

**CARTAN SPACES WITH SLOPE METRIC UNDER  $h$ -METRICAL  
 $d$ -CONNECTION**

**Madeeha Muneer, Avdhesh Kumar\* and A. K. Shrivastav**

Department of Mathematics,  
RIMT University, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, INDIA

E-mail : madeehamakhdoomi@gmail.com, aakkaasshhkumar8888@gmail.com

\*Department of Mathematics,  
Jaglal Chaudhary College, Saran, Bihar, INDIA

E-mail : avdheshsahani@yahoo.com

**(Received: Dec. 23, 2021 Accepted: Dec. 13, 2022 Published: Dec. 30, 2022)**

**Abstract:** This paper studies Cartan space with Matsumoto metric or slope metric under the effect of  $h$ -metrical  $d$ -connection. Then we deduce the conditions under which the Cartan space with slope metric becomes locally Minkowski and conformally flat.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Finsler space,  $h$ -metrical  $d$ -connection, Cartan space, Conformal flatness, Slope metric.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53C60, 53B40, 53C42, 53A04.

## 1. Introduction

In 1933, E. Cartan [2] proposed the theory of an space known as Cartan space. This space is considered as dual of Finsler space [7]. That is, if we look for dual of any general Finsler space, that dual is nothing but a Cartan space. The affinity between these two spaces, Finsler space and Cartan space, has been studied by F. Brickell [1], H. Rund [10] and others. Igrasi ([3], [4]) was great geometer who first realized the need of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric in Cartan space, i.e., in dual Finsler space. He obtained the metric tensors and invariants, which characterize the special class of Cartan spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. G. Shankar ([12], [13], [14]), H. G. Nagaraja [8]

and M. Rafee [9] also have made significant contribution to theory of Cartan spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. Motivated by these results we have calculated the conditions under which the manifold becomes locally Minkowski and conformally flat. The paper is organized as follows:

In section 2, we give basic definitions and results required for subsequent sections. In section 3, we deal with Cartan space with slope metric under the influence of h-metrical d-connection. In section 4, we apply the conformal theory of Finsler space to Cartan space with slope metric and deduce some important results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider a manifold  $M$  and its associated tangent bundle  $TM = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x M$ , where  $T_x M$  is a tangent space at a point  $x \in M$ . The tangent bundle is devised with a natural projection map  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  defined by  $\pi(x, y) = x$ , which maps every vector  $y \in T_x M$  to a point  $x \in M$  at which it is tangent. In the same way, the disjoint union  $T^*M = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x^* M$ , where  $T_x^* M$  is a cotangent space at a point  $x \in M$ , is called cotangent bundle of  $M$ . The cotangent bundle is also devised with a natural projection map  $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow M$  defined by  $\pi(x, \omega) = x$ , which maps every covector or differential one form  $\omega \in T_x^* M$  to a point  $x \in M$  at which it is a cotangent.

Now, we define a real valued function  $K : T^*M \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow R$  as follows:

### Definition 2.1. Finsler Metric over cotangent bundle.

Suppose  $M$  is a differentiable manifold and  $T^*M$  is its cotangent bundle. An smooth function  $K : T^*M \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow R$  is called Finsler metric over the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ , if  $K(x, \omega)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) *Positivity:*  $K(x, \omega) \geq 0$  for all  $\omega$  in  $T_p^*M \setminus \{0\}$ .
- (b) *Positive Homogeneity:*  $K(x, \omega)$  is +ve 1-homogeneous on the fibers of the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ , i.e.,  $K(x, \lambda\omega) = \lambda K(x, \omega)$ ,  $\forall \lambda > 0$ ; for any  $x$  in  $M$  and  $\omega$  in  $T_x^*M \setminus \{0\}$ .
- (c) *Strict Convexity of  $K(x, \omega)$ :* The hessian matrix defined by  $g^{ij}(x, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 K^2}{\partial \omega^i \partial \omega^j}(x, \omega)$  is positive definite for all  $(x, \omega)$  in  $T^*M \setminus \{0\}$ .

### Definition 2.2. Cartan Space.

A differentiable manifold  $M$  endowed with a Finsler metric  $K(x, \omega)$  defined over the slit cotangent bundle  $T^*M \setminus \{0\}$  is called a Cartan space.

