

SOME NEW SETS IN NANO SEMI-LOCAL FUNCTIONS

G. Selvi and I. Rajasekaran*

Saveetha School of Engineering,
Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences,
Saveetha University, Chennai - 602105, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

E-mail : mslalima11@gmail.com

*Department of Mathematics,
Tirunelveli Dakshina Mara Nadar Sangam College,
T. Kallikulam - 627113, Tirunelveli District, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

E-mail : sekarmelakkal@gmail.com

(Received: Dec. 04, 2023 Accepted: Dec. 25, 2024 Published: Dec. 30, 2024)

Abstract: Ongoing research focuses on utilizing the existing generalized classes in nano semi-local functions within the framework of ideals. As part of this effort, we have successfully constructed and introduced new concepts and notions related to specific sets that address and handle semi-ideals within the space $\mathbb{N}_*^X$. These new sets aim to expand the understanding and application of semi-ideal structures in this context.

Additionally, further investigations are being carried out to explore semi-ideal nano topological spaces by leveraging the generalized classes already established in $\mathbb{N}_*^X$. This research seeks to delve deeper into the properties, behavior, and potential applications of these spaces. Through this, we aim to develop a more comprehensive framework that integrates semi-ideal concepts into nano topology, thereby enriching the theoretical and practical dimensions of the field.

Keywords and Phrases: $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n$ -set, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n$ -set, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t^\#}^n$ -set, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha^\#}^n$ -set, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}^\#}^n$ -set, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\#}^n$ -set and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{SR}}^n$ -set.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A10, 54C05, 54C08, 54C10.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

R. Vaidyanathaswamy [17, 18] and K. Kuratowski [3] independently proposed the concept of ideals in this study. Lower approximation, upper approximation, and boundary are examined by Z. Pawlak [7], and M. Lellis Thivagar et al. [4] presented the ideas about weaker forms of $\mathbb{N}^X$. I. Rajasekaran (2023) recently introduced nano semi-local functions.

The following symbol is used by us throughout this paper: nano-open, nano-closed (resp. $n\text{-OS}$, $n\text{-CS}$) and $(U, \mathcal{N})$ we denote by $\mathbb{N}^X$, for an ideal nano topological spaces $(U, \mathbb{I}, \mathcal{N})$ we denote by $\mathbb{N}_*^X$.

In the current work, Further research is being conducted using the existing generalised classes in nano semi-local functions in ideal, and we have constructed and introduced the notions of some new sets that look at and deal with semi-ideal nano topological spaces. Further research is being carried out in semi-ideal nano topological spaces using the created generalised classes of $\mathbb{N}_*^X$.

Definition 1.1. Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and $\mathfrak{R}$ be an equivalence relation on U termed as indiscernibility relation. Then U is divided into disjoint equivalence classes. That is $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(X), U_{\mathfrak{R}}(X), B_{\mathfrak{R}}(X)$ where $L_{\mathfrak{R}}(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{\mathfrak{R}(x) : \mathfrak{R}(x) \subseteq X\}$ and $U_{\mathfrak{R}}(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{\mathfrak{R}(x) : \mathfrak{R}(x) \cap X \neq \phi\}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{R}}(X) = U_{\mathfrak{R}}(X) - L_{\mathfrak{R}}(X)$

Definition 1.2. Let U be the universe and $\mathfrak{R}$ be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}(X)} = \{U, \phi, L_{\mathfrak{R}}(X), U_{\mathfrak{R}}(X), B_{\mathfrak{R}}(X)\}$, where $X \subseteq U$ and $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}(X)}$ satisfies the following conditions

1. U and $\phi \in \tau_{\mathfrak{R}(X)}$;
2. The collection of elements of any sub-collection of $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}(X)}$ is in $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}(X)}$;
3. The intersection of the elements of any finite sub-collection of $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}(X)}$ in $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}(X)}$.
Thus $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}(X)}$ forms a topology on U . $(U, \tau_{\mathfrak{R}(X)})$ is called a nano topological space.

