South East Asian J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Vol. 17, No. 3 (2021), pp. 253-260

ISSN (Online): 2582-0850

ISSN (Print): 0972-7752

## SOME MORE PROPERTIES OF $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -OPEN SETS IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

### V. Subprabha and N. Durga Devi

Department of Mathematics, Sri Parasakthi College For Women, Courtallam, Tirunelveli - 627802, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

E-mail : suvekafamily@gmail.com, durgadevin681@gmail.com

(Received: Jan. 03, 2021 Accepted: Sep. 18, 2021 Published: Dec. 30, 2021)

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to define some operators using  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open sets in bitopological spaces and study some of their properties.

Keywords and Phrases: (1,2)semi-open sets,  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open sets,  $(1,2)\beta$ -closed sets,  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Interior,  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Closure,  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Derived sets.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54E55.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In the year 1963, Kelly initiated the systematic study of bitopology which is a triple  $(X, \tau, \sigma)$ , where X is a non-empty set together with two distinct topologies  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma$  on X. Levine initiated semi-open sets and their properties in 1963. In 1983, Abd-El-monsef introduced the notion of  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuity in topological spaces. In 2013, Alias B.Khalaf and Nehmat K. Ahmed introduced and defined a new class of semi-open sets called  $S_{\beta}$ -open sets in topological spaces. The aim of this paper is to define some operators of  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open sets in bitopological spaces and study some of their properties.

**Definition 1.1.** [5] Let A be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then A is said to be

(i)  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -open if  $A \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ,

(ii)  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -closed if  $A^c \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ,

(iii)  $(1,2)\beta$ -open if  $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2 - cl(\tau_1 - int(\tau_1\tau_2 - cl(A)))$ , where  $\tau_1$ -Int(A) is the interior of A with respect to the topology  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(A) is the intersection of all  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed sets containing A.

(iv)  $(1,2)\beta$ -Int(A) is the union of all  $(1,2)\beta$ -open sets contained in A.

(v)  $(1,2)\beta$ -Cl(A) is the intersection of all  $(1,2)\beta$ -closed sets containing A.

**Definition 1.2.** [5] A subset A of X is said to be

(i) (1,2)semi-open if  $A \subseteq \tau_1 \tau_2$ -Cl $(\tau_1$ -Int(A)),

(ii) (1,2)regular-open if  $A = \tau_1 \operatorname{-Int}(\tau_1 \tau_2 \operatorname{-Cl}(A))$ ,

(iii)  $(1,2)\beta$ -open if  $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(\tau_1$ - $Int(\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(A))).

The set of all (1,2)semi-open, (1,2)regular-open, (1,2) $\beta$ -open are denoted as (1,2)SO(X,  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ ), (1,2)RO(X,  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ ), (1,2) $\beta$ O(X,  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ ) or simply, (1,2)SO(X), (1,2)RO(X), (1,2) $\beta$ O(X) respectively.

**Definition 1.3.** [3] A subset A of X is said to be

(i) (1,2)semi-closed if  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Int $(\tau_1$ -Cl $(A)) \subseteq A$ .

(ii) (1,2)regular-closed if  $A = \tau_1 - Cl(\tau_1 \tau_2 - Int(A))$ 

(iii) (1,2) $\beta$ - closed if  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -Int $(\tau_1 - Cl(\tau_1 \tau_2 - Int(A))) \subseteq A$ .

The set of all (1,2)semi-closed, (1,2)regular-closed, (1,2) $\beta$ -closed are denoted as (1,2)SCL(X,  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ ), (1,2)RCL(X,  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ ), (1,2) $\beta$ CL(X,  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ ) or simply, (1,2)SCL(X), (1,2)RCL(X), (1,2) $\beta$ CL(X) respectively.

**Remark 1.4.** [5] For any subset A of X,

(i)  $\tau_1$ -Int(A)  $\subseteq \tau_1 \tau_2$ -Int(A) and  $\tau_2$ -Int(A)  $\subseteq \tau_1 \tau_2$ -Int(A).

(ii) 
$$\tau_1 \tau_2 \text{-}Cl(A) \subseteq \tau_1 \text{-}Cl(A)$$
 and  $\tau_1 \tau_2 \text{-}Cl(A) \subseteq \tau_2 \text{-}Cl(A)$ .

(*iii*)  $\tau_1 \tau_2 - Cl(A \cap B) \subseteq \tau_1 \tau_2 - Cl(A) \cap \tau_1 \tau_2 - Cl(B)$ .

(iv)  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Int $(A) \cup \tau_1\tau_2$ -Int $(B) \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Int $(A \cup B)$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** [1] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space. If  $A \in \tau_1$  and  $B \in (1,2)SO(X)$ , then  $A \cap B \in (1,2)SO(X)$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** [1] Let  $A \subset Y \subset (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and if A is  $\tau_i$ -semi open in X, then A is  $\tau_i$ -semi open in Y.