An  $n$ -dimensional Cartan space is denoted by  $C^n = (M, K(x, \omega))$ , where  $K(x, \omega)$  represents norm of covector  $\omega \in T_x^*M \setminus \{0\}$  based at any point  $x \in M$  of thr Manifold  $M$ . The function  $K(x, \omega)$  is called the fundamental function and

$g^{ij}(x, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 K^2}{\partial \omega_i \partial \omega_j}(x, \omega)$  is called the fundamental metric tensor of the Cartan space  $C^n$ . The metric tensor  $g^{ij}(x, \omega)$  has  $g_{ij}(x, \omega)$  as its reciprocal metric tensor which is characterized by  $g_{ij}(x, \omega)g^{jk}(x, \omega) = \delta_i^k$ , where  $g_{ij}(x, \omega)$  and  $g^{ij}(x, \omega)$  satisfy symmetry as well as zero degree homogeneity conditions in one form  $\omega_j \in T^*M$ . In Cartan space the metric  $K : T^*M \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow R$  is defined from slit cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  to non-negative real numbers, so at a point  $x \in M$ ,  $K(x, -)$  eats one-form  $\omega \in T_p^*M \setminus \{0\}$  and spits non-negative reals, amounts to saying that Cartan space is constructed on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  in the same way a Finsler space  $(M, F(x, y))$ , where  $F : TM \rightarrow R$ , is constructed on the tangent bundle  $TM$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [8] *If the fundamental function  $K(x, \omega)$  of a Cartan space  $C^n = (M, K(x, \omega))$  is a function of variable  $\alpha(x, \omega) = (a^{ij}\omega_i\omega_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\beta(x, \omega) = \omega_i b^i(x)$ , where  $a^{ij}(x)$  is a Riemannian metric and  $b^i(x)$  is a vector field depending only on  $x$ , then  $C^n$  is called Cartan space with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric.*

In the above definition, it is to be remarked that  $K(x, \omega)$  satisfy all the stipulations set in definition Cartan space.

**Definition 2.4.** *The metric given by*

$$K = \frac{\alpha^2(x, \omega)}{\alpha(x, \omega) - \beta(x, \omega)}, \alpha - \beta > 0$$

*is known as Matsumoto or slope metric. Then the structure  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2(x, \omega)}{\alpha(x, \omega) - \beta(x, \omega)})$  determined with Matsumoto or slope metric, is called Matsumoto space. This metric was first introduced by M. Matsumoto [5] during investigating the model of a Finsler space.*

**Definition 2.5.** *Let  $C^n = (M, K(\alpha(x, \omega), \beta(x, \omega)))$  be a Cartan space with a  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. Then the space constructed over the same manifold  $M$  along with Riemannian metric  $\alpha(x, \omega)$ , i.e.,  $(M, \alpha(x, \omega))$  is called associated Riemannian manifold.*

Let us consider a Cartan space  $C^n = (M, K(x, \omega))$  with a  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, known as Matsumoto metric or slope metric,  $K(x, \omega) = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - \beta}$ , where  $\alpha = (a^{ij}(x, \omega)\omega_i\omega_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\beta = \omega_i b^i(x)$ .

The [3] fundamental tensor  $g^{lm}(x, \omega)$  and its reciprocal tensor  $g_{lm}(x, \omega)$  of the Cartan space  $C^n = (M, K(\alpha, \beta))$  are given by

$$g^{lm} = \rho a^{lm} + \rho_0 b^l b^m + \rho_{-1}(b^l \omega^m + b^m \omega^l) + \rho_{-2} \omega^l \omega^m, \tag{1}$$

where  $\rho$ ,  $\rho_0$ ,  $\rho_{-1}$  and  $\rho_{-2}$  are invariants, given by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2\alpha}K_\alpha = \frac{\alpha - 2\beta}{2(\alpha - \beta)^2}, \rho_0 = \frac{1}{2}K_{\beta\beta} = \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha - \beta)^3},$$

$$\rho_{-1} = \frac{1}{2\alpha}K_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\beta}{(\alpha - \beta)^3}, \rho_{-2} = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \left( K_{\alpha\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha}K_\alpha \right) = \frac{3\beta - \alpha}{2\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^3}$$

and

$$g_{mn} = \sigma a_{mn} - \sigma_0 b_m b_n + \sigma_{-1}(b_m \omega_n + b_n \omega_m) + \sigma_{-2} \omega_m \omega_n, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho}, \sigma_0 = \frac{\rho_0}{\rho\tau},$$

$$\tau = \sigma + \sigma_0 B^2 + \rho_{-1}\beta, \sigma_{-1} = \frac{\rho_{-1}}{\rho\tau}, \sigma_{-2} = \frac{\rho_{-2}}{\rho\tau},$$

where  $B^2 = b^i b_j$ . Here  $B$  represents the norm of the differential form  $\beta(x, \omega) = \omega_i b^i(x)$ .

