

**UNIQUENESS AND ITS GENERALIZATION OF MEROMORPHIC  
FUNCTIONS CONCERNING DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS**

**Rajeshwari S. and Naveen Kumar S. H.\***

Department of Mathematics,  
School of Engineering,  
Presidency University, Bangalore-560 064, INDIA

E-mail : rajeshwari.s@presidencyuniversity.in, rajeshwaripreetham@gmail.com

\*Department of Mathematics,  
Gitam School of Technology,  
Gitam Bangalore-562163, INDIA

E-mail: naveenkumarsh.220@gmail.com

**(Received: Jan. 16, 2020 Accepted: Feb. 05, 2020 Published: Apr. 30, 2020)**

**Abstract:** Considering the generalization of uniqueness of meromorphic functions of differential monomials, we obtain that if two non-constant meromorphic functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  satisfy  $E_k(1, f^n f^{(k)}) = E_k(1, g^n g^{(k)})$ , where  $k$  and  $n$  are two positive integers satisfying  $k \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2k+9$ , then either  $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ ,  $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1, c_2, c$  are three constants, satisfying  $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n c^{2k} = 1$ .

**Keywords and Phrases:** Uniqueness, Meromorphic function, Sharing value.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 30D35.

### 1. Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we use the standard notations and terms in the value distribution theory [1].

Let  $f(z)$  be a non constant meromorphic function on the complex plane  $C$ . Define  $E(a, f) = \{z/f(z) - a = 0\}$ , where a zero point with multiplicity  $m$  is counted  $m$  times in the set. If there zero points are only counted once, then we denote the set by  $\overline{E}(a, f)$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Define

$E_k(a, f) = \{z/f(z) - a = 0, \exists i, 1 \leq i \leq k, f^{(i)}(z) \neq 0\}$ , where a zero point with multiplicity  $m$  is counted  $m$  times in the set.

Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non constant meromorphic functions. If  $E(a, f) = E(a, g)$ , then we say that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  share the value CM; if  $\overline{E}(a, f) = \overline{E}(a, g)$ , then we say that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  share the value IM.

Additional, we denote by  $N_{(k)}(r, f)$  the counting function for poles of  $f(z)$  with multiplicity  $\leq k$ , and by  $\overline{N}_{(k)}$  the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Let  $N_{(k)}(r, f)$  be the counting function for poles of  $f(z)$  with multiplicity  $\geq k$ , and by  $\overline{N}_{(k)}(r, f)$  the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Set

$$N_k(r, f) = \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}_{(2)}(r, f) + \dots + \overline{N}_{(k)}(r, f).$$

Similarly, we have the notation:  $N_{(k)}(r, \frac{1}{f})$ ,  $\overline{N}_{(k)}(r, \frac{1}{f})$ ,  $N_{(k)}(r, \frac{1}{f})$ ,  $\overline{N}_{(k)}(r, \frac{1}{f})$ ,  $N_k(r, \frac{1}{f})$ . If  $\overline{E}(1, f) = \overline{E}(1, g)$ , we denote by  $N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{f-1})$  the counting function for common simple 1-points of both  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  where multiplicity is not counted.

In 2011, H. Huang and B. Huang [10] extend the above result as follows.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions,  $k(\geq 3)$ ,  $n(\geq 11)$  be two positive integers. If  $E_k(1, f^n f') = E_k(1, g^n g')$ , then either  $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ ,  $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1, c_2, c$  are three constants, satisfying  $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$ , or  $f = tg$  for a constant  $t$  such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ .*

**Theorem B.** *Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non constant meromorphic functions,  $n(\geq 13)$  be a positive integer. If  $E_2(1, f^n f') = E_2(1, g^n g')$ , then the conclusion of Theorem A holds.*

**Theorem C.** *Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non constant meromorphic functions,  $n(\geq 19)$  be a positive integer. If  $E_1(1, f^n f') = E_1(1, g^n g')$ , then the conclusion of Theorem A holds.*