**Definition 1.7.** [8] A (1,2)semi-open subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is said to be  $(1,2)S_\beta$ -open if for each  $x \in A$  there exists a  $(1,2)\beta$ -closed set F such that  $x \in F \subseteq A$ .

# 2. $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Operations

**Definition 2.1.** A subset N of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -neighborhood, if there exists a  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set U such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq N$ .

If  $A = \{x\}$ , then N is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -neighborhood of x.

**Definition 2.2.** A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - interior point of A, if there exists a  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set U containing x such that  $x \in U \subseteq A$ .

The set of all  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -interior points of A is said to be  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -interior of A and it is denoted by  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(A).

**Proposition 2.3.** Let A be any subset of a bitopological space X. If a point x is in the  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -interior of A, then there exists a  $(1,2)\beta$ -closed set F of X containing x such that  $F \subseteq A$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(A). Then there exists a  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set U of X containing x such that  $U \subseteq A$ . Since U is a  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set, there exists a  $(1,2)\beta$ -closed set F containing x such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq A$  and hence  $x \in F \subseteq A$ .

**Remark 2.4.** For any subset A of a bitopological space X, the following statements are true.

- (i)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Interior of A is the union of all  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open sets contained in A.
- (ii)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(A) is the largest  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set contained in A.
- (iii) A is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set if and only if  $A = (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(A).

**Proposition 2.5.** If A and B are any two subsets of a bitopological space X, then

- (i)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(\phi) = \phi$ , and  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(X) = X.
- (*ii*)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) \subseteq A$ .

(iii) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(B).

(iv)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A)\cup (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(B)\subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A\cup B)$ .

(v)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A \cap B) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) \cap (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(B).

(vi)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A-B) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A)-(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(B).

**Proof.** Follows from definition 2.2.

Note 2.6. The converse of (iii) (iv), (v), (vi) of the above proposition need not be always true and is shown in the following examples.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with the topologies  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$ . Let  $A = \{a, d\}$  and  $B = \{a, b, c\}$ , then  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) = \{a\}, (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(B) = \{a, b\}$ . It follows that  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(B) but A is not a subset of B.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with the topologies  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X\}$ . Take  $A = \{a, c\}$  and  $B = \{b, d\}$ . Then,  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) = \{a, c\}, (1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(B) = \{b\}$  and  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) \cup (1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(B) = \{a, c\}$ ,  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A \cup B) = X$ . It follows that  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A \cup B)$  is not a subset of  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) \cup (1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(B).

**Example 2.9.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  with the topologies  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a, c\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 =$ 

 $\{\phi, X, \{b\}\}$ . Then,  $(1,2)S_{\beta}O(X) = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . If we take  $A = \{a, b\}, B = \{b, c\}$ , then  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) = \{a, b\}, (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(B) = \{b, c\}$  and  $(1,2)S_{\beta} - Int(A) \cap (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(B) = \{b\}, (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A \cap B) = \phi$ . It follows that  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) \cap (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(B) is not a subset of  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A \cap B)$ .

Also, if we take  $A = \{b, c\}$  and  $B = \{c\}$ , then  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) = \{b, c\}$ ,  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(B) = \{c\}$  and  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(A)- $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(B) = \{b\}$  but  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A-B) = \phi$ . It follows that  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(A)- $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(B) is not a subset of  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int(A-B).

**Definition 2.10.** Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. A point  $x \in X$  is in  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Closure of A if and only if  $A \cap U \neq \phi$ , for every  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set U containing x.

The intersection of all  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed sets containing F is called  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Closure of F and is denoted by  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F).

**Proposition 2.11.** Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. If  $A \cap F \neq \phi$ , for every  $(1,2)\beta$ -closed set F of X containing x, then the point x is in  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Closure of A.

**Proof.** Suppose that U is any  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set containing x. Then by definition 1.7, there exists  $(1,2)\beta$ -closed set F such that  $x \in F \subseteq U$ . So by hypothesis,  $A \cap F \neq \phi$  which implies that  $A \cap U \neq \phi$ , for every  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set U containing x. Therefore,  $x \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(A).

**Remark 2.12.** For any subset F of a bitopological space X, the following statements are true.

(i)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F) is the intersection of all  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed set in X containing F.

(ii)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F) is the smallest  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed set containing F.

(iii) F is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed set if and only if  $F = (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F).

**Theorem 2.13.** If F and E are any two subsets of a bitopological space X, then (i)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl( $\phi$ ) =  $\phi$  and  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -CL(X) = X.

(ii) For any subset F of X,  $F \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F).

(iii) If  $F \subseteq E$ , then  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(F) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(E).

