

CANONICAL ELEMENT CONJECTURE AND
COHEN-MACAULAY RINGS

Mohammad Amin Raeisi Makiani

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Kharazmi University, No. 599, Taleghani Ave., Tehran, IRAN

School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science,
College of Science, University of Tehran,
Enghelab Ave., Tehran, IRAN

E-mail : std_Raeisi@khu.ac.ir, raeisiamin9@gmail.com

(Received: Mar 11, 2020 Accepted: Apr 15, 2020 Published: Jun. 30, 2020)

Abstract: Let M be a module over a commutative Noetherian ring A and J be an ideal of A . In this short note, it is proved, by an elementary argument, that if x_1, \dots, x_n is an M -sequence contained in J , then $Hom_A(A/J, H_J^n(M)) \cong (x_1, \dots, x_n)M :_M J/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M$. As an application of this result, the canonical element conjecture is established in a certain case.

Keywords and Phrases: Cohen-Macaulay ring, Canonical Element Conjecture, local cohomology, maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14B15, 13E05, 13D45.

1. Introduction

Throughout this short note A is a commutative Noetherian ring. For an A -module M and a sequence x_1, \dots, x_n of element of A , we say that x_1, \dots, x_n is an M -sequence if $(x_1, \dots, x_n)M \neq M$ and x_i is a non-zero divisor on $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Also, for an ideal I of A , we use notation $H_I^t(M)$ to denote the t -th local cohomology module of M with support in I . Finally, for ideals I and J of A , the submodule $\{\alpha \in H_I^t(M) : J\alpha = 0\}$ of $H_I^t(M)$ is denoted by $Ann_{H_I^t(M)}(J)$. Note that $Ann_{H_I^t(M)}(J) \cong Hom_A(A/J, H_I^t(M))$. Let J be an ideal of A and Let x_1, \dots, x_n

be an M-sequence in J. In this note, we provide an elementary argument to show that $Ann_{H_I^t(M)}(J) \cong (x_1, \dots, x_t)M :_M J/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M$. Using this result, we prove that if (A, m) is a local Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension n, then the natural map $Ext_A^n(A/m, Syz_n(A/m)) \rightarrow H_m^n(Syz_n(A/m))$ is a monomorphism, where $Syz_n(A/m)$ denoted the n-th syzygy of A/m . Note that this result established the canonical element conjecture in a certain case.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will give two lemmas which they are tools that we use later.

Lemma 2.1. [2, Exercise 6.5.2] *let A be a ring, x_1, \dots, x_n an M-Sequence, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in M$ and $r \in A$. If $x_1\beta_1 + \dots + x_n\beta_n = x_1r\alpha_1 + x_2\alpha_2 + \dots + x_n\alpha_n$ then $\beta_1 \in (r, x_2, \dots, x_n)M$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let x_1, \dots, x_n be an M-sequence, $t_1, \dots, t_n, l \in N, \beta \in M$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. if*

$$x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_i^{t_i} \beta \in (x_1^{t_1+l}, \dots, x_n^{t_n+l})M$$

then

$$\beta \in (x_1^l, \dots, x_i^l, x_{i+1}^{t_{i+1}+l}, \dots, x_n^{t_n+l})M.$$

Proof. Argue by way of induction on i. if $i = 1$, than the result follow from lemma 2.1. Assume that $1 \leq i < n$ and the result settled for i. Suppose $x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_i^{t_i} \beta \in (x_1^{t_1+l}, \dots, x_n^{t_n+l})M$. From induction hypothesis we have

$$x_{i+1}^{t_{i+1}} \beta \in (x_1^l, \dots, x_i^l, x_{i+1}^{t_{i+1}+l}, \dots, x_n^{t_n+l})M. \tag{1}$$

Now, put $\mathfrak{a} = (x_1^l, \dots, x_i^l)$ and assume $- : M \rightarrow M/(\mathfrak{a}M)$ is the natural map. so by Lemma 2.1,

$$x_{i+1}^{t_{i+1}} \bar{\beta} \in (x_{i+1}^{t_{i+1}+l}, \dots, x_n^{t_n+l})\bar{M}$$