In this paper, we will extend the above results as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions,  $k(\geq 3)$ ,  $n(\geq 2k + 9)$  be two positive integers. If  $E_k(1, f^n f^{(k)}) = E_k(1, g^n g^{(k)})$ , then either  $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ ,  $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1, c_2, c$  are three constants, satisfying  $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n c^{2k} = 1$ , or  $f = tg$  for a constant  $t$  such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non constant meromorphic functions,  $n(\geq 2k + 11)$  be a positive integer. If  $E_2(1, f^n f^{(k)}) = E_2(1, g^n g^{(k)})$ , then the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 holds.*

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non constant meromorphic functions,  $n(\geq 4k + 15)$  be a positive integer. If  $E_1(1, f^n f^{(k)}) = E_1(1, g^n g^{(k)})$ , then the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 holds.*

## 2. Some Lemmas

For the proof of our results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** ([7]). *Let  $f$  be a non-constant meromorphic function and let  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  be finite complex numbers such that  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then*

$$T(r, a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 f' + a_0) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

**Lemma 2.2** (see[4]). *Let  $f$  be a non-constant meromorphic functions and  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  be three distinct small meromorphic functions of  $f$ , then*

$$T(r, f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_j}\right) + S(r, f).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f, g \in A, n \geq 2$  and  $k$  be a positive integer. If  $f^n f^{(k)} g^n g^{(k)} = 1$ , then  $f = c_1 e^{cz}$  and  $g = c_2 e^{-cz}$  where  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c$  are constants such that  $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^{2k} = 1$ .*

**Proof.** From

$$f^n f^{(k)} g^n g^{(k)} = 1, \tag{2.1}$$

we have

$$f(z) = e^{\alpha(z)}, \quad g(z) = e^{\beta(z)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\alpha(z)$  and  $\beta(z)$  are non constant entire functions.

Then  $T(r, \frac{f'}{f}) = T(r, \frac{e^{\alpha} \alpha'}{e^{\alpha}}) = T(r, \alpha')$ . We claim that  $\alpha(z) + \beta(z) = c$ ,  $c$  is a constant.

From (2.2), we know that either  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are transcendental functions or both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are polynomials.

We deduce from (2.2) that

$$f^{(k)} = [(\alpha')^k + P_{k-1}(\alpha')]e^{\alpha}.$$

$$g^{(k)} = [(\beta')^k + Q_{k-1}(\beta')]e^{\beta}.$$

where  $P_{k-1}(\alpha')$  and  $Q_{k-1}(\beta')$  are differential polynomials in  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  of degree at most  $(k - 1)$  respectively. Thus by (2.1) we obtain that

$$[(\alpha')^k + P_{k-1}(\alpha')][(\beta')^k + Q_{k-1}(\beta')]e^{(n+1)(\alpha+\beta)} = 1, \tag{2.3}$$

we deduce from (2.3) that  $\alpha(z) + \beta(z) = c$ ,  $c$  is a constant.

If  $k = 1$ , from (2.2) we get,

$$\alpha' \beta' e^{(n+1)(\alpha+\beta)} = 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Let  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are transcendental entire functions, then  $\gamma$  is not a constant and (2.4) implies that

$$\alpha'(\gamma' - \alpha')e^{(n+1)\gamma} = 1. \quad (2.5)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \gamma') &= m(r, \gamma') = m(r, \frac{e^{(n+1)\gamma'}}{e^{(n+1)\gamma}} \gamma') \\ &= m(r, \frac{(e^{(n+1)\gamma})'}{e^{(n+1)\gamma}}) = S(r, e^{(n+1)\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, e^{(n+1)\gamma}) &= T(r, \frac{1}{\alpha'(\gamma' - \alpha')}) \\ &\leq T(r, \alpha'(\gamma' - \alpha')) + O(1) \\ &\leq 2T(r, \alpha') + S(r, e^{(n+1)\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$T(r, e^{(n+1)\gamma}) = O(T(r, \alpha')).$$

Thus  $T(r, \gamma') = S(r, \alpha')$ . In view of (2.5) and by Lemma 2.2, we get

$$T(r, \alpha') \leq \bar{N}(r, \alpha') + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{\alpha'}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{(\gamma' - \alpha')}) + S(r, \alpha').$$

Since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are transcendental entire function and in view of (2.5), we obtain  $T(r, \alpha') \leq S(r, \alpha')$  and this implies that  $\alpha'$  is a constant, which is a contradiction. Thus  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both polynomials and  $\alpha(z) + \beta(z) = c$ , for a constant  $c$ .

