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Abstract: In this paper, a new fractional integration operational matrix of the Chebyshev Wavelets is derived and is used to solve multi-order fractional differential equations. The greater advantage behind the proposed matrix is that any fractional differential equation is reduced into a system of algebraic equations. We show the simplicity, the efficiency and the appropriateness of the proposed technique with some numerical examples.
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## 1. Introduction

Fractional differential equations are effectively used in mathematical modelling of many present marvels such as dynamical systems [3], optimal control problems [7], diffusion processes [5] and chaotic systems [12]. Owing to the difficulty of finding exact solutions for many fractional differential equations, several numerical methods are employed, such as Adomian decomposition method [11], Variational iteration method [17], Homotopy Analysis Method [4], Homotopy Perturbation Method [8] and so on.

In the past few decades, wavelet theory has been one of the growing and preponderant methods in the area of mathematical and engineering sciences. In particular, it is used in signal analysis, time-frequency analysis and fast algorithms for easy implementation [1]. Recently, the operational matrices of fractional order integrations of various wavelets, such as Haar wavelets, Legendre wavelets, Bernoulli wavelets, CAS wavelets, Euler wavelets $[2,9,14,15,16]$ have been established to solve many fractional differential equations. Though each numerical method has its own favourable circumstances, restrictions and constraints, wavelet transform gives a better spectral localization using multiresolution analysis.

In this paper, first we investigate the Cheybyshev wavelets and then we find the operational matrix of fractional order integration of Chebyshev wavelets to solve multi order fractional differential equations. Though, the operational matrix for Chebyshev wavelets is structurally sparse, it decreases greatly the computational complexity of the resulting algebraic system.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some basic definitions of fractional calculus are briefly reviewed. Section 3 concerns Chebyshev wavelets. Section 4 deals with function approximation by Chebyshev wavelets. In Section 5 , the fractional integration operational matrix of Chebyshev wavelets is obtained. Finally, we apply the proposed technique on solving some multi-order fractional differential equations in Section 6.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, some fundamental definitions of fractional calculus are briefly discussed.
Definition 2.1. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral $I^{\gamma}$ of order $\gamma \geq 0$ for a function $g(t) \in L^{1}[0, \infty)$ is defined as

$$
\left(I^{\gamma} g\right)(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\zeta)^{\gamma-1} g(\zeta) d \zeta, & \gamma>0 \\ g(t), & \gamma=0\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, if $g(t) \in L^{1}[0, \infty), \alpha, \beta, a \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq-1$, then $\left(I^{\alpha} I^{\beta} g\right)(t)=\left(I^{\beta} I^{\alpha} g\right)(t)=$ $\left(I^{\alpha+\beta} g\right)(t)$ and $I^{\alpha}(t-a)^{\gamma}=\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+1)}(t-a)^{\alpha+\gamma}$.
Definition 2.2. The fractional differential operator of order $\gamma \geq 0$ in the Caputo sense for a function $g(t) \in L^{1}[0, \infty)$ is defined as

$$
D^{\gamma} g(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
g^{(m)}(t), & \gamma=m \in \mathbb{N} \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{g^{(m)}(\zeta)}{(t-\zeta)^{\gamma+1-m}} d \zeta, & m-1<\gamma<m
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $g(t) \in L^{1}[0, \infty)$ and $\gamma \geq 0$, then $\left(D^{\gamma} I^{\gamma}\right) g(t)=g(t)$ and

$$
\left(I^{\gamma} D^{\gamma}\right) g(t)=g(t)-\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} g^{(l)}\left(0^{+}\right) \frac{t^{l}}{l!}, \quad(m-1<\gamma \leq m)
$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g^{(l)}\left(0^{+}\right):=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} D^{l} g(t)$.