The Cartan torsion tensor  $C^{lmn}$  [6] is given by

$$C^{lmn} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ r_{-1} b^l b^m b^n + \{ \rho_{-1} a^{lm} b^n + \rho_{-2} a^{lm} \omega^n + r_{-2} b^l b^m \omega^n + \right.$$

$$\left. r_{-3} b^l \omega^m \omega^n + l|m|n \} + r_{-4} \omega^l \omega^m \omega^n \right], \quad (3)$$

where

$$r_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}K_{\beta\beta\beta} = \frac{3\alpha^2}{(\alpha - \beta)^4}, r_{-2} = \frac{1}{2\alpha}K_{\alpha\beta\beta} = \frac{-\alpha - 2\beta}{(\alpha - \beta)^4},$$

$$r_{-3} = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \left( K_{\alpha\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{\alpha}K_{\alpha\beta} \right) = \frac{3\beta}{\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^4},$$

$$r_{-4} = \frac{1}{2\alpha^3} \left( K_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} - \frac{3}{\alpha}K_{\alpha\alpha} + \frac{3}{\alpha^2}K_\alpha \right) = \frac{3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 4\alpha\beta)}{2\alpha^3(\alpha - \beta)^4}$$

and  $l|m|n$  denotes the cyclic sum in the indices  $l, m, n$ .

Let  $\gamma_{jk}^i$  be Christoffel symbols which is defined using the metric  $a_{ij}$ . Whenever we talk about Christoffel symbols  $\gamma_{jk}^i$  defined from  $a_{ij}$ , we mean

$$\gamma_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2}a^{li} \left( \frac{\partial a_{kl}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial a_{lj}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial a_{jk}}{\partial x^l} \right).$$

Throughout the paper we use the symbol ':' to indicate covariant derivative with

regard to  $\gamma_{jk}^i$ . Since  $\omega_{i:k} = 0$  and from Ricci's theorem of tensor calculus [11] we have,  $a_{:k}^{ij} = 0$ . Also, let  $\Gamma_{jk}^i(p) = \frac{1}{2}g^{ir}(\partial_j g_{rk} + \partial_k g_{jr} - \partial_r g_{jk})$  be the Christoffel symbols constructed from fundamental metric tensor  $g_{ij}(x, \omega)$  of the Cartan space  $(M, K(x, \omega))$ . Now, for the Cartan space  $(M, K(x, \omega))$ , we state canonical  $d$ -connection

$$D\Gamma = (N_{jk}, H_{jk}^i, C_i^{jk}),$$

where

$$N_{ij} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \omega_k - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{hr}^k \omega_k \omega^r \partial^h g_{ij} \quad (4)$$

$$H_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{ir} (\partial_j g_{rk} + \partial_k g_{jr} - \partial_r g_{jk}) \quad (5)$$

$$C_i^{jk}(x, \omega) = -\frac{1}{2} g_{ir}(x, \omega) \frac{\partial g^{jk}(x, \omega)}{\partial \omega^r} = g_{ir}(x, \omega) C^{rjk}(x, \omega). \quad (6)$$

are respectively called canonical  $N$ -connection, Christoffel symbols and  $d$ -tensor field of type (2,1).

Throughout the paper, we use the symbol  $'|_h$  to indicate  $h$ -covariant derivative with regard to  $D\Gamma$ . Now, we utilize the following definition in the section that follows.

**Definition 2.6.** [8] *A  $d$ -connection  $D\Gamma$  over a Cartan space  $C^n = (M, K(\alpha(x, \omega), \beta(\omega)))$  with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric is said to be  $h$ -metrical, if following properties is satisfied:*

- (i)  $h$ -deflection tensor  $D_{ij}(= \omega_{i|j}) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $a_{|h}^{ij} = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $g_{|h}^{ij} = 0$ .

It is to noted that "HMDC" is abbreviation of  $h$ -metrical  $d$ -connection in the section that follows.

**Definition 2.7.** *Suppose  $M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold equipped with two different Finsler metrics  $\tilde{K}(x, \omega)$  and  $K(x, \omega)$ . Then  $\tilde{K}(x, \omega)$  is said to be conformal to  $K(x, \omega)$  if  $\exists$  a position dependent function  $\sigma(x)$  such that  $\tilde{K}(x, \omega) = e^{\sigma(x)} K(x, \omega)$ .*

### 3. Cartan Manifold with Slope Metric under $h$ -metrical $d$ -connection

In this section we impose a condition on  $d$ -connection  $D\Gamma$  of the Cartan space with slope metric to be  $h$ -metrical and in consequence we assess what shapes the

corresponding Cartan space assumes.