 $(iv) (1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(F) \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(E) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(F \cup E).$ 

(v)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(F \cap E) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(F) \cap (1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(E).$ 

**Proof.** Follows from definition 2.10.

**Note 2.14.** From the above theorem,  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(F) \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(E) \neq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(F \cup E)$  and  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(F \cap E) \neq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(F) \cap (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(E), it is shown in the following example.

**Example 2.15.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with the topologies  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \}$ 

{a, b}} and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X, \{a, b, d\}\},$  then  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -CL(X) =  $\{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ . If we take  $F = \{b\}$  and  $E = \{a\}$  then  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F) =  $\{b\}$  and  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(E) =  $\{a\}$  then  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F)  $\cup (1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(E) =  $\{a, b\}$  but  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F)  $\cup E$  = X. Hence,  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F)  $\cup (1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(E)  $\neq (1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F)  $\cup E$ .

Again if we take  $F = \{a, b\}$  and  $E = \{a, c, d\}$ , we get  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(F) = Xand  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(E) = \{a, c, d\}$ , then  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(F) \cap (1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(E) = \{a, c, d\}$ , but  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(F \cap E) = \{a\}$ . Hence,  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(F \cap E) \neq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $Cl(F) \cap (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(E).

**Definition 2.16.** Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X. A point  $x \in X$ is said to be  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -limit point of A if for each  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set U containing x,  $U \cap (A-\{x\}) \neq \phi$ . The set of all  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -limit points of A is called  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - derived set of A and is denoted by  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A).

**Proposition 2.17.** Let A be any subset of X. If  $F \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \phi$ , for every  $(1,2)\beta$ -closed set F containing x, then  $x \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A).

**Proof.** Let U be any  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set containing x. Then there exists  $(1,2)\beta$ closed set F such that  $x \in F \subseteq U$ . By hypothesis,  $F \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \phi$ . Hence  $U \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \phi$ . Therefore,  $x \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A).

**Proposition 2.18.** If a subset A of a bitopological space X is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed, then A contains the set of all its  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -limit points.

**Proof.** Suppose that A is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed set, then X-A is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - open set. Thus A is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed set if and only if each point of X-A has  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -neighborhood contained in X-A, that is, if and only if no point of X-A is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -limit point of A or equivalently that A contains each of its  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -limit points.

**Proposition 2.19.** Let A and B be two subsets of a bitopological space X. If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $D(A) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(B).

**Proof.** Let  $x \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A). Then by definition 2.16, for all  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open set U containing x such that  $U \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \phi$ . Since  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $U \cap (B - \{x\}) \neq \phi$ . Therefore  $x \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(B) which implies that  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $D(A) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(B).

**Remark 2.20.** Let A and B be subsets of a bitopological space X. Then we have the following properties:

(i)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}-D(\phi) = \phi$ . (ii)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A) \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}-D(B)) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A \cup B)$ . (iii)  $(1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A \cap B) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A) \cap (1,2)S_{\beta}-D(B)$ . (iv) If A is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed, then  $(1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A) \subseteq A$ .

**Theorem 2.21.** Let X be any bitopological space and A be a subset of X, then

(i)  $A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta} - D(A)$ ) is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed. (ii)  $(1,2)S_{\beta} - D((1,2)S_{\beta} - D(A)) - A \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta} - D(A)$ . (iii)  $(1,2)S_{\beta} - D(A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta} - D(A)) \subseteq A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta} - D(A)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $x \notin A \cup (1, 2)S_{\beta} - D(A)$ . Then  $x \notin A$  and  $x \notin (1, 2)S_{\beta} - D(A)$ . This implies that there exists a  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -open set  $N_x$  in X which contains no point of A other than x. But  $x \notin A$ , so  $N_x$  contains no point of A, which implies that  $N_x \subseteq X - A$ . Again  $N_x$  is a  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -open set and it is a neighborhood of each of its points. Also  $N_x$  does not contain any point of A implies no point of  $N_x$  can be  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -limit point of A. Therefore, no point of  $N_x$  can belong to  $(1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A)$  and this implies that  $N_x \subseteq X - (1, 2)S_{\beta}-D(A)$ . Hence it follows that  $x \in N_x \subseteq (X-A) \cap (X - (1, 2)S_{\beta}-D(A)) \subseteq X - (A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A))$ . Therefore,  $A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A)$  is  $(1, 2)S_{\beta}$ -closed.

(ii) Let  $x \in (1, 2)S_{\beta}$ - $D((1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A))-A. Then by definition 2.16, U is a  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ open set containing x such that  $U \cap ((1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A)- $\{x\}) \neq \phi$ . Now let  $y \in (U \cap (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A)- $\{x\})$ . Then  $y \in U$  and  $y \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A), so  $U \cap (A-\{y\}) \neq \phi$ . Let  $z \in (U \cap (A-\{y\})$ . Then, for  $z \in A$  and  $x \notin A$ ,  $z \neq x$ . Hence  $U \cap (A-\{x\}) \neq \phi$ . Therefore,  $x \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A).