## 3. The Chebyshev Wavelets

Wavelets establish a family of functions developed from dilations and translations of a single function $\Psi(t)$, called the mother wavelet. By changing the dilation parameter $c$ and the translation parameter $d$ continuously, we can obtain the following continuous family of wavelets,

$$
\psi_{c d}(t)=|c|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi\left(\frac{t-d}{c}\right), c \neq 0, d \in \mathbb{R}
$$

If the translation and dilation parameters are chosen to have discrete values $c=$ $c_{0}{ }^{-j}, d=m d_{0} c_{0}{ }^{-j}, c_{0}>1, d_{0}>0, j, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have the following discrete family of wavelets,

$$
\psi_{j m}(t)=\left|c_{0}\right|^{\frac{j}{2}} \psi\left(c_{0}^{j} t-m d_{0}\right)
$$

where the functions $\psi_{j m}$ form a wavelet basis for $L^{2}[0, \infty)$. In particular, when $c_{0}=2$ and $d_{0}=1$, the functions $\psi_{j m}(t)$ form an orthonormal basis. A family of Chebyshev wavelets over the interval $[0,1)$ is defined by

$$
\psi_{m n}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
2^{\frac{j}{2}} \widetilde{U_{n}}\left(2^{j} t-2 m+1\right), & \frac{m-1}{2^{j-1}} \leq t<\frac{m}{2^{j-1}} \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $m=1,2, \ldots, 2^{j-1}, n=0,1, \ldots, M-1, j, M \in \mathbb{N}, \widetilde{U_{n}}(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} U_{n}(t)$ and $U_{n}(t)$ 's denote the Chebyshev polynomials of third kind of degree $n$, which are mutually orthogonal with respect to the weight function $\omega(t)=\frac{\sqrt{(1+t)}}{\sqrt{(1-t)}}$ on the interval $[-1,1]$ and satisfy the following recursive formula $U_{0}(t)=1, U_{1}(t)=2 t-1, U_{n+1}(t)=$ $2 t U_{n}(t)-U_{n-1}(t)$.

## 4. Function Approximation

The Chebyshev wavelets can be used to expand any function $f(t) \in L^{2}[0,1)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{m n} \psi_{m n}(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{m n}=<f(t), \psi_{m n}(t)>=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) \psi_{m n}(t) \omega_{m}(t) d t$, and $<\ldots>$ denotes the inner product on $L_{\omega_{m}}^{2}[0,1)$.
By truncating the infinite series in (1), $f(t)$ is approximated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \approx \sum_{m=1}^{2^{j-1}} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} a_{m n} \psi_{m n}(t)=A^{T} \Psi(t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $\Psi(t)$ are $2^{j-1} M \times 1$ matrices, given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\left[a_{10}, a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1(M-1)}, a_{20}, \ldots, a_{2(M-1)}, \ldots, a_{2^{j-1} 0}, \ldots, a_{2^{j-1}(M-1)}\right]^{T} \text { and }  \tag{3}\\
\Psi(t)=\left[\psi_{10}, \psi_{11}, \ldots, \psi_{1(M-1)}, \psi_{20}, \ldots, \psi_{2(M-1)}, \ldots, \psi_{2^{j-1}(M-1)}\right]^{T} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

We define the Chebyshev wavelet matrix $\phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}$ at the collocation points

$$
t_{i}=\frac{2 i-1}{2^{j} M}, i=1,2, \ldots, 2^{j-1} M \text { as } \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}=\left[\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2 \widehat{n}}\right), \Psi\left(\frac{3}{2 \widehat{n}}\right), \ldots, \Psi\left(\frac{2 \widehat{n}-1}{2 \widehat{n}}\right)\right]
$$

where $\widehat{n}=2^{j-1} M$. Specifically, for $j=2$ and $M=3$, the Chebyshev wavelet matrix becomes

$$
\phi_{6 \times 6}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1.1284 & 1.1284 & 1.1284 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-2.6329 & -1.1284 & 0.3761 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2.3821 & -1.1284 & -0.6269 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1.1284 & 1.1284 & 1.1284 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -2.6329 & -1.1284 & 0.3761 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2.3821 & -1.1284 & -0.6269
\end{array}\right)
$$

## 5. The Chebyshev wavelet Operational matrix of fractional integration

In this section, we explore the basic idea of finding the fractional integration operational matrix of the Chebyshev wavelets.
An $\widehat{n}$ set of Block pulse functions(BPFs) is defined as

$$
b_{i}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & (i-1) / \widehat{n} \leq t<i / \widehat{n}, \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad \text { where } i=1,2,3, \ldots, \widehat{n}\right.
$$

For $t \in[0,1), \quad b_{i}(t) b_{j}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & i \neq j, \\ b_{i}(t), & i=j,\end{array} \quad\right.$ and $\int_{0}^{1} b_{i}(\tau) b_{j}(\tau) d \tau= \begin{cases}0, & i \neq j, \\ \frac{1}{n}, & i=j .\end{cases}$