First we take the  $h$ -covariant derivative of slope metric:

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, \omega) &= \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - \beta} \\ (g^{ij}\omega_i\omega_j)|_h &= \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - \beta} \\ g^{ij} \times (\omega_i\omega_j)|_h + \omega_i\omega_j \times g^{ij}|_h &= \frac{(\alpha - \beta) \times 2\alpha\alpha|_h - \alpha^2 \times (\alpha|_h - \beta|_h)}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \\ g^{ij}(\omega_i\omega_j|_h + \omega_j\omega_i|_h) + \omega_i\omega_j g^{ij}|_h &= \frac{(\alpha - \beta) \times 2\alpha\alpha|_h - \alpha^2 \times (\alpha|_h - \beta|_h)}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \end{aligned}$$

As we have stipulated the  $d$ -connection  $D\Gamma$  of associated Cartan space is  $h$ -metrical, therefore by Definition 2.6, we have

$$\omega_j|_h = 0, \omega_i|_h = 0, \alpha|_h = 0, g^{ij}|_h = 0$$

Using these values in above expression, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta|_h &= 0 \quad (\because \alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0) & (7) \\ (\omega_i b^i(x))|_h &= 0 \quad (\because \beta(x, \omega) = \omega_i b^i(x)) \\ \omega_i \times b^i(x)|_h + b^i(x) \times \omega_i|_h &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since the  $d$ -connection  $D\Gamma$  of the Cartan space is  $h$ -metrical, therefore by Definition 2.6, we have

$$\omega_i|_h = 0,$$

Using these values in above expression, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i \times b^i(x)|_h + b^i(x) \times 0 &= 0 \\ \omega_i \times b^i(x)|_h &= 0 \\ b^i(x)|_h &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now, we find  $h$ -covariant derivatives of the coefficients of metric tensor  $g^{ij}$  and then

use conditions of HMDC of Cartan space as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \rho &= \frac{1}{2\alpha} K_\alpha = \frac{\alpha - 2\beta}{2(\alpha - \beta)^2} \\ \therefore \rho|_h &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2 \times (\alpha|_h - 2\beta|_h) - (\alpha - 2\beta) \times 2(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha|_h - \beta|_h)}{(\alpha - \beta)^4} \\ \rho|_h &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \rho_0 &= \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha - \beta)^3} \\ \rho_{0|h} &= \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^3 \times 2\alpha \times \alpha|_h - \alpha^2 \times 3(\alpha - \beta)^2 \alpha|_h - \beta|_h}{(\alpha - \beta)^6} \\ \rho_{0|h} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \rho_{-1} &= -\frac{\beta}{(\alpha - \beta)^3} \\ \therefore \rho_{-1|h} &= -\frac{(\alpha - \beta)^3 \times \beta|_h - \beta \times 3(\alpha - \beta)^2 (\alpha|_h - \beta|_h)}{(\alpha - \beta)^6} \\ \rho_{-1|h} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \rho_{-2} &= \frac{3\beta - \alpha}{2\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^3} \\ \therefore \rho_{-2|h} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^3 (3\beta|_h - \alpha|_h) - (3\beta - \alpha)(\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^3)|_h}{\alpha^2(\alpha - \beta)^6} \\ \therefore \rho_{-2|h} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(3\beta - \alpha)(\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^3)|_h}{\alpha^2(\alpha - \beta)^6} \\ \rho_{-2|h} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Differentiating Equation (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_{|h}^{ij} &= \rho a_{|h}^{ij} + a^{ij} \rho|_h + \rho_0 (b^i b^j)|_h + b^i b^j \rho_0 + \rho_{-1} (b^i \omega^j + b^j \omega^i)|_h + \\ &\quad (b^i \omega^j + b^j \omega^i) \rho_{-1|h} + \rho_{-2} (\omega^i \omega^j)|_h + \omega^i \omega^j \rho_{-2|h} \\ g_{|h}^{ij} &= \rho a_{|h}^{ij} + a^{ij} \rho|_h + \rho_0 (b^i b^j|_h + b^j b^i|_h) + b^i b^j \rho_{0|h} + \rho_{-1} (b^i \omega^j|_h + \omega^i b^j|_h + b^j \omega^i|_h + \omega^j b^i|_h) \\ &\quad \rho_{-1|h} (b^i \omega^j + b^j \omega^i) + \rho_{-2|h} (\omega^i \omega^j|_h + \omega^j \omega^i|_h) + \omega^i \omega^j \rho_{-2|h} \end{aligned}$$

Using the conditions of HMDC of Cartan space and Equations (8), (9), (10), (11) and (12), above equation reduces to

$$g_{|h}^{ij} = 0.$$

Thus, allowing  $d$ -connection  $D\Gamma$  of Cartan space to be  $h$ -metrical, it gives two important quantities namely  $a_{|h}^{ij} = 0$  (by definition of  $h$ -metrical  $d$ -connection) and  $g_{|h}^{ij} = 0$ , i.e.,  $h$ -covariant derivatives of fundamental metric tensors of associated Riemannian space and Cartan space vanishes.