Hence from (2.3), we get

$$(\alpha')^{2k} = 1 + P_{2k-1}(\alpha'), \quad (2.6)$$

where  $P_{2k-1}(\alpha')$  is a differential polynomial in  $\alpha'$  of degree at most  $(2k - 1)$ . From (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2kT(r, \alpha') &= T(r, (\alpha')^{2k}) = m(r, (\alpha')^{2k}) \leq m(r, P_{2k-1}(\alpha')) + O(1) \\ &= m(r, \frac{P_{2k-1}(\alpha')}{(\alpha')^{2k-1}} (\alpha')^{2k-1}) + O(1) \\ &\leq m(r, \frac{P_{2k-1}(\alpha')}{(\alpha')^{2k-1}}) + m(r, (\alpha')^{2k-1}) + O(1) \\ &\leq 2k - 1T(r, \alpha') + S(r, \alpha'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $T(r, \alpha') \leq S(r, \alpha')$ . Which implies that  $\alpha'$  is a constant. Thus  $\alpha = cz + c_1$ ,  $\beta = -cz + c_2$ . By (2.2), we represent  $f$  and  $g$  as  $f = c_1 e^{cz}$  and  $g = c_2 e^{-cz}$ .

Where  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c$  are constants such that  $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^{2k} = 1$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma.

**Lemma 2.4** ([8]). *Let  $f$  be a non constant meromorphic function,  $k$  a positive integer, then*

$$N(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

**Lemma 2.5** ([9]). *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions, and let  $k$  be a positive integer. If  $E_k(1, f) = E_k(1, g)$ , then one of the following cases must occur:*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) + T(r, g) &\leq \bar{N}_2(r, f) + \bar{N}_2(r, \frac{1}{f}) + \bar{N}_2(r, g) + \bar{N}_2(r, \frac{1}{g}) \\ &+ \bar{N}_2(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) + \bar{N}_2(r, \frac{1}{g-1}) - N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) \\ &+ N_{(k+1)}(r, \frac{1}{f-1}) + N_{(k+1)}(r, \frac{1}{g-1}) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$$f = \frac{(b+1)g + (a-b-1)}{bg + (a-b)}, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $a(\neq 0), b$  are two constants.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non constant meromorphic functions,  $n \geq 2k + 5$  be a positive integer. Set*

$$F = f^n f^{(k)} \quad G = g^n g^{(k)} = 1,$$

if

$$f = \frac{(b+1)G + (a-b-1)}{bG + (a-b)}, \tag{2.9}$$

where  $a(\neq 0), b$  are two constants, then  $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}, g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1, c_2, c$  are three constants, satisfying  $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n c^{2k} = 1$ ,

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) = T(r, f^n f^{(k)}) &\leq T(r, f^n) + T(r, f^{(k)}) \\ &\leq nT(r, f) + T(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (n+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
nT(r, f) &= T(r, f^n) + S(r, f) \\
&= N(r, f^n) + m(r, f^n) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq N(r, f^n f^{(k)}) - N(r, f^{(k)}) + m(r, f^n f^{(k)}) + mN(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq T(r, f^n f^{(k)}) + T(r, f^{(k)}) - N(r, f^{(k)}) - N(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq T(r, F) + T(r, f) - N(r, f) - N(r, \frac{1}{f}) - k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

So

$$T(r, F) \geq (n-1)T(r, f) + N(r, f) + N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{2.12}$$

Thus, by (2.10) and (2.11), we get

$$S(r, F) = S(r, f).$$

Similarly, we get

$$T(r, G) \geq (n-1)T(r, g) + N(r, g) + N(r, \frac{1}{g}) + k\bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, g). \tag{2.13}$$

Also  $S(r, G) = S(r, g)$ . It is clear that the inequality  $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g)$  or  $T(r, g) \leq T(r, f)$  holds for a set of infinite measure of  $r$ . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g)$ , holds for  $r \in I$ , where  $I$  is a set with infinite measure. Next we consider five cases.