Any function $f(t) \in L^{2}[0,1)$ can be expanded in terms of $\widehat{n}$ set of BPFs as $f(t)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\widehat{n}} f_{i} b_{i}(t)=f^{T} B_{\widehat{n}}(t)$, where

$$
f=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{\widehat{n}}\right]^{T}, f_{i}=\frac{1}{\widehat{n}} \int_{(i-1) / \widehat{n}}^{i / \widehat{n}} f(t) b_{i}(t) d t \text { and } B_{\widehat{n}}(t)=\left[b_{1}(t), b_{2}(t), \ldots, b_{\widehat{n}}(t)\right]^{T}
$$

The Chebyshev wavelet matrix can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t)=\phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The block pulse operational matrix $F^{\beta}$ of fractional integration $I^{\beta}$ is defined as in [10], that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I^{\beta} B_{\widehat{n}}\right)(t) \approx F^{\beta} B_{\widehat{n}}(t), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F^{\beta}=\frac{1}{\widehat{n}^{\beta}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+2)}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \zeta_{3} & \ldots & \zeta_{\widehat{n}-1} \\
0 & 1 & \zeta_{1} & \zeta_{2} & \ldots & \zeta_{\widehat{n}-2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \zeta_{1} & \ldots & \zeta_{\widehat{n}-3} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \zeta_{1} \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

with

$$
\xi_{j}=(j+1)^{\beta+1}-2 j^{\beta+1}+(j-1)^{\beta+1} .
$$

The fractional integration of order $\beta \geq 0$ of the vector $\Psi(t)$ defined in (4) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I^{\beta} \Psi\right)(t) \approx P_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}^{\beta} \Psi(t), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\hat{n} \times \hat{n}}^{\beta}$ is called the Chebyshev wavelet operational matrix of order $\beta \geq 0$. Using (5) and (6), we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I^{\beta} \Psi\right)(t) \approx\left(I^{\beta} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}\right)(t)=\phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}\left(I^{\beta} B_{\widehat{n}}\right)(t) \approx \phi_{\widehat{n} . \times \widehat{n}} F^{\beta} B_{\widehat{n}}(t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from (7) and (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}^{\beta} \Psi(t) \approx\left(I^{\beta} \Psi\right)(t) \approx \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} F^{\beta} B_{\widehat{n}}(t) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by considering (5) and (9), we attain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}^{\beta} \approx \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} F^{\beta} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}^{-1} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the Chebyshev wavelet operational matrix of the fractional integration for $j=2, M=3$ and $\beta=0.5$ yields

$$
P_{6 \times 6}^{0.5}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0.6691 & 0.1325 & -0.0250 & 0.3827 & -0.0539 & 0.0215 \\
-0.5266 & 0.2205 & 0.1537 & -0.3226 & 0.0282 & -0.0023 \\
0.0221 & -0.2396 & 0.0318 & 0.0194 & 0.0104 & -0.0091 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.6691 & 0.1325 & -0.0250 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -0.5266 & 0.2205 & 0.1537 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0221 & -0.2396 & 0.0318
\end{array}\right)
$$

As $P_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}^{\beta}$ contains many zeros, the proposed technique will have faster simulations. $P_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}^{\beta}$ is done once and is utilized to solve fractional order differential equations just as integer order differential equations.

## 6. Numerical Examples

In this section, some numerical examples are given to illustrate the efficiency and the reliability of the proposed technique and all the numerical calculations are performed by MATLAB.

Example 6.1. Consider the multi-order fractional differential equation [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\gamma} u(t)=y_{0} D^{\gamma_{0}} u(t)+y_{1} D^{\gamma_{1}} u(t)+y_{2} D^{\gamma_{2}} u(t)+y_{3} D^{\gamma_{3}} u(t)+f(t), \quad t \in[0,1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3} \in \mathbb{R}, f(t)$ is a known function, $m-1<\gamma \leq m, m \in$ $\mathbb{Z}^{+}, \gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} \leq \gamma$ with the initial states