Now, since  $a_{|h}^{ij} = 0$  and  $g_{|h}^{ij} = 0$ , therefore there corresponding Christoffel symbols will also be same, i.e.,  $H_{jh}^i = \gamma_{jh}^i$  and its equivalent condition, from Theorem 35 of [6], is given by

$$b_{:k}^i = 0 \quad (13)$$

Now, since  $H_{jh}^i = \gamma_{jh}^i$  therefore both the  $d$ -connection  $D\Gamma$  and the Riemannian connection  $R\Gamma = (\gamma_{jk}^i, \gamma_{jk}^i y_i, 0)$  has same curvature tensors, i.e.,

$$D_{hjk}^i = R_{hjk}^i$$

If Riemannian curvature tensor vanishes, i.e.,  $R_{hjk}^i = 0$ , then curvature tensor of  $d$ -connection also vanishes, i.e.,  $D_{hjk}^i = 0$ . The whole discussion can be summarized as follows:

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - \beta})$  is a Cartan manifold under the effect of HMDC. It is said to be flat in local environment if and only if associated Riemannian manifold is also flat in their local environment.*

Now, we find  $h$ -covariant derivatives of the coefficients of Cartan torsion tensor  $C^{ijk}$  and then use conditions of HMDC of Cartan space and Equation (7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore r_{-1} &= \frac{3\alpha^2}{(\alpha - \beta)^4} \\ \therefore r_{-1|h} &= \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^4 \times 6\alpha\alpha_{|h} - 12\alpha^2(\alpha - \beta)^3(\alpha_{|h} - \beta_{|h})}{(\alpha - \beta)^8} \\ r_{-1|h} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore r_{-2} &= -\frac{\alpha + 2\beta}{(\alpha - \beta)^4} \\ \therefore r_{-2|h} &= -\frac{(\alpha - \beta)^4 \times (\alpha_{|h} + 2\beta_{|h}) - (\alpha + 2\beta) \times 4(\alpha - \beta)^3(\alpha_{|h} - \beta_{|h})}{(\alpha - \beta)^8} \\ r_{-2|h} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\therefore r_{-3} = \frac{3\beta}{\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^4}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore r_{-3|h} &= \frac{\alpha(\alpha - \beta)^4 \times 3\beta|_h - 3\beta \times (\alpha \times 4(\alpha - \beta)^3(\alpha|_h - \beta|_h))}{\alpha^2(\alpha - \beta)^8} \\
r_{-3|h} &= 0 \\
\therefore r_{-4} &= \frac{3\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 4\alpha\beta}{2\alpha^3(\alpha - \beta)^4}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Similarly, it can be shown that

$$r_{-4|h} = 0 \tag{17}$$

Thus, we have  $h$ -covariant derivatives of the coefficients of Cartan torsion tensor  $C^{ijk}$  under the HMDC of Cartan space vanishes.

