with all its edges assigned $+$ sign, because the identity element e commutes with every element of Γ.

Proposition 2.9. Let Γ be a finite cyclic group. Then $OPS(\Gamma)$ is a signed graph with all its edges assigned $\ddot{+}$ sign and has at least two pendent vertices.

Theorem 2.10. If Γ_1 , Γ_2 are two groups such that $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2$, then $OPS(\Gamma_1) \cong \Gamma_2$ $OPS(\Gamma_2)$.

Converse of the above Theorem 2.10 is not true in general. For, consider the groups \mathbb{Z}_4 and K_4 . Note that $OPS(\mathbb{Z}_4) \cong OPS(K_4) \cong K_{1,3}^+$, but \mathbb{Z}_4 and K_4 are not isomorphic.

Theorem 2.11. Let Γ be a group. Then $Aut(\Gamma) \subseteq Aut(OPS(\Gamma)).$

Note: The converse of the Theorem 2.11 is not true in general.

Theorem 2.12. Let Γ be a group. Suppose that the signed graph $OPS(\Gamma)$ has two adjacent vertices a, b such that $o(a)o(b) = o(\Gamma)$. Then the set $\{a, b\}$ is a generating set of Γ. Moreover, if the edge (a, b) has '+' sign if and only if Γ is abelian.

Theorem 2.13. Let Γ be an abelian group. Let $X \subseteq \Gamma$ be such that the graph induced by X is complete (in the sense of unsigned graph) in the signed graph $OPS(\Gamma)$ and the product of the order of all elements of X is same as of $o(\Gamma)$. Then X is a generating set of Γ .

Theorem 2.14. Let Γ be a group with $o(\Gamma) = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$ where p_i 's are prime numbers and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(1 \leq i \leq k)$. Then the signed graph $OPS(\Gamma)$ is a complete

 $(k + 1)$ -partite graph (in the sense of unsigned graph) if and only if $o(a) = p_i^j$ $_{i}^{\jmath},$ $\forall a \in \Gamma - \{e\}, \ 1 \leq i \leq k \ and \ 1 \leq j \leq n_i.$

3. General order prime signed graphs

Definition 3.1. The order prime signed graph $GOPS(\Gamma)$ of a finite group Γ is the signed graph $((GOP(\Gamma), \sigma)$ where the function $\sigma : E(GOP(\Gamma)) \to \{+, -\}$ is given by

$$
\sigma((a,b)) = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } ab = ba; \\ -, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

By the Definition 3.1, it is obvious that, if a group Γ is abelian then all the edges of $GOPS(\Gamma)$ are of '+' sign and so in this case $GOPS(\Gamma)$ is a balanced signed graph. If $GOPS(\Gamma)$ contains at least one edge with '−' sign, then the group Γ is non-abelian.

By the Definitions 2.1 and 3.1, it follows that for any finite group Γ , $OPS(\Gamma)$ is a subgraph of $GOPS(\Gamma)$. Since the identity element e is the only element of order 1 in any group Γ of order n, it follows that, the graph $OPS(\Gamma)$ and $GOPS(\Gamma)$ are connected. Also, $d^+(e) = n-1$ and the maximum positive degree $\Delta^+(GOPS(\Gamma)) =$ $\Delta^+(OPS(\Gamma)) = n-1.$

Proposition 3.2. If Γ is a group of prime order then $GOPS(\Gamma) =OPS(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Suppose that $o(\Gamma)$ is a prime number p. Then Γ is an abelian group and so all edges in $GOPS(\Gamma)$ and $OPS(\Gamma)$ are assigned '+' sign. Since the positive divisors of a prime p are 1 and p itself, and by the definitions of $GOPS(\Gamma)$ and $OPS(\Gamma)$, it follows that, $GOPS(\Gamma) =OPS(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 3.3. If Γ is a finite cyclic group and $OPS(\Gamma) = GOPS(\Gamma)$, then $o(\Gamma)$ is a prime number.

Proof. Suppose Γ is a finite cyclic group and $OPS(\Gamma) = GOPS(\Gamma)$. We claim that $o(\Gamma)$ is a prime number. If $o(\Gamma) = n$ is not a prime, then there exists a prime number $p (p < n)$ dividing $o(\Gamma) = n$ and by the Cauchys theorem for finite groups, Γ has an element *a* of order *p*. Since Γ is cyclic, there exists an element *b* in Γ with $o(b) = n$. Now $(o(a), o(b)) = (p, n) = p$. Therefore a and b are adjacent in $GOPS(\Gamma)$. But $GOPS(\Gamma) = OPS(\Gamma)$ and so p must be equal to 1, which is a contradiction. Hence n is a prime number.

By virtue of the Proposition 3.2 and the Theorem 3.3, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.4. An integer $n > 1$ is a prime number if and only if $OPS(\mathbb{Z}_n) =$ $GOPS(\mathbb{Z}_n)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let Γ be a finite group of order n. Then $GOPS(\Gamma) \cong K^+_{1,n-1}$ if and only if $o(\Gamma) = n$ is a prime number.

Proof. Suppose that $o(\Gamma) = p$ is a prime number. Then Γ is a cyclic group. Being a cyclic group, Γ is abelian and so all the edges in $GOPS(\Gamma)$ are assigned '+' sign. Let $\Gamma = \{e, a, a^2, \ldots, a^{p-1}\},\$ where e is the identity element and a is a generator of the group Γ. Note that in the group Γ, $o(e) = 1$ and $o(a^i) = p, 1 \le i \le p-1$. Hence $(o(e), o(a^i)) = 1$ and $(o(a^i), o(a^j)) = p$, $1 \leq i, j \leq p-1$. Therefore e is adjacent to a^i for all $i = 1, 2, ..., p - 1$ and a^i s are mutually non-adjacent in $GOPS(\Gamma)$ and hence $GOPS(\Gamma) \cong K^+_{1,p-1}.$

Conversely, suppose that $GOPS(\Gamma) \cong K^+_{1,n-1}$. Clearly, $GOP(\Gamma) - e$ is totally disconnected. We claim that $o(\Gamma) = n$ is a prime number. If n is not a prime number, then there exists a prime p dividing n. Since $p \mid n$, by the Cauchy's theorem for finite groups, there exists an element a in Γ such that $o(a) = p$. Now, for any element $x \neq e$ in Γ , $(o(a), o(x)) = 1$ or p. Hence a and x are adjacent in $GOP(\Gamma) - e$, which is a contradiction and so n is a prime number.

The following corollaries are immediate from the Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.6. Let Γ be a finite group of order n. Then $GOPS(\Gamma)$ is a tree (in which all edges are assigned '+' sign) if and only if $o(\Gamma)$ is a prime number.

Corollary 3.7. An integer $n > 1$ is prime if and only if $GOPS(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is a tree (in which all edges are assigned $\ddot{\ }$ sign).

References

- [1] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass, (1972).
- [2] F. Harary, On the notion of balance of a sigraph, *Michigan Math. J.*, $2(1953)$, 143-146.
- [3] I. N. Herstein, Topics in Algebra, John Wiley & Sons, (2003).
- [4] R. Rajendra, and P. Siva Kota Reddy, On general order prime graph of a finite group, *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.*, $17(4)$ (2014) , $641-644$.
- [5] M. Sattanathan, and R. Kala, An introduction to order prime graph, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 10(4) (2009), 467-474.
- [6] T. Zaslavsky, Signed graphs, Discrete Appl. Math., 4 (1982), 4774.