Example 1.3. Let $U = \{10, 20, 50, 70\}$ with $U/\mathfrak{R} = \{\{10\}, \{50\}, \{20, 70\}\}$ and $X = \{10, 20\}$. The nano topology is $\tau_{\mathfrak{R}(X)} = \{\phi, U, \{50\}, \{10, 50\}, \{20, 50, 70\}\}$

Abbreviation	Description
$\tau_R(X)$ or $\mathcal{N}$	Nano Topology
$A^*(U, \mathcal{N})$	Nano local function
$A^*(U, \mathcal{N}_S)$	Nano semi-local function
$n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$	Nano open set
$n\text{-}\mathcal{CS}$	Nano closed set
$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n\text{-set}$	Nano $t\text{-}\mathbb{I}_S\text{-set}$
$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n\text{-set}$	Nano $t_\alpha\text{-}\mathbb{I}_S\text{-set}$
$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n\text{-set}$	Nano $\mathcal{R}\text{-}\mathbb{I}_S\text{-set}$
$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n\text{-set}$	Nano $\mathcal{R}_\alpha\text{-}\mathbb{I}_S\text{-set}$
$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t^\#}^n\text{-set}$	Nano $t^\#\text{-}\mathbb{I}_S\text{-set}$
$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha^\#}^n\text{-set}$	Nano $t_\alpha^\#\text{-}\mathbb{I}_S\text{-set}$
$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}^\#}^n\text{-set}$	Nano $\mathcal{R}^\#\text{-}\mathbb{I}_S\text{-set}$
$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\#}^n\text{-set}$	Nano $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\#\text{-}\mathbb{I}_S\text{-set}$

2. Some new nano sets in via semi-local functions

Definition 2.1. A subset T in $\mathbb{N}_*^X$ is referred to as nano

1. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n\text{-set}$ if $I_n(T) = I_n(C_n^*(T))$,
2. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n\text{-set}$ if $I_n(T) = I_n(C_n^*(I_n(T)))$,
3. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n\text{-set}$ if $T = J_1 \cap J_2$, where J_1 is $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ and J_2 is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n\text{-set}$,
4. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n\text{-set}$ if $T = J_1 \cap J_2$, where J_1 is $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ and J_2 is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n\text{-set}$.

Example 2.2. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}\}$ and $X = \{c, d\}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, U\}$ and $\mathbb{I} = \{\phi, \{c\}\}$.

1. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n\text{-set} = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, U\}$.
2. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n\text{-set} = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, U\}$.
3. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n\text{-set} = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, U\}$.
4. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n\text{-set} = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, U\}$.

Remark 2.3. In space $\mathbb{N}_*^X$,

1. if E is $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS} \implies E$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

2. if E is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n$ -set $\implies E$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

Remark 2.4. The converses of Remark 2.3 are not true, as demonstrated in the examples below.

Example 2.5. Let $U = \{2, 4, 6, 8\}$ with $U/R = \{\{4\}, \{8\}, \{2, 6\}\}$ and $X = \{6, 8\}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{8\}, \{2, 6\}, \{2, 6, 8\}, U\}$ and $\mathbb{I} = \{\phi, \{6\}\}$.

1. $\{4\}$ is not $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

2. $\{2, 6, 8\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n$ -set but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

Proposition 2.6. Let T and T_1 be subsets of $\mathbb{N}_*^X$. If T and T_1 are $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n$ -sets, then $T \cap T_1$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n$ -set.

Proof. Let T and T_1 be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n$ -sets. Then there is $I_n(T \cap T_1) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T \cap T_1)) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T) \cap C_n^*(T_1)) = I_n(C_n^*(T)) \cap I_n(C_n^*(T_1)) = I_n(T) \cap I_n(T_1) = I_n(T \cap T_1)$. Then $I_n(T \cap T_1) = I_n(C_n^*(T \cap T_1))$ and hence $T \cap T_1$ is a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n$ -set.

Example 2.7. In the above Example 2.5, $\{2, 6\}$ and $\{6, 8\}$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n$ -set. But $\{2, 6\} \cap \{6, 8\} = \{6\}$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n$ -set.