Now we calculate the value of  $h$ -covariant derivative of  $d$ -tensor field  $C_i^{jk}$  of type (2,1) under the assumption of HMDC as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore C_k^{ij} &= g_{kr} C^{rij} \\
\therefore C_{k|h}^{ij} &= (g_{kr} C^{rij})|_h \\
&= g_{kr} \times C_{|h}^{rij} + C^{rij} \times g_{kr|h} \\
&= g_{kr} C_{|h}^{rij} \\
&= -g_{kr} \frac{1}{2} [r_{-1} b^r b^i b^j + r_{-2} b^r b^i \omega^j + r_{-3} b^r \omega^i \omega^j + r_{-4} \omega^r \omega^i \omega^j + \rho_{-1} a^{ri} b^j + \\
&\quad \rho_{-2} a^{ri} \omega^j + r|i|j]|_h \\
&= -g_{kr} \frac{1}{2} [r_{-1} \times (b^r b^i b^j)|_h + b^r b^i b^j \times r_{-1|h} + r_{-2} \times (b^r b^i \omega^j)|_h + b^r b^i \omega^j \times \\
&\quad r_{-2|h} + r_{-3} \times (b^r \omega^i \omega^j)|_h + b^r \omega^i \omega^j \times r_{-3|h} + r_{-4} \times (\omega^r \omega^i \omega^j)|_h + \omega^r \omega^i \omega^j \times \\
&\quad r_{-4|h} + \rho_{-1} \times (a^{ri} b^j)|_h + a^{ri} b^j \times \rho_{-1|h} + \rho_{-2} \times (a^{ri} \omega^j)|_h + a^{ri} \omega^j \times \\
&\quad \rho_{-2|h} + (r|i|j)|_h] \\
&= -g_{kr} \frac{1}{2} [r_{-1} (b^r b^i b^j)|_h + r_{-2} (b^r b^i \omega^j)|_h + r_{-3} (b^r \omega^i \omega^j)|_h + r_{-4} (\omega^r \omega^i \omega^j)|_h + \\
&\quad \rho_{-1} (a^{ri} b^j)|_h + \rho_{-2} (a^{ri} \omega^j)|_h + (r|i|j)|_h] \\
&= -g_{kr} \frac{1}{2} [r_{-1} (b^r b^i b^j|_h + b^r b^j b^i|_h + b^i b^j b^r|_h) + r_{-2} (b^r b^i \omega^j|_h + b^r \omega^j b^i|_h + b^i \omega^j b^r|_h) + \\
&\quad r_{-3} (b^r \omega^i \omega^j|_h + b^r \omega^j \omega^i|_h + \omega^i \omega^j b^r|_h) + r_{-4} (\omega^r \omega^i \omega^j|_h + \omega^r \omega^j \omega^i|_h + \omega^i \omega^j \omega^r|_h) + \\
&\quad \rho_{-1} (a^{ri} b^j|_h + b^j a^{ri}|_h) + \rho_{-2} (a^{ri} \omega^j|_h + \omega^j a^{ri}|_h) + (r|i|j)|_h] \\
C_{k|h}^{ij} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

One knows that ([14], [18])  $h$ -covariant derivative of  $d$ -tensor field,  $C_k^{ij}$ , vanishes, i.e.,  $C_{k|h}^{ij} = 0$ , iff associated Cartan manifold becomes affinely-connected space or Berwald space. So, from Equation (18), we reach at the following conclusion:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta})$  is a Cartan manifold. It becomes a Berwald manifold provided that  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta})$  is under the effect of HMDC.*

In [14], it has been deduced that a Berwald manifold becomes locally Minkowski, provided that its curvature tensor discards. Hence, from the Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we come to an interesting result as follows:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta})$  is a Cartan manifold under the effect of HMDC. Then the Cartan manifold is locally Minkowski if and only if associated Riemannian manifold  $(M, \alpha(x, \omega))$  bears local flatness.*

#### 4. Conformal Transformation of Cartan Space with Slope Metric

In this section our aim is to conformally transform a Cartan manifold  $(M, K(x, \omega))$  to another Cartan manifold  $(M, \tilde{K}(x, \omega))$  and then to determine the nature of curvature tensor  $\tilde{D}_{hjk}^i$  in the conformally transformed space  $(M, \tilde{K}(x, \omega))$  under the influence of  $h$ -metrical  $d$ -connection on the original Cartan space  $(M, K(x, \omega))$ . That is, we are going to determine the shape of conformally transformed space  $(M, \tilde{K}(x, \omega))$  under the condition of  $h$ -metrical  $d$ -connection on  $(M, K(x, \omega))$ .

For that, we take into account an specific  $n$ -dimensional Cartan manifold  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta})$ , where  $\alpha = (a^{ij}(x, \omega)\omega_i\omega_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\beta = \omega_i b^i(x)$ . By a conformal change  $\sigma : K \rightarrow \tilde{K}$  such that  $\tilde{K}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = e^\sigma K(\alpha, \beta)$ , we have the another Cartan space  $\tilde{C}^m = (M, \tilde{K}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}))$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha} = e^\sigma \alpha$  and  $\tilde{\beta} = e^\sigma \beta$ .

Putting  $\alpha = (a^{ij}(x, \omega)\omega_i\omega_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\beta = \omega_i b^i(x)$  in the above relations, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\alpha} &= e^\sigma \alpha \\ \tilde{\alpha} &= e^\sigma (a^{ij}(x, \omega)\omega_i\omega_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \tilde{\alpha} &= \underline{(e^{2\sigma} a^{ij}(x, \omega)\omega_i\omega_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ \tilde{\alpha} &= \underline{(\tilde{a}^{ij}\omega_i\omega_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ \implies \tilde{a}^{ij} &= e^{2\sigma} a^{ij}(x, \omega)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= e^\sigma \beta \\ \tilde{\beta} &= e^\sigma \omega_i b^i(x) \\ \tilde{\beta} &= \underline{\omega_i e^\sigma b^i(x)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\beta} &= \omega_i \tilde{b}^i \\ \tilde{b}^i &= e^\sigma b^i(x)\end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate the Christoffel symbols  $\tilde{\gamma}_{rk}^p$  in conformally transformed space  $(M, \tilde{K}(x, \omega))$  as follows:

