

Higher order derivative on meromorphic functions in terms of subordination

Sh. Najafzadeh

Department of Mathematics,
Payame Noor University, Iran
Email addresses: najafzadeh1234@yahoo.ie

Dedicated to Prof. Hari M. Srivastava on his 75th birth anniversary

Abstract: This paper investigates sharp coefficient bounds, integral representation, extreme point and operator properties of a certain class associated with functions which are meromorphic in the punctured unit disk.

keywords and phrases: Meromorphic function, Coefficient estimate, Extreme point, Integral representation, Integral operator.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 30C45, 30C50.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ denote the class of meromorphic functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the punctured unit disk $\Delta^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$.

For $f(z) \in \Sigma$, Ghanim and Darus [3] were defined a linear operator I^k ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ I^k f(z) &= z(I^{k-1} f(z))' + \frac{2}{z} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^k a_n z^n. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

For $A = B + (C - B)(1 - D)$, $-1 \leq B < C \leq 1$ and $0 \leq D < 1$, we let $\Sigma_{A,B}^K$ consists of function $f \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition

$$-\frac{zF^{(4)}(z)}{F'''(z)} < 4\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $F(z) = I^k f(z)$ is defined by (1.2).

For other subclass of meromorphic univalent functions, we can see the recent works of many authors in [1] and [2].

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we find sharp coefficient bounds and Integral representation for the class $\sum_{A,B}^k$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma$, then $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^k$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n-5+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]a_n < 24(C-B)(1-D). \quad (2.1)$$

The result is sharp for the function $G(z)$ given by (2.2)

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{24(C-B)(1-D)}{n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n-5+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]} z^n \quad (n = 3, 4, \dots)$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^k$, then the inequality (1.3) or equivalently

$$\left| \frac{zF^{(4)}(z) + 4F'''(z)}{zBF^{(4)}(z) + 4[B+(C-B)(1-D)]F'''(z)} \right| < 1,$$

holds true, therefore by making use of (1.2) we have

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)^2 a_n z^{n-3}}{-24(C-B)(1-D)z^{-4} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[n-3+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]a_n z^{n-3}} \right| < 1.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} z \leq |z|$ for all z , thus

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)^2 a_n z^{n-3}}{-24(C-B)(1-D)z^{-4} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[n-3+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]a_n z^{n-3}} \right\} < 1.$$

By letting $z \rightarrow 1$ through real values, we get the required result.

Conversely, let (2.1) holds true. If we let $z \in \partial\Delta^*$, where $\partial\Delta^*$ denotes the boundary of Δ^* , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{zF^{(4)}(z) + 4F'''(z)}{zBF^{(4)}(z) + 4[B+(C-B)(1-D)]F'''(z)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)^2 |a_n|}{24(C-B)(1-D) - \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[n-3+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))] |a_n|} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the maximum modulus Theorem we conclude $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^k$.
Now the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.2. If $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^k$, then

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \int_0^z \int_0^z \exp \int_0^z \frac{4(AW(z) - 1)}{z(1 - BW(z))} d\alpha d\beta d\gamma d\theta$$

where $A = B + (C - D)(1 - D)$ and $|W(z)| < 1$.

Proof. Since $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^k$, so (1.3) holds true or equivalently we have

$$\left| \frac{zF^{(4)}(z) + 4F'''(z)}{zBF^{(4)}(z) + 4AF'''(z)} \right| < 1,$$

where $A = B + (C - D)(1 - D)$. Hence

$$\frac{zF^{(4)}(z) + 4F'''(z)}{zBF^{(4)}(z) + 4AF'''(z)} = W(z),$$

where $|W(z)| < 1$, $z \in \Delta^*$. This yields

$$\frac{F^4(z)}{F'''(z)} = \frac{4(AW(z)) - 1}{z(1 - BW(z))}.$$

after four times integration we obtain the required result.

Remark. Theorem 2.1 shows that if $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^k$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{24(C - B)(1 - D)}{2 \times 3^{k+1}(1 + 4A)}, \quad n = 3, 4, \dots \quad (2.3)$$

where $A = B + (C - B)(1 - D)$.