Proposition 2.8. The next characteristics are identical for a T subset of a $\mathbb{N}_*^X$:

1. E is $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$,

2. E is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ & $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2): Let E be $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$. Then $E = I_n(E) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(E))$ and E is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$. Also by Remark 2.3, E is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

(2) $\implies$ (1): Given E is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set. So $E = D_1 \cap D_2$ where D_1 is $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ and $I_n(D_2) = I_n(C_n(D_2))$. Then $E \subseteq D_1 = I_n(D_1)$. Also, E is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{OS} \implies E \subseteq I_n(C_n(E)) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(D_2)) = I_n(D_2)$ by assuming. Thus $E \subseteq I_n(D_1) \cap I_n(D_2) = I_n(D_1 \cap D_2) = I_n(E)$ as well as E is $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$.

Remark 2.9. In space $\mathbb{N}_*^X$, the families of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set are independent.

Example 2.10. In the above Example 2.5,

1. $\{2, 8\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$.

2. $\{4\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_p^n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

Remark 2.11. In space $\mathbb{N}_*^X$,

1. if E is $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS} \implies E$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set.
2. if E is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n$ -set $\implies E$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set.

The diagram depicts these connections..

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_t^n\text{-set} & & & & \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n\text{-set} \\
 \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n\text{-set} & \longleftarrow & n\text{-}\mathcal{OS} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n\text{-set}
 \end{array}$$

The converse of the figure is untrue, as seen in the next Example.

Example 2.12. In the above Example 2.5,

1. $\{4\}$ is not $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.
2. $\{2, 6, 8\}$ is not $t\text{-}nI$ -set but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.
3. $\{2\}$ is not $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set.
4. $\{2, 6, 8\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n$ -set but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set.

Proposition 2.13. If T_1 and T_2 are $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n$ -sets in $\mathbb{N}_\star^X$, then $T_1 \cap T_2$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n$ -set.

Proof. Let T_1 and T_2 be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n$ -sets. Then there is $I_n(T_1 \cap T_2) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(I_n(T_1 \cap T_2))) \subseteq I_n[C_n^*(I_n(T_1)) \cap C_n^*(I_n(T_2))] = I_n(C_n^*(I_n(T_1))) \cap I_n(C_n^*(I_n(T_2))) = I_n(T_1) \cap I_n(T_2) = I_n(T_1 \cap T_2)$. Then $I_n(T_1 \cap T_2) = I_n(C_n^*(I_n(T_1 \cap T_2)))$ and hence $T_1 \cap T_2$ is a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n$ -set.

Example 2.14. In the above Example 2.5, $\{4, 6\}$ and $\{2, 4\}$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n$ -set. But $\{4, 6\} \cap \{2, 4\} = \{4\}$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t_\alpha}^n$ -set.

Proposition 2.15. The next characteristics are identical for a E subset of a $\mathbb{N}_\star^X$:

1. E is $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$.
2. E is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2): Let E be $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$. Then $E = I_n(E) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(E))$ and $E = I_n(E) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(I_n(E)))$. Therefore E is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$. Also by (1) of Remark 2.11, E is a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set.

(2) $\implies$ (1): Given E is a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set. So $E = D_1 \cap D_2$ where D_1 is $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ and $I_n(D_2) = I_n(C_n^*(I_n(D_2)))$. Then $E \subseteq D_1 = I_n(D_1)$. Also E is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_\alpha^n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$ implies $E \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(I_n(E))) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(I_n(D_2))) = I_n(D_2)$ by assumption. Thus $E \subseteq I_n(D_1) \cap I_n(D_2) = I_n(D_1 \cap D_2) = I_n(E)$ and E is $n\text{-}\mathcal{OS}$.

3. On a few novel kinds of Semi-ideal Nano sets

Definition 3.1. A subset E in $\mathbb{N}_\star^X$ is referred to as nano

1. $\mathbb{S}_{t\#}^n$ -set if $I_n(E) = C_n^*(I_n(E))$.
2. $\mathbb{S}_{t\alpha}^n$ -set if $I_n(E) = C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(E)))$.
3. $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set if $E = L \cap J$, where L is n -OS and J is $\mathbb{S}_{t\#}^n$ -set.
4. $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}\alpha}^n$ -set if $E = L \cap J$, where L is n -OS and J is $\mathbb{S}_{t\alpha}^n$ -set.
5. $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{SR}}^n$ -set if $E = L \cap J$, where L is n -OS and J is $\mathbb{S}_t^n$ -set and $I_n(C_n^*(J)) = C_n^*(I_n(J))$.