We know from Riemannian geometry that Christoffel symbols of second kind  $\gamma_{rk}^p$  from fundamental metric tensor  $a^{pq}(x, \omega)$  can be defined as

$$\gamma_{qk}^p = \frac{1}{2} a^{lp} \left( \frac{\partial a_{kl}}{\partial x^q} + \frac{\partial a_{lq}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial a_{qk}}{\partial x^l} \right)$$

Similarly, we can also define the Christoffel symbols  $\tilde{\gamma}_{rk}^p$  in conformally transformed space  $(M, \tilde{K}(x, \omega))$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\gamma}_{qk}^p &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{a}^{lp} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{a}_{kl}}{\partial x^q} + \frac{\partial \tilde{a}_{lq}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial \tilde{a}_{qk}}{\partial x^l} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{2\sigma} a^{lp}(x, \omega) \left( \frac{\partial e^{2\sigma} a_{kl}(x, \omega)}{\partial x^q} + \frac{\partial e^{2\sigma} a_{lq}(x, \omega)}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial e^{2\sigma} a_{qk}(x, \omega)}{\partial x^l} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{2\sigma} a^{lp} \left[ \left( e^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial a_{kl}}{\partial x^q} + a_{kl} \frac{\partial e^{2\sigma}}{\partial x^q} \right) + \left( e^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial a_{lq}}{\partial x^k} + a_{lq} \frac{\partial e^{2\sigma}}{\partial x^k} \right) - \left( e^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial a_{jq}}{\partial x^l} + a_{qk} \frac{\partial e^{2\sigma}}{\partial x^l} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{2\sigma} a^{lp} \left[ \left( e^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial a_{kl}}{\partial x^q} + 2e^{2\sigma} a_{kl} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^q} \right) + \left( e^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial a_{lq}}{\partial x^k} + 2e^{2\sigma} a_{lq} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^k} \right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left( e^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial a_{qk}}{\partial x^l} + 2e^{2\sigma} a_{qk} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^l} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{4\sigma} a^{lp} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial a_{kl}}{\partial x^q} + \frac{\partial a_{lq}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial a_{qk}}{\partial x^l} \right) + \left( 2a_{kl} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^q} + 2a_{lq} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^k} - 2a_{qk} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^l} \right) \right] \\ &= e^{4\sigma} \left[ \frac{1}{2} a^{lp} \left( \frac{\partial a_{kl}}{\partial x^q} + \frac{\partial a_{lq}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial a_{qk}}{\partial x^l} \right) + (a^{lp} a_{kl} \sigma_q + a^{lp} a_{lq} \sigma_k - a^{lp} a_{qk} \sigma_l) \right] \\ &= e^{4\sigma} [\gamma_{qk}^p + (\delta_k^p \sigma_q + \delta_q^p \sigma_k - a_{qk} \sigma^i)]\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the components of Christoffel symbols  $\tilde{\gamma}_{qk}^p$ , constructed from  $\tilde{a}^{pq}$ , in conformally transformed space are given by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{qk}^p = \gamma_{qk}^p + B_{qk}^p, \quad (19)$$

where  $B_{qk}^p = \sigma_k \delta_q^p + \sigma_q \delta_k^p - a_{kq} \sigma^p$ ,  $\sigma^p = \sigma_q a^{pq}$ .

Now, differentiating covariantly  $\tilde{b}^p$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{\gamma}_{rk}^p$ , yields

$$\tilde{b}_{;k}^p = e^\sigma (b_{;k}^p + 2\sigma_k b^p + b^r \sigma_r \delta_k^p - \sigma_p b^r a_{rk}). \quad (20)$$

Transvecting the Equation (20) by  $\tilde{b}^k$ , and putting

$$M^p = \frac{1}{B^2} \left( b^k b_{:k}^p - \frac{b_{:r}^r b^p}{n+4} \right), \quad (21)$$

we have  $\sigma^p = \tilde{M}^p - M^p$ , from which we get  $\sigma_p = \tilde{M}^p - M_p$ . Substituting the values of  $\sigma_p$  and  $\sigma^p$  in Equation (19) and using  $D_{hq}^p = \gamma_{hq}^p + \delta_h^p M_q + \delta_h^p M_q + \delta_q^p M_h - M^p a_{hq}$ , we find

$$\tilde{D}_{hq}^p = D_{hq}^p. \quad (22)$$

In the above equation,  $D_{hq}^p$  and  $\tilde{D}_{hq}^p$  are respectively linear connections in the Cartan manifold  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta})$  and conformally transformed Cartan manifold  $(M, \tilde{K} = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{\tilde{\alpha}-\tilde{\beta}})$ . Further, equality of these linear connections is called conformal invariance of linear connection  $D_{hq}^p$  over the manifold  $M$ .