3. EXTREME POINTS AND CONVEX LINEAR COMBINATION

Our next theorems involve extreme points and convex linear combination property.

Theorem 3.1. The function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) belongs to $\sum_{A,B}^k$ if and only if it can be expressed by

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} d_n f_n(z) \quad d_n \geq 0,$$

where $f_2(z) = z^{-1}$,

$$f_n(z) = z^{-1} + \frac{24(C - B)(1 - D)}{n^{k+1}(n - 1)(n - 2)[2n - 5 + 4(B + (C - B)(1 - D))]} z^n$$

$$(n = 3, 4, \dots \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} d_n = 1)$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} d_n f_n(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= d_2 f_2(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} d_n \left[z^{-1} + \frac{24(C-B)(1-D)}{n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n-5+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]} z^n \right] \\ &= z^{-1} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} \frac{24(C-B)(1-D)}{n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n-5+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]} d_n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Theorem 2.1 we conclude that $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^k$.

Conversely if $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $\sum_{A,B}^k$, by letting $d_2 = 1 - \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} d_n$ where

$$d_n = \frac{n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n-5+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]}{24(C-B)(1-D)} a_n \quad n = 3, 4, \dots$$

we conclude the required result.

Theorem 3.2. The class $\sum_{A,B}^k$ is closed under convex linear combination.

Proof. Suppose that the function $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ defined by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \quad j = 1, 2, \quad z \in \Delta^*$$

are in the class $\sum_{A,B}^k$. Setting

$$f(z) = \eta f_1(z) + (1 - \eta) f_2(z), \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1)$$

we obtain

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} (\eta a_{n,1} + (1 - \eta) a_{n,2}) z^n.$$

In the view of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n-5+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))](\eta a_{n,1}+(1-\eta)a_{n,2}) \\
&= \eta \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n-5+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]a_{n,1} \\
&+ (1-\eta) \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n-5+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]a_{n,2} \\
&< \eta[24(C-B)(1-D)] + (1-\eta)[24(C-B)(1-D)] \\
&= 24(C-B)(1-D),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

4. SPECIAL OPERATORS

The main objective of this section is to define two operators on the functions $f \in \sum_{A,B}^k$.

Furthermore, we verify properties of these operators.

For $f \in \sum_{A,B}^k$ we define

- (1) $\tau^\gamma(f(z)) = \gamma \int_0^1 u^\gamma f(uz) du, \quad \gamma > 1$
- (2) $L^*(a, c)f(z) = \tilde{\phi}(a, c; z) * f(z)$, where

$$\tilde{\phi}(a, c; z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{(a)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}} \right| a_n z^n, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\},$$

$(x)_n$ is the pochhammer symbol and " * " denotes the Hadamard product.

We note that $\tilde{\phi}(a, c; z) = \frac{1}{z} {}_2F_1(1, a, c; z)$ where

$${}_2F_1(b, a, c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(b)_n (a)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

is the well-known Gaussian hypergeometric function, see [4].

Theorem 4.1. *If $f \in \sum_{A,B}^k$ then $\tau^\gamma(f(z))$ and $L^*(a, c)f(z)$ are also in the same class.*

Proof. *By a simple calculation we conclude that*

$$\tau^\gamma(f(z)) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1+n} a_n z^n$$

and since $\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1+n}$, by theorem 2.1 we conclude the required result.
Also by using Hadamard product we obtain

$$L^*(a, c)f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{(a)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}} \right| a_n z^n,$$

and we easily conclude the result.

REFERENCES

1. M. K. Aouf, On certain class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficient, Rend. Math. Appl., 7(11), No. 2, 209-219 (1999)
2. N. E. Cho, S.H. Lee and S. Owa, A class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, Kobe J. Math., 4 (1), 43-50, (1987)
3. F. Ghanim and M. Darus, Subordinations for analytic functions defined by the linear operator, American Journal of Scientific Research, 2 (2009), 52-59.
4. F. Ghanim and M. Darus, Linear operators associated with a subclass of hypergeometric meromorphic uniformly convex functions, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 17 (2009), 49-60.