Example 3.2. Let $U = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ with $U/R = \{\{3\}, \{7\}, \{2, 5\}\}$ and $X = \{5, 7\}$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \{\phi, \{7\}, \{2, 5\}, \{2, 5, 7\}, U\}$ and $\mathbb{I} = \{\phi, \{5\}\}$.

1. $\mathbb{S}_{t\#}^n$ -set = $\{\phi, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{5\}, \{7\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 5\}, \{2, 7\}, \{3, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{5, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{2, 3, 7\}, \{3, 5, 7\}, U\}$.
2. $\mathbb{S}_{t\alpha}^n$ -set = $\{\phi, \{3\}, \{5\}, \{7\}, \{3, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{5, 7\}, \{3, 5, 7\}, U\}$.
3. $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set = $\{\phi, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{5\}, \{7\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 5\}, \{2, 7\}, \{3, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{5, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{2, 3, 7\}, \{2, 5, 7\}, \{3, 5, 7\}, U\}$.
4. $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}\alpha}^n$ -set = $\{\phi, \{3\}, \{5\}, \{7\}, \{2, 5\}, \{3, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{5, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{2, 5, 7\}, \{3, 5, 7\}, U\}$.
5. $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{SR}}^n$ -set = $\{\phi, \{3\}, \{5\}, \{7\}, \{2, 5\}, \{3, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{5, 7\}, \{3, 5, 7\}, U\}$.

Remark 3.3. In space $\mathbb{N}_\star^X$,

1. if E is n -OS $\implies E$ is $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set.
2. if E is $\mathbb{S}_{t\#}^n$ -set $\implies E$ is $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set.

Remark 3.4. As illustrated in the next two examples, the opposite in every portion of the Remark 3.3 is not need to be true.

Example 3.5. In the above Example 2.5,

1. $\{2\}$ is not n -OS but $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set.
2. $\{2, 6, 8\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}_{t\#}^n$ -set but $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set.

Proposition 3.6. *If L and T are $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t\#}^n$ -sets in $\mathbb{N}_\star^X$, then $L \cap T$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t\#}^n$ -set.*

Proof. Let L and T be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t\#}^n$ -sets. $I_n(L \cap T) \subseteq I_n(L \cap T) \subseteq C_n^\star(I_n(L \cap T)) = C_n^\star(I_n(L) \cap I_n(T)) \subseteq C_n^\star(I_n(L)) \cap C_n^\star(I_n(T)) = I_n(L) \cap I_n(T)$ (by guess) $= I_n(L \cap T)$. Thus $I_n(L \cap T) = C_n^\star(I_n(L \cap T))$ and hence $L \cap T$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t\#}^n$ -set.

Theorem 3.7. *The next characteristics are identical for a T subset of a $\mathbb{N}_\star^X$:*

1. T is n - $\mathcal{OS}$,
2. T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{OS}$ & $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set.

Proof. (2) $\Leftarrow$ (1): (2) is followed by Remark 3.3 of 3.3 and (1) of [13].

(1) $\Leftarrow$ (2): Given that T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set. So $T = T_1 \cap T_2$ where T_1 is n - $\mathcal{OS}$ and $I_n(T_2) = C_n^\star(I_n(T_2))$. Then $T \subseteq T_1 = I_n(T_1)$. Also T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{OS}$ implies $T \subseteq C_n^\star(I_n(T)) \subseteq C_n^\star(I_n(T_2)) = I_n(T_2)$ by guess. Thus $T \subseteq I_n(T_1) \cap I_n(T_2) = I_n(T_1 \cap T_2) = I_n(T_1)$ and so T is n - $\mathcal{OS}$.

Remark 3.8. *In space $\mathbb{N}_\star^X$, the families of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{OS}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set are independent.*

Example 3.9. In the above Example 2.5,

1. $\{2, 4, 6\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{OS}$.
2. $\{4\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_s^n$ - $\mathcal{OS}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}\#}^n$ -set.