Hence from lemma 2.1, we have $\bar{\beta} \in (x_{i+1}^l, x_{i+2}^{t_{i+2}+l}, \dots, x_n^{t_n+l})\bar{M}$. we deduce that

$$\beta \in (x_1^l, \dots, x_{i+1}^l, x_{i+2}^{t_{i+2}+l}, \dots, x_n^{t_n+l})M.$$

3. local Cohomology and Canonical Element Conjecture

Definition 3.1. *Let A be a Noetherian ring, M an A-module and I an ideal of A. We define the i-th local cohomology of M with respect to I by*

$$H_I^i(M) = \varinjlim_t Ext_A^i(A/I^t, M)$$

Remark 3.2. *Let M an A-module and x_1, \dots, x_n be an M-sequence. put $I^\alpha = (x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)$ and $I^\beta = (x_1^\beta, \dots, x_n^\beta)$ for all positive integer with $\alpha \leq \beta$. Then map*

$\varphi_{\alpha\beta} : M/(I^\alpha M) \longrightarrow M/(I^\beta M)$ given by
 $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(I^\alpha M + y) = I^\beta M + (x_1^{\beta-\alpha} \cdots x_n^{\beta-\alpha})y$ for all $y \in M$ is well-defined. Now it is easy to check that $\left(M/(I^\alpha M), \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \right)_{\alpha \leq \beta}$ is a direct system of A -modules.

Assume $\left(X, \varphi_\alpha \right)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the direct limit of this direct system. Then by [2, 5.2.9] we have $X = H^n_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(M)$.

Proposition 3.3. *With the hypothesis of the above remark, the following hold:*

- (1) φ_α is a monomorphism for all positive integer α .
- (2) Suppose J is an ideal of A such that x_1, \dots, x_n is in J . then the map

$$\psi : \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M :_M J}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)M} \longrightarrow \text{Ann}_{H^n_I(M)}(J)$$

given by $\psi((x_1, \dots, x_n)M + \xi) = \varphi_1((x_1, \dots, x_n)M + \xi)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Assume $\varphi_\alpha(I^\alpha M + x) = 0$. Then there exists β bigger than α such that $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(I^\alpha M + x) = 0$. Hence $(x_1^{\beta-\alpha} \cdots x_n^{\beta-\alpha})x \in I^\beta M$. So by lemma 2.2 we have $x \in (x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M$. We deduce that φ_α is a monomorphism. Now assume $y \in \text{Ann}_{H^n_I(M)}(J)$ is arbitrary. Then there exist positive integer α and $\xi \in M$ such that $y = \varphi_\alpha(I^\alpha M + \xi)$. Since $x_1 \in J$, then $x_1 y = 0$. On other hand $0 = x_1 \varphi_\alpha(I^\alpha M + \xi) = \varphi_\alpha(I^\alpha M + x_1 \xi)$. Hence $x_1 \xi + I^\alpha M = 0$. Therefore there exist $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in M$ such that $x_1 \xi = x_1^\alpha \beta_1 + \cdots + x_n^\alpha \beta_n$. So by Lemma 2.1 we have $\xi \in (x_1^{\alpha-1}, x_2^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M$. So that there exist $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \in M$ such that $\xi = x_1^{\alpha-1} \tau_1 + x_2^\alpha \tau_2 + \cdots + x_n^\alpha \tau_n$. Hence we have

$y = \varphi_\alpha(I^\alpha M + x_1^{\alpha-1} \tau_1)$. Now assume inductively that there exists τ_i in M with $0 \leq i < n$ such that

$$y = \varphi_\alpha(I^\alpha M + x_1^{\alpha-1} x_2^{\alpha-1} \cdots x_i^{\alpha-1} \tau_i). \quad (2)$$

we might find an element $\tau_{i+1} \in M$ such that

$$\varphi_\alpha(I^\alpha M + x_1^{\alpha-1} \cdots x_i^{\alpha-1} x_{i+1}^{\alpha-1} \tau_{i+1}) = y$$