(iii) Let  $x \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $D(A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A)). If  $x \in A$ , then the result is obvious. So, let  $x \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $D(A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A))-A. Then, by definition 2.16, for each  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ open set U containing x such that  $U \cap (A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A))- $\{x\}$ ))  $\neq \phi$ . Thus, either  $U \cap (A-\{x\}) \neq \phi$  or  $U \cap (1,2)S_{p}$ - $D(A)\{x\} \neq \phi$ . Now,  $U \cap (A-\{x\}) \neq \phi$  implies that  $x \in (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A). Therefore  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $D(A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}$ - $D(A)) \subseteq A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A).

**Theorem 2.22.** Let A be a subset of a bitopological space X, then  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Cl(A) = A $\cup$   $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -D(A).

**Proof.** Since  $(1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(A)$  and  $A \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(A)$  implies  $A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A) \subseteq (1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(A)$ . Again since  $(1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(A)$  is the smallest  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed set containing  $A, A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A)$  is  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -closed. Hence  $(1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(A) \subseteq A \cup (1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A)$ . Thus  $(1,2)S_{\beta}-Cl(A) = A \cup ((1,2)S_{\beta}-D(A))$ .

**Theorem 2.23.** Let X be any bitopological space and A be a subset of X. Then,  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -Int $(A) = A - ((1,2)S_{\beta} - D(X-A))$ . **Proof.** Obvious.

### 3. Conclusion

In this work, we have defined some operators of  $(1,2)S_{\beta}$ -open sets in bitopological spaces and studied their properties. This work will lead to find another operators like  $\wedge$  - set and  $\vee$  - set of corresponding sets. Also, these findings  $_{(1,2)S_{\beta}}^{(1,2)S_{\beta}}$ 

will help to carry out more theoretical research for future researchers.

### Acknowledgment

We thank Dr. R. RajaRajeswari, Principal, Madurai Sivakasi Nadar's Pioneer Meenakshi Women's College, Poovandi, India for her constant support in our research work.

### References

- [1] Alias B. Khalaf, Nehmat K. Ahmed,  $S_{\beta}$ -open sets and  $S_{\beta}$ -continuity in topological spaces, Thai Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 11 (2013), 319-335.
- [2] Ameen Z. A., A New Class of Semi-open sets in topological spaces, M.Sc., Thesis, College of Science, Dohuk Univ, (2007).
- [3] Dochviri I., Some comments on regular and normal bitopological spaces, Ukr. Math J., 58(12) (2006), 1955-1960.
- [4] Dochviri I., On some properties of semi-compact and S-closed bitopological spaces, Proc. A. Razmadze math. inst., 123 (2000), 15-22.
- [5] Dochviri I., A note on almost Baire bitopological spaces Missouri, J. Math. Sci., 22(2) (2010), 139-141.
- [6] Dochviri I., On submaximality of bitopological spaces, Kochi J. Math., 5 (2010), 121-128.
- [7] Dvalishvili B. P., Bitopological spaces. Theory, relations with generalized algebraic structures, and applications, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 199. Amsterdam, Elsevier, (2005), 415.
- [8] Fututake T., On generalized closed sets in Bitopological Spaces, Bul. Fakuoka Univ. Edn., Vol. 35, Part III (1985), 19-28.
- [9] Harvey F. R., The derived Set operator, The American Mathematical Monthly, 70 (1963), 1085-1086.
- [10] Khedr F. H., Noiri T., Almost s-continuous functions in bitopological spaces, J. Egypt Math. Soc., 15(1) (2007), 89-100.
- [11] Lellis Thivagar M., Generalization of  $(1,2)\alpha$ -continuous functions, Pure and Applied Mathematicka Sciences, Vol.28 (1991), 55-63.

- [12] Levine N., Semi-open sets and Semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [13] Noiri T., Popa V., On some forms of weakly continuous functions in bitopological spaces, Demonstr. Math., 41(3) (2008), 685-700.
- [14] Noiri T., Popa V., A new viewpoint in thy study of irresoluteness forms in bitopological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory, v.1(1) (2006).
- [15] Raja Rajeshwari R., Bitopological concepts of some separation properties, Ph.D Thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, India (2009).
- [16] Subprabha V., Durgadevi N., A New Approach to (1, 2)Semipre-open sets in Bitopological Spaces, SSRG-International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (SSRG-IJMTT), 58-61.
- [17] Yinbin Lei, Junzhang, Generalizing topological set operators, Electronic Notes in Theoritical Computer Science, 345 (2019), 63-76.