Remark 3.10. *In space $\mathbb{N}_\star^X$,*

1. *if T is n - $\mathcal{OS} \implies T$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set.*
2. *if T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t\#}^n$ -set $\implies T$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set.*

Remark 3.11. *As illustrated in the next two examples, the opposite in every portion of the Remark 3.10 is not need to be true.*

Example 3.12. In the above Example 2.5,

1. $\{4\}$ is not n - $\mathcal{OS}$ but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set.
2. $\{2, 6\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t\#}^n$ -set but $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha}^n$ -set.

Proposition 3.13. *If L and T are $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t\#}^n$ -sets in $\mathbb{N}_\star^X$, then $L \cap T$ is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t\#}^n$ -set.*

Proof. Let L and T be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{t\#}^n$ -sets. $I_n(L \cap T) \subseteq I_n(L \cap T) \subseteq I_n(C_n^\star(L \cap T)) \subseteq C_n^\star(I_n(C_n^\star(L \cap T))) \subseteq C_n^\star(I_n(C_n^\star(L))) \cap C_n^\star(I_n(C_n^\star(T))) = I_n(L) \cap I_n(T)$ (by guess)

$= I_n(L \cap T)$. Then $I_n(L \cap T) = C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(L \cap T)))$ and hence $L \cap T$ is $\mathbb{S}_{t_\alpha^\#}^n$ -set.

Remark 3.14. In space $\mathbb{N}_*^X$, the families of $\mathbb{S}_\beta^n$ -OS and $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\#}^n$ -set are independent.

Example 3.15. In the above Example 2.5,

1. $\{2\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\#}^n$ -set but $\mathbb{S}_\beta^n$ -OS.
2. $\{4\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}_\beta^n$ -OS but $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\#}^n$ -set.

Theorem 3.16. Regarding a subset L in $\mathbb{N}_*^X$, the following attributes are interchangeable.

1. L is n -OS;
2. T is $\mathbb{S}_\beta^n$ -OS and $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\#}^n$ -set.

Proof. (2) $\iff$ (1): (2) is followed by Remark 3.3 of [13] and (1) of Remark 3.10.

(1) $\iff$ (2): Given that L is a $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\#}^n$ -set. Thus $L = T_1 \cap T_2$ where T_1 is n -OS and T_2 is $\mathbb{S}_{t_\alpha^\#}^n$ -set. Then $L \subseteq T_1 = I_n(T_1)$. Also L is $\mathbb{S}_\beta^n$ -OS implies $L \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(T_1))) \subseteq C_n^*(I_n(C_n^*(T_2))) = I_n(T_2)$ since T_2 is $\mathbb{S}_{t_\alpha^\#}^n$ -set. Thus $L \subseteq I_n(T_1) \cap I_n(T_2) = I_n(T_1 \cap T_2) = I_n(L)$ as well as L is n -OS.

Remark 3.17. For a subset E in $\mathbb{N}_*^X$, the next relations are true:

1. E is n -OS $\implies E$ is $\mathbb{S}_{S\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.
2. E is $\mathbb{S}_t^n$ -set with $I_n(C_n^*(E)) = C_n^*(I_n(E)) \implies E$ is $\mathbb{S}_{S\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

Proof. Since the Definition of $\mathbb{S}_{S\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set, the proof follows directly.

Remark 3.18. As illustrated in the following example, the converses of Remark 3.17(1) are not true.

Example 3.19. In Example 2.5, $\{4\}$ is not n -OS but $\mathbb{S}_{S\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

Proposition 3.20. In a space $\mathbb{N}_*^X$, if E is $\mathbb{S}_{S\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set $\implies E$ is $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

Proof. Proof is provided by the fact that $\mathbb{S}_t^n$ -set E with $I_n(C_n^*(E)) = C_n^*(I_n(E))$ is $\mathbb{S}_t^n$ -set, that is a $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set (Definition 3.1 by (3)).

Remark 3.21. As illustrated in the following examples, the opposite of Proposition 3.20 does not have to be true.

Example 3.22. In the above Example 2.5, $\{2, 4, 6\}$ is not $\mathbb{S}_{S\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set but $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{R}}^n$ -set.