**Case 1.**  $a \neq b, b \neq 0, -1$ . If  $a - b - 1 \neq 0$ , then by (2.9) we know:

$$\bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) = \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G + \frac{(a-b-1)}{b+1}}).$$

By the Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, G) &\leq \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G + \frac{(a-b-1)}{b+1}}) + S(r, G) \\
&= \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + S(r, g) \\
&\leq \bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) + S(r, g)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g}) + k\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (k + 1)\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g}) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (k + 3)T(r, g) + (k + 2)T(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

By  $n \geq 2k + 5$  and (2.13), we get  $T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$ , for  $r \in I$ , a contradiction. If  $a - b - 1 = 0$ , by (2.9) we can obtain  $F = \frac{(b+1)G}{bG+1}$  we see that:

$$\bar{N}(r, F) = \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G + \frac{1}{b}}),$$

combining the Nevanlinna second fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, G) &\leq \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G + \frac{1}{b}}) + S(r, G) \\ &= \bar{N}(r, G) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G}) + \bar{N}(r, F) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}) + \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g}) + k\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (k + 3)T(r, g) + T(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

By  $n \geq (2k + 5)$  and (2.13), we get  $T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$ ,  $r \in I$  a contradiction.

**Case 2.**  $a \neq b, b \neq -1$ . So  $F = \frac{a}{(a+1)-G}$ . We can get  $\bar{N}(r, F) = \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-(a+1)})$ . Similarly as Case 1, it is impossible.

**Case 3.**  $a \neq b, b \neq 0$ . So  $F = \frac{G+(a-1)}{a}$ . If  $a - 1 = 0$ , then  $F \equiv G$ , So  $f^n f^{(k)} = g^n g^{(k)}$ .

**Case 4.**  $a \neq b, b \neq 0, -1$ , from (2.9) we can get  $F = \frac{(b+1)G-1}{bG}$ ,  $\bar{N}(r, F) = \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{G})$ . Similarly as Case 1, it is impossible. Since  $a \neq 0$ , now we consider the following case.

**Case 5.**  $a = b = -1$ , it yields  $FG \equiv 1$ , that is  $f^n f^{(k)} g^n g^{(k)} = 1$ . By the Lemma 2.3, we can get  $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}, g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1, c_2, c$  are three constants satisfying  $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^{2k} = 1$ . Now the proof of Lemma 2.6 is completed.

### 3. Proof of the Theorems

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Noticing that  $k \geq 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) - N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\ + \bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2}T(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}T(r, G) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &< 2 \left( N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, G) \right) \\ &\quad + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\ &= 2 \left( N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, G) \right) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, F) &\leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n f^{(k)}}\right) + N_2(r, f^n f^{(k)}) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2\bar{N}(r, f), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, G) \leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}\right) + 2\bar{N}(r, g). \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.1)-(3.3) and Lemma 2.4, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2 \left( 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2\bar{N}(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\bar{N}(r, g) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}\right) \right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &= 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 4\bar{N}(r, f) + 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\quad + 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + 4\bar{N}(r, g) + 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 4\bar{N}(r, f) + 2 \left[ N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) \right] + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$



$$+ 4\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + 4\overline{N}(r, g) + 2 \left[ N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, g) \right] + S(r, g),$$

we write the above equation as

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 4\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 4\overline{N}(r, f) + 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\quad + 4\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + 4\overline{N}(r, g) + 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + 2k\overline{N}(r, g) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (2k + 10)T(r, f) + (2k + 10)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ (n + 1)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq (2k + 10)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ (n - 2k - 9)(T(r, f) + T(r, g)) &\leq S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

By  $n \geq 2k + 9$  and (2.12),(2.13) we obtain  $T(r, f) + T(r, g) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$ , which is impossible. Therefore, by Lemma 2.5

$$f = \frac{(b + 1)g + (a - b - a)}{bg + (a - b)},$$

where  $a (\neq 0)$ ,  $b$  are two constants, it follows by Lemma 2.6 that  $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ ,  $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1, c_2, c$  are three constants satisfying  $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^{2k} = 1$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We can see easily:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) - N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2}T(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}T(r, G) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq 2 \left[ N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, G) \right] \\ + \overline{N}_{(3)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) + \overline{N}_{(3)}\left(r, \frac{1}{G-1}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Considering