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(j)}(0)=c_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1, \ldots, m-1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Approximating

$$
\begin{gather*}
D^{\gamma} u(t) \text { as } A^{T} \Psi(t), \text { we have }  \tag{13}\\
D^{\gamma_{0}} u(t)=A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{0}} \Psi(t)  \tag{14}\\
D^{\gamma_{1}} u(t)=A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{1}} \Psi(t)  \tag{15}\\
D^{\gamma_{2}} u(t)=A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{2}} \Psi(t)  \tag{16}\\
D^{\gamma_{3}} u(t)=A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{3}} \Psi(t) \text { and } \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=A^{T} P^{\gamma} \Psi(t)+\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} u^{(j)}(0) \frac{t^{j}}{j!} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the function $f(t)$ may be expanded by the Chebyshev wavelets as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=F^{T} \Psi(t) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{T}$ is a known constant vector.
Using (13-17) and (19) in (11), we attain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A^{T} \Psi(t)=y_{0} A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{0}} \Psi(t)+y_{1} A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{1}} \Psi(t)+y_{2} A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{2}} \Psi(t) \\
+y_{3} A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{3}} \Psi(t)+F^{T} \Psi(t)
\end{array}
$$

Since $\Psi(t)=\phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A^{T} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t)=y_{0} A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{0}} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t)+y_{1} A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{1}} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t) \\
+y_{2} A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{2}} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t)+y_{3} A^{T} P^{\gamma-\gamma_{3}} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t)+F^{T} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t) . \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

The equation (20) can be transformed into a system of algebraic equations at
Table 1: Absolute errors of example 6.1 for various values of $\widehat{n}$

| t | $\widehat{n}=24$ <br> $(j=4, M=3)$ | $\widehat{n}=48$ <br> $(j=5, M=3)$ | $\widehat{n}=96$ <br> $(j=6, M=3)$ | $\widehat{n}=192$ <br> $(j=7, M=3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | $5.6757 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.4109 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $3.5200 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $8.7901 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 0.2 | $5.2023 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.2917 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $3.2520 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $8.0929 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 0.3 | $4.7091 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.0846 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $2.4930 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $6.1988 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 0.4 | $1.6459 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $4.3184 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $9.2216 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.5083 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| 0.5 | $5.5512 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $5.7762 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $8.1605 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.4377 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 0.6 | $1.2675 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $5.6763 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.4110 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $3.1709 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 0.7 | $5.6379 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $1.4022 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.9665 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $7.5132 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
| 0.8 | $8.3151 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.2370 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $6.5392 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.6275 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| 0.9 | $2.2315 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $4.3762 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $1.1157 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $2.9777 \mathrm{e}-04$ |

the collocation points $t_{i}=\frac{2 i-1}{2^{j} M}, i=1,2, \ldots, 2^{j-1} M$. Solving this system, we can obtain the Chebyshev wavelet co-efficient vector $A^{T}$. Then using (18), we get the approximate output response $u(t)$.

In particular, if we choose $\gamma=2, c_{0}=c_{1}=0, y_{0}=y_{2}=-1, y_{1}=2, y_{3}=0$, $\gamma_{0}=0, \gamma_{1}=1, \gamma_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $f(t)=t^{7}+\frac{2048}{429 \sqrt{\pi}} t^{6.5}-14 t^{6}+42 t^{5}-t^{2}-\frac{8}{3 \sqrt{\pi}} t^{1.5}+4 t-2$, then the exact solution of (11) is $u(t)=t^{7}-t^{2}$. The absolute errors in Table 1 confirm the convergency and the reliability of the proposed technique.

Example 6.2. In the above example, suppose $\gamma=2, c_{0}=c_{1}=0, y_{0}=y_{2}=-1$, $y_{1}=0, y_{3}=2, \gamma_{0}=0, \gamma_{2}=\frac{2}{3} \in(0,1), \gamma_{3}=\frac{5}{3} \in(1,2)$, and

$$
f(t)=t^{3}+6 t-\frac{12}{\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{3}\right)} t^{4 / 3}+\frac{6}{\Gamma\left(\frac{10}{3}\right)} t^{7 / 3} .
$$