Theorem 3.23. For a subset L in $\mathbb{N}_*^X$, the next attributes are interchangeable:

1. L is n - $\mathcal{OS}$

2. L is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{OS}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{SR}}^n$ -set.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2): (2) is followed by Remark 3.3 of [13] and (1) of Remark 3.17.

(2) $\implies$ (1): Given T is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{SR}}^n$ -set. So $L = T_1 \cap T_2$ where T_1 is n - $\mathcal{OS}$ and T_2 is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ -set with $I_n(C_n^*(T_2)) = C_n^*(I_n(T_2))$. Then $L \subseteq T_1 = I_n(T_2)$. Also L is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I}_b^n$ - $\mathcal{OS}$ implies $L \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T_1)) \cup C_n^*(I_n(T_2)) \subseteq I_n(C_n^*(T_2)) \cup C_n^*(I_n(T_2)) = I_n(L)$ by guess. Thus $L \subseteq I_n(T_1) \cap I_n(T_2) = I_n(T_1 \cap T_2) = I_n(L)$ as well as L is n - $\mathcal{OS}$.

Acknowledgement

The authors express their gratitude dear unknown referees, for Support under a major Research Project entitled "Some New Sets in Nano Semi-Local Functions" under which this work has been done and also author gracious to the referee for his or her enlightening comments and ideas.

References

- [1] Bhuvaneswari K. and Mythili Gnanapriya K., Nano Generalized locally closed sets and NGLC-Continuous Functions in Nano Topological Spaces, Int. J. Math and its Applications, 4(1-A) (2016), 101-106.
- [2] Jankovi'c D. and Hamlett T. R., Compatible extensions of ideals, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 7(6-B) (1992), 453-465.
- [3] Kuratowski K., Topology, Vol I. Academic Press (New York), 1966.
- [4] Lellis Thivagar M. and Richard Carmel, On nano forms of weakly open sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 1(1) (2013), 31-37.
- [5] Lellis Thivagar M., Jafari Saeid and Sutha Devi V., On new class of contra continuity in nano topology, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, (2017), 1-10.
- [6] Nethaji O., Asokan R. and Rajasekaran I., New generalized classes of an ideal nano topological spaces, Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute, 9(3) (2019), 543-552.
- [7] Pawlak Z., Rough sets, International journal of computer and Information Sciences, 11(5) (1982), 341-356.

- [8] Parimala M., Jafari S. and Murali S., Nano ideal generalized closed sets in nano ideal topological spaces, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest.*, 60 (2017), 3-11.
- [9] Parimala M. and Jafari S., On some new notions in nano ideal topological spaces, *International Balkan Journal of Mathematics (IBJM)*, 1(3) (2018), 85-92.
- [10] Rajasekaran I., On semi-local functions in ideal nano topological spaces, *Communicated*.
- [11] Rajasekaran I. and Nethaji O., Simple forms of nano open sets in an ideal nano topological spaces, *Journal of new theory*, 24 (2018), 35-43.
- [12] Rajasekaran I., Nethaji O. and Premkumar R., Perceptions of several sets in ideal nano topological spaces, *Journal of new theory*, 23 (2018), 78-84.
- [13] Gayathri. P., G. Selvi., Rajasekaran. I, Weak form of ideal Nano Topological spaces for semi-local functions, *Advances in Fixed Point Theory*, Vol 14 (2024).
- [14] Sekar N., Asokan R., Selvi G., and Rajasekaran I., On regular closed sets in ideal nano topological spaces, *Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst.*, 13(1) (2023), 135-141.
- [15] Selvi G. and Rajasekaran I., On nano $\mathcal{M}_r$ -set and nano $\mathcal{M}_r^*$ -set in nanotopological spaces, *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, 9(11) (2020), 9345-9351.
- [16] Sathishmohan P., Rajendran V., Vignesh Kumar C. and Dhanasekaran P. K., On nano semi pre neighbourhoods in nano topological spaces, *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, 6(1) (2018), 294-298.
- [17] Vaidyanathaswamy R., The localization theory in set topology, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, 20 (1945), 51-61.
- [18] Vaidyanathaswamy R., *Set topology*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1946.