The whole discussion can be summarized by a proposition as follows:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Suppose  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta})$  is a Cartan manifold. Then, there exists a conformally invariant symmetric linear connection  $D_{qk}^p$  on  $M$ .*

Next, if we denote the curvature tensors of  $D_{qk}^p$  by  $D_{hqk}^p$  and  $\tilde{D}_{hq}^p$  by  $\tilde{D}_{hqk}^p$  then from the Equation (22), we get

$$\tilde{D}_{hqk}^p = D_{hqk}^p. \quad (23)$$

From Equation (13), we have  $b_{:k}^p = 0$ . Put the value of  $b_{:k}^p$  in Equation (21), we get  $M^i = 0$ . Hence, we deduce that  $D_{qk}^p = \gamma_{qk}^p$  and  $D_{hqk}^p = R_{hqk}^p$ . Thus we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Suppose  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta})$  is a Cartan manifold admitting HMDC. Then*

1. *linear connections of Cartan manifold and associated Riemannian manifold will coincide, i.e.,  $D_{qk}^p = \gamma_{qk}^p$ .*
2. *curvatures of Cartan manifold and associated Riemannian manifold will coincide, i.e.,  $D_{hqk}^p = R_{hqk}^p$ .*

Next, if we impose the condition of local flatness on the associated Riemannian manifold  $(M, \alpha(x, \omega))$ , that is,  $R_{hqk}^p = 0$ , then from Proposition 4.2 and Equation (23), we deduce that  $\tilde{D}_{hqk}^p = 0$ , that is, the space  $C^n$  is conformally flat.

Hence, in the light of above calculations, we arrive at the following result:

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta})$  is a Cartan manifold admitting HMDC.*

Then the Cartan manifold  $(M, K = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta})$  will be conformally flat if and only if the associated Riemannian manifold  $(M, \alpha(x, \omega))$  is locally flat.

### References

- [1] Brickell F., A relation between Finsler and Cartan structures, *Tensors*, N. S., 25 (1972), 360-364.
- [2] Cartan E., Les espaces metriques fondes sur la notion d'aire, *Actualites Sci. Ind.*, 72 (1933), Herman, Paris.
- [3] Igrashi T., Remarkable connections in Hamilton spaces, *Tensors*, N.S., 55 (1992), 151-161.
- [4] Igrashi T.,  $(\alpha, \beta)$ - metric in Cartan spaces, *Tensors*, N. S., 57 (1994), 78-82.
- [5] Matsumoto M., A slope of a mountain is Finsler space with respect to a time measure, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 29, (1989), 17-25.
- [6] Matsumoto M., Theory of Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ - metric, *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, 31, (1992), 43-83.
- [7] Miron R., Cartan spaces in a new point of view by considering them as dual of Finsler spaces, *Tensors*, N. S., 46, (1987), 329-334.
- [8] Nagaraja H. G., On Cartan spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ - metric, *Turk. J. Math.*, 31 (2007), 363-369.
- [9] Rafee M., Investigations on some problems on differentiak geoemtry of Finsler space [Ph D Thesis]. Punjab: IK Gujaral Punjab Technical University, 2020.
- [10] Rund H., *The Hamilton-Jacobi theory in the calculus of variations*, D. Van Nostrand Co., London, 1966.
- [11] Shaikh A. A., De U. C. and Sengupta J., *Tensor Calculus*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 2008.
- [12] Shanker G., On Cartan spaces with generalised metric, *Journal of Tensor Society*, Vol. 4 (2010), 41-48.
- [13] Shanker G., On a canonical recurrent  $d$ -connection of the generalised Lagrange space with the metric, *Tensor, New Series*, Vol. 73, No. 3 (2011), 207-214.

- [14] Shanker G., Sharma R. K., Constant Curvature conditions for generalised Kropina Spaces, *South East Asian J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 17, (2) (2021), 123-134.
- [15] Singh S. K., Conformally Minkowski type spaces and certain d-connections in Miron space, *Indian J. of Pure and Applied Math.*, 26, (4) (1993), 339-346.