Table 2: Absolute errors of example 6.2 for various values of $\widehat{n}$

| t | $\widehat{n}=24$ <br> $(j=4, M=3)$ | $\widehat{n}=48$ <br> $(j=5, M=3)$ | $\widehat{n}=96$ <br> $(j=6, M=3)$ | $\widehat{n}=192$ <br> $(j=7, M=3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | $1.3231 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $1.0852 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $1.0218 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $1.0057 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
| 0.2 | $9.0992 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $8.2858 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $8.0759 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $8.0200 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
| 0.3 | $2.9622 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.7704 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.7186 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.7049 \mathrm{e}-02$ |
| 0.4 | $6.9620 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $6.5489 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $6.4395 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $6.4103 \mathrm{e}-02$ |
| 0.5 | $1.3600 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.2792 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.2577 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.2520 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 0.6 | $2.3717 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $2.2155 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $2.1746 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $2.1638 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 0.7 | $3.8203 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.5317 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.4568 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.4370 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 0.8 | $5.8250 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $5.3030 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $5.1681 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $5.1326 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 0.9 | $8.5504 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $7.6151 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $7.3754 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $7.3123 \mathrm{e}-01$ |



Figure 1: Comparison of Numerical solutions and Exact solutions for example 6.2
The exact solution in this case is $u(t)=t^{3}$. Table 2 shows that the absolute errors attained by the proposed technique with $M=3$ and the values of $j$ increasing become smaller and smaller. Figure 1 also depicts the convergency and the reliability of the proposed technique.
Example 6.3. Consider the non-homogeneous multi-order fractional differential equation [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
a D^{\alpha} u(t)+b D^{\beta} u(t)+c u(t)=g(t), t \in[0,1), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \neq 0, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, g(t)$ is a known function, $m-1<\alpha \leq m, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \beta \leq \alpha$ with the initial states $u^{(j)}(0)=u_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, j=0,1, \ldots, m-1$.
Now, suppose $\alpha=2, \beta=0.5, a=b=c=1, g(t)=6 t^{3}\left(\frac{t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)}-\frac{t^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(4-\beta)}\right)$ and $u_{0}=u_{1}=0$. Using the proposed technique, we arrive

$$
A^{T} \Psi(t)+A^{T} P_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}^{0.5} \Psi(t)+A^{T} P_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}^{2} \Psi(t)=G^{T} \Psi(t)
$$

Since $\Psi(t)=\phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{T} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t)+A^{T} P_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}^{0.5} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t)+A^{T} P_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}}^{2} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t)=G^{T} \phi_{\widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}} B_{\widehat{n}}(t) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (22) can be transformed into a system of algebraic equations at the collocation points. Solving this system, we can attain the co-efficient vector $A^{T}$. Maximum absolute errors attained by Legendre wavelets, Haar wavelets and the Chebyshev wavelets are compared in Table 3. Also Table 3 shows that the proposed technique gives better results compared to Haar and Legendre wavelets.

Table 3: Maximum absolute errors of example 6.3 for various values of $\widehat{n}$

|  | Legendre |  | Haar |  | Chebyshev |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | $\widehat{n}=24$ | $\widehat{n}=96$ | $\widehat{n}=32$ | $\widehat{n}=128$ | $\widehat{n}=32$ | $\widehat{n}=128$ |
| 0.25 | $8.546 \times 10^{-} 4$ | $5.343 \times 10^{-} 5$ | $4.807 \times 10^{-} 4$ | $3.005 \times 10^{-} 5$ | $1.4205 \times 10^{-} 5$ | $5.1634 \times 10^{-} 6$ |
| 0.50 | $7.963 \times 10^{-} 4$ | $4.978 \times 10^{-} 5$ | $4.479 \times 10^{-} 4$ | $2.800 \times 10^{-} 5$ | $1.0680 \times 10^{-} 4$ | $5.3760 \times 10^{-} 7$ |
| 0.75 | $7.405 \times 10^{-} 4$ | $4.631 \times 10^{-} 5$ | $4.166 \times 10^{-} 4$ | $2.605 \times 10^{-} 5$ | $1.0605 \times 10^{-} 4$ | $1.1484 \times 10^{-} 5$ |

## 7. Conclusion

In this paper, an efficient fractional integration operational matrix of the Chebyshev wavelets was derived to attain approximate solutions of multi-order fractional differential equations. Illustrative examples elucidated the solution process, the simplicity and the efficiency of the proposed technique. It is also worth mentioning that the numerical solutions attained by the proposed technique were in a good agreement with the exact solutions.
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