To this end note that $0 = x_{i+1} y = \varphi_\alpha(I^\alpha M + x_1^{\alpha-1} \cdots x_i^{\alpha-1} x_{i+1} \tau_i)$. Since φ_α is monomorphism, we have $x_1^{\alpha-1} \cdots x_i^{\alpha-1} x_{i+1} \tau_i \in I^\alpha M$. Hence by lemma 2.1, we have $x_{i+1} \tau_i \in (x_1, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)M$. Now assume $- : M \longrightarrow M/((x_1, \dots, x_i)M)$ is the natural map. Thus $x_{i+1} \bar{\tau}_i \in (x_{i+1}^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha) \bar{M}$. So by lemma 2.1, we have $\bar{\tau}_i \in (x_{i+1}^{\alpha-1}, x_{i+2}^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha) \bar{M}$. Therefore there exist $\eta_{i+1}, \dots, \eta_n$ in M such that $\bar{\tau}_i = x_{i+1}^{\alpha-1} \bar{\eta}_{i+1} + x_{i+2}^\alpha \bar{\eta}_{i+2} + \cdots + x_n^\alpha \bar{\eta}_n$. In other words

$$\tau_i - (x_{i+1}^{\alpha-1} \eta_{i+1} + x_{i+2}^\alpha \eta_{i+2} + \cdots + x_n^\alpha \eta_n) \in (x_1, \dots, x_i)M$$

We deduce that there exist $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in M$ such that

$$\tau_i = x_1\eta_1 + \dots + x_i\eta_i + x_{i+1}^{\alpha-1}\eta_{i+1} + x_{i+2}^\alpha\eta_{i+2} + \dots + x_n^\alpha\eta_n.$$

Now with substituted τ_i in (2), we see that $y = \varphi_\alpha(I^\alpha M + x_1^{\alpha-1} \dots x_{i+1}^{\alpha-1}\eta_{i+1})$. Hence we have

$$y = \varphi_\alpha(I^\alpha M + x_1^{\alpha-1} \dots x_{i+1}^{\alpha-1}\eta_{i+1}) = \varphi_\alpha(\varphi_{1\alpha}(IM + \eta_{i+1})) = \varphi_1(IM + \eta_{i+1}).$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let M be an A -module and let J be an ideal of A . Suppose that x_1, \dots, x_t is an M -sequence in J . Then*

$$Ann_{H_J^t(M)}(J) \cong ((IM :_M J)/(IM))$$

where I is the ideal of A generated by x_1, \dots, x_t .

Proof. We have $H_J^t(M) \cong H_J^0(H_I^t(M))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Ann_{H_J^t(M)}(J) &\cong Hom_R\left(\frac{R}{J}, H_J^t(M)\right) \\ &\cong Hom_R\left(\frac{R}{J}, \varinjlim_t Hom_R\left(\frac{R}{J^\alpha}, H_I^t(M)\right)\right) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_t Hom_R\left(\frac{R}{J}, Hom_R\left(\frac{R}{J^\alpha}, H_I^t(M)\right)\right) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_t Hom_R\left(\frac{R}{J} \otimes_R \frac{R}{J^\alpha}, H_I^t(M)\right) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_t Hom_R\left(\frac{R}{J}, H_I^t(M)\right) \\ &\cong Ann_{H_I^t(M)}(J) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.5. [1, Exercise 2.1.26] *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local cohen macaulay ring of dimension n and M be a finitely generated A -module. Then the n -th syzygy of M is either 0 or a maximal cohen macaulay module.*

Theorem 3.6. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a cohen-macaulay local ring. Then the Canonical map $Ext_A^n(A/\mathfrak{m}, Syz_n(A/\mathfrak{m})) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(Syz_n(A/\mathfrak{m}))$ is nonzero.*

Proof. let $N = Syz_n(A/\mathfrak{m})$. Then, by the above Remark, N is a maximal cohen-macaulay A – module. Now let x_1, \dots, x_n be a system of parametry for A . Then x_1, \dots, x_n is an N – sequence. Hence, by proposition 3.3,

$$\frac{(x_1, \dots, x_n)N :_N J}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)N} \cong Ann_{H_I^n(N)}(J)$$

On the other hand

$$\text{Ext}_A^n(A/\mathfrak{m}, N) \cong \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_n)N :_N J}{(x_1, \dots, x_n)N}.$$

It therefore followed that the canonical map of statement is non-zero.

4. Acknowledgement

This paper is included in the author's Msc thesis. The author is deeply grateful to his supervisor professor H. Zakeri, for his constant help and helpful suggestion. The author also express his gratitude to Kharazmi university for its facilities offered during the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay Rings, Revised version, combridge university press, cambridge, 1990.
- [2] M. P. Bradman, R. Y. Sharp, Local Cohomology, an algebraic introduction with geometric application, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