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{N}_{(3)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \frac{F}{F'}\right) = \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \frac{F'}{F}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}(r, F) + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}(r, f)\right] + S(r, f) \\
 &\leq 2T(r, f) + S(r, f).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$\bar{N}_{(3)}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-1}\right) \leq 2T(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.4)-(3.6) we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2 \left[ N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n f^{(k)}}\right) + N_2\left(r, f^n f^{(k)}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{g^n g^{(k)}}\right) + N_2\left(r, g^n g^{(k)}\right) \right] \\
 &\quad + 2T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq 2 \left[ 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 2\bar{N}(r, f) + 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}\right) + 2\bar{N}(r, g) \right] \\
 &\quad + 2T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + 4\bar{N}(r, f) + 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
 &\quad + 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}\right) + 4\bar{N}(r, g) + 2T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2k\bar{N}(r, f) + 4\bar{N}(r, f) + 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
 &\quad + 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + 2k\bar{N}(r, g) + 4\bar{N}(r, g) + 2T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq (2k + 12)T(r, f) + (2k + 12)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 (n + 1)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq (2k + 12)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 (n - 2k - 11)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq S(r, f) + S(r, g).
 \end{aligned}$$

By  $n \geq 2k + 11$  and (2.12),(2.13), we can get  $T(r, f) + T(r, g) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$  it is impossible.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) - N_{11}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) &\leq \frac{1}{2}N(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \frac{1}{2}N(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}T(r, F) + \frac{1}{2}T(r, G) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

We can see easily from Lemma 2.5 that:

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2 \left[ N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r, F) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + N_2(r, G) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \overline{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \overline{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \right] + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \\ &= 2 \left[ N_2(r, \frac{1}{F}) + N_2(r, F) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{G}) + N_2(r, G) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \overline{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) + \overline{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \right] + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Considering

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{F-1}) &\leq N(r, \frac{F}{F'}) = N(r, \frac{F'}{F}) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, F) + \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F}) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) + \overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (k+3)T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Similarly we can get

$$\overline{N}_{(2)}(r, \frac{1}{G-1}) \leq (k+3)T(r, g) + S(r, g), \tag{3.9}$$

from (3.7)-(3.9) we can get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq 2[2\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}) + 2\overline{N}(r, f) + 2\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{g}) + N(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}}) \\ &\quad + 2\overline{N}(r, g) + (k+3)T(r, f) + (k+3)T(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (4k+16)T(r, f) + (4k+16)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ (n+1)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq (4k+16)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ (n-4k-15)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n \geq 4k + 16$  and (2.12), (2.13), we can get  $T(r, f) + T(r, g) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$ , it is impossible.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

### References

- [1] L. Yang, Value Distribution Theory, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [2] Y. F. Wang and M. L. Fang, Picard values and normal families of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros, *Acta Mathematica Sinica (NS)*, Vol. 14, No 1, 1998, pp.17-26.
- [3] H. H. Chen, Yosida function and Picard values of integral functions and their derivatives, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, Vol. 54, 1996, pp. 373-381.
- [4] M. L. Fang, Uniqueness and value sharing of entire functions, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, Vol. 44, 2002, pp. 823-831.
- [5] Harina P. Waghmare and Rajeshwari S., Value sharing and uniqueness of entire functions, *Elec. J. Math. An and Appl.*, Vol 5, No 1, Jan-2017, pp 88-96.
- [6] Harina P. Waghmare and Rajeshwari S., Uniqueness and value sharing problems in class A of meromorphic functions, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Informatics*, Vol. 35, No 1-2, Jan 2017.
- [7] C. C. Yang, On Deficiencies of differential polynomials, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, Vol. 125, No. 2, 1972, pp. 107-112.
- [8] H. X. Yi and C. C. Yang, Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions, Science press, Beijing, 1995.
- [9] C. Y. Fang and M. L. Fang, Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials, *Computer and Mathematics with Applications*, Vol. 44, 2002, pp. 607-617.
- [10] H. Huang, B. Huang, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning differential monomials, *Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 2, 2011, pp. 230-235.